Quantum field theory in curved spacetimes: What, why and how?

Benito A Juárez-Aubry

Departamento de Física Matemática Instituto de Investigaciones en Matemáticas Aplicadas y en Sistemas Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

Email: benito.juarez@iimas.unam.mx

27 July 2020, Three W's Seminar, KIAS





Outline

Why? Motivations

What?

Quantum field theory Quantum field theory in curved spacetimes

How?

Examples Mathematical structure

Final remarks

Motivations

Physical motivations:

- Gravity exists! we are not really in Minkowski spacetime.
- We do not have a working theory of quantum gravity.
- Important effects and predictions.
- Curved spacetimes and spontaneous particle creation:
 - Cosmology.
 - Black hole radiation.
- Flat spacetimes and spontaneous particle creation:
 - Unruh effect.
 - Casimir effect.
- What is a particle?

Mathematical motivations:

- What is the mathematical structure of quantum field theory?
- Are spacetime isometries and Hamiltonians necessary for defining QFT?

► What are states and observables in curved spacetimes? QFT in CS: What, why and how? 3 B A Juárez-Aubry, IIMAS-UNAM

QFT in flat spacetime

Minkowski: (\mathbb{R}^4, η) , $\eta = -dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$. The theory consists of

- ▶ A Hilbert space (usually many particle Fock space), H.
- Unitary operators Λ(α, L) representing Poincaré transformations (Minkowski isometries) (+ certain spectral conditions).
- ▶ A distinguished Poincaré-invariant state $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$ the vacuum.
- Observables O localised in Minkowski spacetime generated by quantum fields.
- O transform covariantly under Poincaré, e.g. O(λx) = Λ(α, L)⁻¹O(x)Λ(α, L).
- (Anti-)commutation relations $[O_1, O_2] \neq 0$.
- Some PDE the field equation (KG, Dirac, Maxwell, YM...).

QFT in flat spacetimes

The Klein-Gordon fied We heuristically know that:

- Fock space: $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\text{sym}} \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)^{\otimes_{\text{sym}} n}$
- Minkowski vacuum: $\Omega = (1, 0, 0, \ldots)$.
- Creation and annihilation operators, \hat{a}_k^*, \hat{a}_k :

$$[\hat{a}_k, \hat{a}_p] = 0 \quad [\hat{a}_k^*, \hat{a}_p^*] = 0 \quad [\hat{a}_k, \hat{a}_p^*] = \mathrm{i}\delta(k, p)\hat{1}$$

such that $\hat{a}_k \Omega = 0$.

• KG field obeying $(\Box - m^2) \hat{\Phi} = (-\partial_t^2 + \bigtriangleup - m^2) \hat{\Phi} = 0$,

$$\widehat{\Phi}(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 k}{(2\omega_k)^{1/2}} \left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_k t} \psi_k(x) \widehat{a}_k + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_k t} \overline{\psi_k(x)} \widehat{a}_k^* \right).$$

with $\omega_k^2 = k \cdot k + m^2$, $\psi_k(x) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} e^{ik \cdot x}$ eigenvalues and generalised eigenfunctions of $-\triangle + m^2$.

- ► Suppose one attempts the previous construction in general, globally hyperbolic (*M*, *g*), such that curvature of *g* is non-trivial?
- In General relativity the curvature of g is gravity, i.e.,

$$R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{ab} + \Lambda g_{ab} = \frac{8\pi G_{\rm N}}{c^4}T_{ab},$$
(1)
Matter field equation. (2)

- ▶ *R*_{ab} and *R*: Ricci tensor and scalars, resp.
- Constants: Λ , $G_{\rm N}$, c.
- Stress-energy tensor of matter: T_{ab}.

► Field equation, e.g. KG:

$$(\Box - m^2 + \xi R)\Phi = (g^{ab}\nabla_a\nabla_b - m^2 + \xi R)\Phi = 0.$$

Example: KG in ultrastatic spacetime

Assume spacetime is ultrastatic: $g = dt^2 + h$.

- ► Fock space: $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\text{sym}} \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} L^2(\Sigma, \text{dvol}_h)^{\otimes_{\text{sym}} n}$
- Distinguished vacuum: $\Omega = (1, 0, 0, \ldots)$.
- Creation and annihilation operators, \hat{a}_i^*, \hat{a}_j :

$$[\hat{a}_m, \hat{a}_n] = 0 \quad [\hat{a}_m^*, \hat{a}_n^*] = 0 \quad [\hat{a}_m, \hat{a}_n^*] = \mathrm{i} \delta_h(m, n) \hat{\mathbb{1}}$$

such that $\hat{a}_m \Omega = 0$.

► KG field obeying $(\Box - m^2)\hat{\Phi} = (-\partial_t^2 + \triangle_h - m^2)\hat{\Phi} = 0$,

$$\widehat{\Phi}(t,x) = \int_{\sigma} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu(j)}{(2\omega_j)^{1/2}} \left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_j t} \psi_j(x) \widehat{a}_j + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_j t} \overline{\psi_j(x)} \widehat{a}_j^* \right).$$

with $(-\triangle_h + m^2)\psi_j = \omega_j^2\psi_j$.

- In previous case there exists distinguished notion of time, generated by global timelike KVF, ∂_t.
- Not every spacetime has timelike KVF.
- Assume a spacetime has asymptotic KVF, v in the remote future and w in the remote past.



Figure: Spacetime where QFT admits in and out construction.

- Two distinguished constructions: \mathcal{H}_{in} and \mathcal{H}_{out} .
- \blacktriangleright Φ admits representation as op. in \mathcal{H}_{in} and as op. in $\mathcal{H}_{out},$ namely

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\Phi}_{\mathrm{in}}(t,x) &= \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{in}}(i)}{(2\omega_{\mathrm{in},i})^{1/2}} \left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_{\mathrm{in},i}t_1} \psi_{\mathrm{in},i}(x) \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}_i + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_{\mathrm{in},i}t_1} \overline{\psi_{\mathrm{in},i}(x)} \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}_i^* \right), \\ \widehat{\Phi}_{\mathrm{out}}(t,x) &= \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{out}}(j)}{(2\omega_{\mathrm{out},j})^{1/2}} \left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega_{\mathrm{out},j}t_2} \psi_{\mathrm{out},j}(x) \widehat{\boldsymbol{b}}_j + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_{\mathrm{out},j}t_2} \overline{\psi_{\mathrm{out},j}(x)} \widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}_j^* \right) \end{split}$$

- ► Hamiltonians: $\hat{H}_{in} = \int d\mu_{in,i} \omega_{in,i} \hat{a}_i^* \hat{a}_i$, $\hat{H}_{out} = \int d\mu_{out,j} \omega_{out,j} \hat{b}_j^* \hat{b}_j$.

$$\begin{split} \langle \Omega_{\rm in} | \hat{H}_{\rm out} \Omega_{\rm in} \rangle &= \int \mathrm{d} \mu_{\rm out}(j) \omega_{\rm out,j} \langle \Omega_{\rm in} \hat{b}_j^* \hat{b}_j \Omega_{\rm in} \rangle \\ &= \int \mathrm{d} \mu_{\rm out}(j) \omega_{\rm out,j} \int \mathrm{d} \mu_{\rm in}(i) |\beta_{ij}|^2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow \Omega_{\rm in} \text{ not vacuum in } \mathcal{H}_{\rm out}! \end{split}$$

Previous discussion implies that spacetime curvature **produces spontaneous particle creation!**

Question: In previous example, is the vacuum state empty or not? Who is right? Answer: Everyone is right! Relativity's lesson: space and time notions are observer-dependent. QFT in CS shows that the notion of particle is observer-dependent too!

- ► Relevant e.g. in cosmology.
- In black holes Hawking radiation is understood similarly, but the situation is even more interesting when considering backreaction.
- Emphasis: QFT is a theory of fields not of particles. The quantum field is the fundamental object; particles (like beauty) are in the eye of the beholder.

Back to flat spacetime

- In general curved spacetimes the notion of particle is abiguous.
- In absence of symmetries, there is no distinguished notion of particle (but fields and correlation functions are unambiguously defined).
- In fact, in Minkowski spacetime there are also different notions of particles.
- The Unruh effect shows that for a linearly uniformly accelerated observer in Minkowski spacetime the Minkowski vacuum looks like a thermal state at temperature proportional to their acceleration.

Unruh effect

- Consider Minkowski spacetime and divide in four so-called Rindler wedges.
- On right Rindler wedge Lorentz boosts are timelike KVF, ξ = a(x∂_t + t∂_x), a > 0.
- Quantisation w.r.t. time-notion generated by ξ: Fulling-Rindler vacuum, Ω_{FR}.
- Minkowski vacuum looks thermal in FR representation.



Unruh effect

- A linearly uniformly accelerated observer with acceleration *a*, perceives the Minkowski vacuum at a temperature $T_{\rm U} = \frac{\hbar a}{2\pi c k_{\rm B}} \text{the Unruh temperature.}$
- T_U is very cold! E.g., $10^{20} m/s$, $T_U \sim 1K$.
- ► Detecting the Unruh temperature is a massive experimental challenge. (Detection ⇒ Nobel prize.)
- In Minkowski spacetime the notion of particle is also observer-dependent!
- More generally, an observer following an arbitrary trajectory, will generally too detect particles when interacting with a field in the Minkowski vacuum, although not in a thermal distribution. This can be understood in terms of so-called particle detectors in QFT in CS.

Evaporation of black holes

- The gravitational effects during black hole formation produce quantum radiation.
- ▶ Radiation makes black holes lose mass. *M* decreases.
- Radiation is thermal at $T_{\rm H} = \frac{\hbar c^3}{8\pi G_{\rm N} k_{\rm B} M}$.
- We expect black holes to theoretically radiate off all of their mass and disappear.
- Information loss puzzle.



Mathematical structure of QFT

In the absense of distinguished representations for the fields and vacua, what is really QFT? Example: KG theory in CS

Let (M,g) be a globally hyperbolic spacetime (iff admits a Cauchy surface). We call $\mathcal{A}(M)$ the Klein-Gordon field algebra the unital *-algebra generated by *smeared fields* $\Phi(f)$ with $f \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$ subject to the relations

- 1. Linearity: $f \mapsto \Phi(f)$ is linear,
- 2. Hermiticity: $\Phi(\overline{f}) = \Phi(f)^*$,
- 3. Field equation: $\Phi((\Box m^2 \xi R)f) = 0$,
- 4. Commutation relations: $[\Phi(f), \Phi(g)] = -iE(f, g)\mathbb{1}$, where $E = E^+ - E^-$ causal propagator of the Klein-Gordon operator $(\Box - m^2 - \xi R)$.

Mathematical structure of QFT

What is a state for KG theory in CS?

We call a linear map $\omega: \mathcal{A}(M) \to \mathbb{C}$ an algebraic state if

- 1. $\omega(1) = 1$
- 2. $\omega(a^*a) \ge 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}(M)$.

 ω prescribes correlation functions, but notice that we have committed to no Hilbert space representation. E.g. the Wightman two-point function of the theory is

$$\omega(\Phi(f)\Phi(g)) = G^+(f,g). \tag{3}$$

- If ω is quasi-free, all *n*-point functions follow from Wightman function.
- Renormalisation requires Hadamard property.

$$G^{+}(x,y) - \left[\frac{1}{2\pi^{2}}\frac{\Delta^{1/2}(x,y)}{\sigma_{\epsilon}(x,y)} + V(x,y)\ln(\sigma_{\epsilon}(x,y))\right] \in C^{\infty}(M \times M)$$

Mathematical structure of QFT

How to recover Hilbert space representation?

The GNS construction theorem allows one to obtain a Hilbert space representation, such that

- ▶ Input: algebra $\mathcal{A}(M)$ and state $\omega : \mathcal{A}(M) \to \mathbb{C}$.
- Output: GNS representation $(\mathcal{H}_{\omega}, \pi_{\omega}, \mathcal{D}_{\omega}, \Omega_{\omega})$ Such that π_{ω} is faithful, $\mathcal{D}_{\omega} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\omega}$ is dense, Ω_{ω} is cyclic (the "vacuum" state) and

$$\omega(A) = \langle \Omega_{\omega} | \pi_{\omega}(A) \Omega_{\omega} \rangle.$$
(4)

In particular, $\pi(\Phi(f)) = \hat{\Phi}(f)$ is an operator-valued distribution in Hilbert space – our textbook quantum field and

$$\omega(\Phi(f)\Phi(g)) = \langle \Omega_{\omega} | \hat{\Phi}(f) \hat{\Phi}(g) \Omega_{\omega} \rangle$$
(5)

Final remarks

- QFT is a theory of fields, while the notion of particle is secundary.
- No distinguished representation for QFT in CS: Algebraic programme. The KG construction here presented can be generalise to other (non-linear) field theories. Modern formulation in terms of category theory.
- Experimental challenges: Unruh & Hawking effects \Rightarrow Nobel.
- Theoretical challenges: Black hole evaporation, measurement problem, semiclassical gravity.
- Mathematical challenges: Mathematical structure of QFT, perturbative problems, renormalisation, semiclassical gravity.
- Technological applications? (Exploiting Unruh, Casimir effects...?)

Some literature:

- N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space* (Cambridge University Press, 1982)
- S. A. Fulling, Aspects of Quantum Field Theory in Curved Space-time (Cambridge University Press, 1987).
- L. E. Parker and D. Toms, Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime: Quantized Fields and Gravity (Cambridge University Press, 2009).
- R. M. Wald, Quantum Field Theory in Curved Space-Time and Black Hole Thermodynamics (University of Chicago Press, 1994).
- B. A. Juárez-Aubry, Minicourse On Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetimes (2018).

Thanks!