

NOTES ON HOCHSCHILD COHOMOLOGY

HSUAN-YI LIAO

ABSTRACT. This note is for a talk on deformation of algebra and Hochschild cohomology.

CONTENTS

1. Deformation of algebra	1
2. Hochschild cochains of algebra	3
3. Coalgebra interpretation	5
4. An outlook to deformation quantization	7
References	9

1. DEFORMATION OF ALGEBRA

Let A be an associative algebra.

Definition 1.1. A \hbar -linear product $*$ on $A[[\hbar]]$ is a bilinear operation $*$: $A[[\hbar]] \times A[[\hbar]] \rightarrow A[[\hbar]]$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \hbar^i \right) * \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j \hbar^j \right) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} (a_i * b_j) \hbar^{i+j}. \quad (1)$$

A deformation product of the algebra A is an *associative* \hbar -linear product $*$ on $A[[\hbar]]$ such that

$$a * b|_{\hbar=0} = m_A(a, b) = ab$$

for any $a, b \in A$.

Remark 1.2. By \hbar -linearity, a \hbar -linear product $*$ is uniquely determined by the restriction $*|_{A \times A} : A \times A \rightarrow A[[\hbar]]$,

$$*(a, b) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(a, b) \hbar^k = B_0(a, b) + B_1(a, b) \hbar + B_2(a, b) \hbar^2 + \cdots,$$

where $a, b \in A$, $B_k : A \times A \rightarrow A$ are bilinear maps. If $*$ is a deformation product, then $B_0 = m_A$.

Furthermore, any sequence $B_k : A \times A \rightarrow A$ of bilinear maps induces a \hbar -linear product on $A[[\hbar]]$ by (1):

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \hbar^i \right) * \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j \hbar^j \right) &= \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} (a_i * b_j) \hbar^{i+j} \\ &= \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(a_i, b_j) \hbar^k \right) \hbar^{i+j} \\ &= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\substack{i,j,k \geq 0 \\ i+j+k=N}} B_k(a_i, b_j) \right) \hbar^N. \end{aligned}$$

However, the induced \hbar -linear product $*$ may **NOT** be associative.

Main problem today: What sequence $(B_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ induces a deformation product?

Let us compare the two elements

$$\left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \hbar^i \right) * \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j \hbar^j \right) \right) * \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \hbar^k \right)$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \hbar^i \right) * \left(\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j \hbar^j \right) * \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \hbar^k \right) \right)$$

in terms of the coefficient maps $(B_l)_{l=1}^{\infty}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \hbar^i \right) * \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j \hbar^j \right) \right) * \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \hbar^k \right) &= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\substack{i,j,p \geq 0 \\ i+j+p=N}} B_p(a_i, b_j) \hbar^N \right) * \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \hbar^k \right) \\ &= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{i,j,p \geq 0 \\ i+j+p=N}} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} B_q(B_p(a_i, b_j), c_k) \hbar^{N+k+q} \\ &= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{i,j,k,p,q \geq 0 \\ i+j+k+p+q=N}} B_q(B_p(a_i, b_j), c_k) \hbar^N. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since $B_0 = m_A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{i,j,k,p,q \geq 0 \\ i+j+k+p+q=N}} B_q(B_p(a_i, b_j), c_k) &= \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \geq 0 \\ i+j+k=N}} m_A(m_A(a_i, b_j), c_k) + \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \geq 0, p \geq 1 \\ i+j+k+p=N}} m_A(B_p(a_i, b_j), c_k) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \geq 0, q \geq 1 \\ i+j+k+q=N}} B_q(m_A(a_i, b_j), c_k) + \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \geq 0, p, q \geq 1 \\ i+j+k+p+q=N}} B_q(B_p(a_i, b_j), c_k). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \hbar^i \right) * \left(\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j \hbar^j \right) * \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \hbar^k \right) \right) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{i,j,k,p,q \geq 0 \\ i+j+k+p+q=N}} B_q(a_i, B_p(b_j, c_k)) \hbar^N,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{i,j,k,p,q \geq 0 \\ i+j+k+p+q=N}} B_q(a_i, B_p(b_j, c_k)) &= \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \geq 0 \\ i+j+k=N}} m_A(a_i, m_A(b_j, c_k)) + \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \geq 0, p \geq 1 \\ i+j+k+p=N}} m_A(a_i, B_p(b_j, c_k)) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \geq 0, q \geq 1 \\ i+j+k+q=N}} B_q(a_i, m_A(b_j, c_k)) + \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \geq 0, p, q \geq 1 \\ i+j+k+p+q=N}} B_q(a_i, B_p(b_j, c_k)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the induced product $*$ is associative iff

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{i,j,k,p,q \geq 0 \\ i+j+k+p+q=N}} B_q(B_p(a_i, b_j), c_k) - \sum_{\substack{i,j,k,p,q \geq 0 \\ i+j+k+p+q=N}} B_q(a_i, B_p(b_j, c_k)) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \geq 0, p \geq 1 \\ i+j+k+p=N}} m_A(B_p(a_i, b_j), c_k) + \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \geq 0, q \geq 1 \\ i+j+k+q=N}} B_q(m_A(a_i, b_j), c_k) + \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \geq 0, p, q \geq 1 \\ i+j+k+p+q=N}} B_q(B_p(a_i, b_j), c_k) \\ &- \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \geq 0, p \geq 1 \\ i+j+k+p=N}} m_A(a_i, B_p(b_j, c_k)) - \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \geq 0, q \geq 1 \\ i+j+k+q=N}} B_q(a_i, m_A(b_j, c_k)) - \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \geq 0, p, q \geq 1 \\ i+j+k+p+q=N}} B_q(a_i, B_p(b_j, c_k)) \\ &= 0. \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

Example 1.3. *The sequence $B_0 = m_A, B_1 = B_2 = \dots = 0$ induces the natural product on $A[[\hbar]]$. (Associative.)*

Example 1.4. *The sequence: $B_0 = B_1 = m_A, B_2 = B_3 = \dots = 0$ induces the product*

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \hbar^i \right) * \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j \hbar^j \right) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i+j=N} a_i b_j + \sum_{i+j=N-1} a_i b_j \right) \hbar^N$$

which is associative.

Example 1.5. *The sequence: $B_0 = m_A, B_1 = m_A \circ \text{tw} : a \otimes b \mapsto ba, B_2 = B_3 = \dots = 0$ induces the product*

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \hbar^i \right) * \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j \hbar^j \right) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i+j=N} a_i b_j + \sum_{i+j=N-1} b_j a_i \right) \hbar^N$$

which is NOT associative. (Provided A is non-commutative.)

2. HOCHSCHILD COCHAINS OF ALGEBRA

Definition 2.1. *A Hochschild n -cochain of A is a $(n+1)$ -linear map $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(A^{\otimes(n+1)}, A)$. The space of Hochschild cochains $C_{\mathcal{H}}^{\bullet}(A)$ of A form a graded vector space $C_{\mathcal{H}}^{\bullet}(A) = \bigoplus_{n \geq -1} C_{\mathcal{H}}^n(A)$, where*

$$C_{\mathcal{H}}^n(A) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(A^{\otimes(n+1)}, A), \quad n \geq -1.$$

The Hochschild complex is endowed with a natural “pre-Lie system” structure. More precisely, for $\phi \in C_{\mathcal{H}}^{n_1}(A), \psi \in C_{\mathcal{H}}^{n_2}(A)$, we define the Hochschild composition $\phi \bullet \psi \in C_{\mathcal{H}}^{n_1+n_2}(A)$ by

$$\phi \bullet \psi(a_0, \dots, a_{n_1+n_2}) := \sum_{j=0}^{n_1} (-1)^{jn_2} \phi(a_0, \dots, a_{j-1}, \psi(a_j, \dots, a_{j+n_2}), \dots, a_{n_1+n_2}).$$

Definition 2.2. The *Gerstenhaber bracket* $[[\phi, \psi]] \in C_{\mathcal{H}}^{n_1+n_2}(A)$ of $\phi \in C_{\mathcal{H}}^{n_1}(A), \psi \in C_{\mathcal{H}}^{n_2}(A)$ is defined by

$$[[\phi, \psi]] := \phi \bullet \psi - (-1)^{n_1 n_2} \psi \bullet \phi.$$

Let $m_A \in C_{\mathcal{H}}^1(A)$ be the multiplication of A . The *Hochschild differential* is

$$d_{\mathcal{H}} := [[m_A, -]] : C_{\mathcal{H}}^{\bullet}(A) \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{H}}^{\bullet+1}(A).$$

Proposition 2.3 ([3]). The Hochschild cochains together with Hochschild differential and Gerstenhaber bracket $(C_{\mathcal{H}}^{\bullet}(A), d_{\mathcal{H}}, [[-, -]])$ form a *dgla*, i.e.,

- $[[-, -]] : C_{\mathcal{H}}^n(A) \times C_{\mathcal{H}}^m(A) \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{H}}^{n+m}(A)$ is a graded Lie bracket:
 - (graded) skew-symmetry

$$[[\phi, \psi]] = -(-1)^{|\phi||\psi|} [[\psi, \phi]],$$

- (graded) Jacobi identity

$$[[\phi, [\psi, \sigma]]] = [[[\phi, \psi], \sigma]] + (-1)^{|\phi||\psi|} [[\psi, [\phi, \sigma]]];$$

- $d_{\mathcal{H}} : C_{\mathcal{H}}^n(A) \rightarrow C_{\mathcal{H}}^{n+1}(A)$ is a differential compatible with $[[-, -]]$:
 - homological differential

$$d_{\mathcal{H}} \circ d_{\mathcal{H}} = 0,$$

- compatibility

$$d_{\mathcal{H}}([[\phi, \psi]]) = [[d_{\mathcal{H}}(\phi), \psi]] + (-1)^{|\phi|} [[\phi, d_{\mathcal{H}}(\psi)]],$$

for any $\phi \in C_{\mathcal{H}}^{|\phi|}(A), \psi \in C_{\mathcal{H}}^{|\psi|}(A), \sigma \in C_{\mathcal{H}}^{|\sigma|}(A)$.

Example 2.4. Let $B \in C_{\mathcal{H}}^1(A) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(A \otimes A, A)$ be a binary operation on A . Then for $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} [[B, B]](a_0, a_1, a_2) &= \left(B(B(a_0, a_1), a_2) - B(a_0, B(a_1, a_2)) \right) \\ &\quad - (-1)^{1 \cdot 1} \left(B(B(a_0, a_1), a_2) - B(a_0, B(a_1, a_2)) \right) \\ &= 2 \left(B(B(a_0, a_1), a_2) - B(a_0, B(a_1, a_2)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$[[B, B]] = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad B \text{ is associative.}$$

In particular, $d_{\mathcal{H}}(m_A) = 0$.

Consider the dgla $C_{\mathcal{H}}^{\bullet}(A)[[\hbar]] := C_{\mathcal{H}}^{\bullet}(A) \otimes \mathbb{k}[[\hbar]]$. More precisely, the dgla structure is given by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathcal{H}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_i \hbar^i \right) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_{\mathcal{H}}(\phi_i) \hbar^i, \\ \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_i \hbar^i, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j \hbar^j \right] &= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{i, j \geq 0 \\ i+j=N}} [[\phi_i, \psi_j]] \hbar^N. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that a deformation product $* : A[[\hbar]] \times A[[\hbar]] \rightarrow A[[\hbar]]$ is determined by the restriction

$$*|_{A \times A} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k \hbar^k = m_A + B_1 \hbar + B_2 \hbar^2 + \dots,$$

where $B_k \in C_{\mathcal{H}}^1(A)$. The associativity (2) of $*$ is rephrased as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{p,q \geq 0 \\ p+q=N}} B_q(B_p(a,b),c) - B_q(a,B_p(b,c)) &= 0, \quad \forall a,b,c \in A, N \geq 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow \sum_{\substack{p,q \geq 0 \\ p+q=N}} [[B_p, B_q]] &= 0, \quad \forall N \geq 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow [[\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} B_p \hbar^p, \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} B_q \hbar^q]] &= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{p,q \geq 0 \\ p+q=N}} [[B_p, B_q]] \hbar^N = 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow d_{\mathcal{H}}(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k \hbar^k) + \frac{1}{2} [[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k \hbar^k, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k \hbar^k]] &= 0 \quad (\because B_0 = m_A) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.5. *Let A be an associative algebra. A sequence $B_k \in C_{\mathcal{H}}^1(A)$, $k \geq 1$, induces a deformation product iff the formal sum $\Theta := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k \hbar^k$ is a **Maurer-Cartan element** (or **MC element**) in the dgla $C_{\mathcal{H}}^{\bullet}(A)[[\hbar]]$, i.e., $\Theta \in C_{\mathcal{H}}^1(A)[[\hbar]]$ is of degree one and satisfies the Maurer-Cartan equation*

$$d_{\mathcal{H}}(\Theta) + \frac{1}{2} [[\Theta, \Theta]] = 0.$$

3. COALGEBRA INTERPRETATION

Recall that a **(graded) coalgebra** is a graded vector space C together with a comultiplication $\Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes C$ of degree zero which satisfy ‘‘coassociativity.’’ The iterated comultiplication $\Delta^n : C \rightarrow C^{\otimes(n+1)}$ is defined by the iteration formula

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^0 &:= \text{id}_C : C \rightarrow C \\ \Delta^1 &:= \Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes C \\ \Delta^n &:= (\text{id}_C \otimes \Delta^{n-1}) \circ \Delta : C \rightarrow C^{\otimes(n+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

A **coderivation** of degree k is an endomorphism $Q \in \text{End}^k(C)$ of degree k such that

$$\Delta \circ Q = (Q \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes Q) \circ \Delta.$$

The space of coderivations of degree k on C is denoted $\text{coDer}^k(C)$, and $\text{coDer}(C) := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{coDer}^k(C)$.

Proposition 3.1. *The space of coderivations $\text{coDer}(C)$ with the graded commutator*

$$[Q, Q'] := Q \circ Q' - (-1)^{|Q||Q'|} Q' \circ Q$$

is a graded Lie algebra.

Definition 3.2. *Let C be a coalgebra, and V be a vector space. A linear map*

$$p : C \rightarrow V$$

*is called a **cogenerator** if the map*

$$C \rightarrow \prod_{n \geq 0} V^{\otimes(n+1)} : c \mapsto (p(c), p^{\otimes 2}(\Delta c), p^{\otimes 3}(\Delta^2 c), \dots)$$

is injective.

Lemma 3.3. *If $Q \in \text{coDer}(C)$, then*

$$\Delta^n \circ Q = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \text{id}^{\otimes i} \otimes Q \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes(n-i)} \right) \circ \Delta^n.$$

Proposition 3.4. *If $Q, Q' \in \text{coDer}(C)$, then*

$$p \circ Q = p \circ Q' \quad \Rightarrow \quad Q = Q'.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove

$$p^{\otimes(n+1)} \circ \Delta^n \circ Q = p^{\otimes(n+1)} \circ \Delta^n \circ Q'$$

for any $n \geq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} p^{\otimes(n+1)} \circ \Delta^n \circ Q &= p^{\otimes(n+1)} \circ \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \text{id}^{\otimes i} \otimes Q \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes(n-i)} \right) \circ \Delta^n \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^n p^{\otimes i} \otimes (pQ) \otimes p^{\otimes(n-i)} \right) \circ \Delta^n \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^n p^{\otimes i} \otimes (pQ') \otimes p^{\otimes(n-i)} \right) \circ \Delta^n \\ &= p^{\otimes(n+1)} \circ \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \text{id}^{\otimes i} \otimes Q' \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes(n-i)} \right) \circ \Delta^n \\ &= p^{\otimes(n+1)} \circ \Delta^n \circ Q'. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following colgebra plays a key role in this section.

Definition 3.5. *Let V be a graded vector space. The (reduced) tensor coalgebra $\bar{T}V$ generated by V is the space*

$$\bar{T}V := \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} V^{\otimes n}$$

together with the comultiplication $\Delta : \bar{T}V \rightarrow \bar{T}V \otimes \bar{T}V$ defined by the formula

$$\Delta(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) := \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_i) \otimes (v_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n)$$

for $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$.

Lemma 3.6. *The natural projection $p : \bar{T}V \rightarrow V$ is a cogenerator.*

Proof. $p^{\otimes n} \Delta^{n-1}|_{V^{\otimes n}} = \text{id}_{V^{\otimes n}}$.

□

Proposition 3.7. *The map*

$$\text{coDer}(\bar{T}V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\bar{T}V, V) : Q \mapsto p \circ Q$$

is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces with the inverse

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\bar{T}V, V) \rightarrow \text{coDer}(\bar{T}V) : \phi \mapsto Q_\phi$$

where

$$Q_\phi(v_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-m} (-1)^{|\phi|(|v_0| + \cdots + |v_{i-1}|)} v_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i-1} \otimes \phi(v_i \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i+m}) \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n$$

for $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}^{|\phi|}(V^{\otimes(m+1)}, V)$.

Proof. It's clear $p \circ Q_\phi = \phi$. Thus it suffices to show $Q_\phi \in \text{coDer}(\bar{T}V)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \circ Q_\phi &= \Delta \left(\sum_{i,j \geq 0} \text{id}^{\otimes i} \otimes \phi \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j \geq 0} \sum_{k=0}^i (\text{id}^{\otimes k}) \otimes (\text{id}^{\otimes i-k} \otimes \phi \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes j}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j \geq 0} \sum_{k=0}^j (\text{id}^{\otimes i} \otimes \phi \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes k}) \otimes (\text{id}^{\otimes j-k}) \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes Q_\phi + Q_\phi \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.8. An A_∞ -structure on V is equivalent to a coderivation $Q \in \text{coDer}^1(\bar{T}(V[1]))$ of degree one on $\bar{T}(V[1])$ such that $Q \circ Q = 0$.

Let A be an algebra. A Hochschild cochain $\phi \in C_{\mathcal{H}}^{\geq 0}(A)$ cogenerates a coderivation $Q_\phi \in \text{coDer}(\bar{T}(A[1]))$. Furthermore, the Hochschild composition corresponds to the composition of coderivations.

Proposition 3.9. Let $\phi \in C_{\mathcal{H}}^m(A)$, $\psi \in C_{\mathcal{H}}^n(A)$, $m, n \geq 0$. Suppose $Q_\phi \in \text{coDer}(\bar{T}(A[1]))$ and $Q_\psi \in \text{coDer}(\bar{T}(A[1]))$ are the coderivations cogenerated by ϕ and ψ , respectively. Then

$$\phi \bullet \psi = p \circ (Q_\phi \circ Q_\psi).$$

Remark 3.10. The subspace $\text{coDer}(\bar{T}(A[1])) \subset \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}}(\bar{T}(A[1]))$ is NOT closed under composition.

4. AN OUTLOOK TO DEFORMATION QUANTIZATION

In [1, 2], F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz, and D. Sternheimer suggested to study “quantum observables” by $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$ and “star product.”

Definition 4.1. Let M be a manifold. A **star product**

$$* : C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]] \times C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]] \rightarrow C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$$

on M is a deformation product of the algebra $C^\infty(M)$ (with pointwise multiplication m) whose restriction

$$*|_{C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k \hbar^k = m + B_1 \hbar + B_2 \hbar^2 + \dots$$

satisfies the properties

- $B_i : C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ are **bidifferential operators**
- B_1 is a Poisson bracket on M .
- $1 * f = f * 1 = f$

Main question in deformation quantization:

Given a Poisson manifold $(M, \{, \})$, can one construct a star product such that its classical limit is $\{, \}$? I.e.

$$\lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\hbar} (f * g - g * f) = \{f, g\}?$$

Definition 4.2. The dgla $(\mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}}^\bullet(M), 0, [-, -])$ of **polyvector fields** on M is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}}^\bullet(M) = \bigoplus_{i \geq -1} \mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}}^i(M), \quad \mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}}^i(M) = \Gamma(\Lambda^{i+1} T_M)$$

where the *Schouten bracket*

$$[-, -] : \mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}}^k(M) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}}^l(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}}^{k+l}(M)$$

is characterized by the properties

$$[X, f] = X(f), \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}}^0(M), f \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}}^{-1}(M) = C^\infty(M)$$

$$[X, Y] = \text{Lie bracket of vector fields}, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}}^0(M)$$

$$[\xi, \eta \wedge \zeta] = [\xi, \eta] \wedge \zeta + (-1)^{|\xi||\eta|} \eta \wedge [\xi, \zeta], \quad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}}^{|\xi|}(M), \eta \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}}^{|\eta|}(M), \zeta \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}}^\bullet(M).$$

Definition 4.3. The dgla $(\mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}}^\bullet(M), d_{\mathcal{H}}, \llbracket -, - \rrbracket)$ of *polydifferential operators* on M is the subdgl

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}}^\bullet(M) = \bigoplus_{i \geq -1} \mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}}^i(M),$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}}^i(M) = \underbrace{\mathcal{D}(M) \otimes_R \cdots \otimes_R \mathcal{D}(M)}_{i+1 \text{ factors}},$$

$$R = C^\infty(M), \quad \mathcal{D}(M) = \text{differential operators on } M,$$

of the dgla of Hochschild cochains $(C_{\mathcal{H}}^\bullet(C^\infty(M)), d_{\mathcal{H}}, \llbracket -, - \rrbracket)$.

Definition 4.4. The *Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg map*

$$\text{hkr} : \mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}}^\bullet(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}}^\bullet(M)$$

is defined by the skew-symmetrization formula

$$\text{hkr}(X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_k) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{sgn}(\sigma) X_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{\sigma(k)}, \quad \forall X_i \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

Theorem 4.5 (Kontsevich formality theorem [4]). *There exists an L_∞ quasi-isomorphism*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}}^\bullet(M) \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}}^\bullet(M)$$

such that its first Taylor coefficient is the natural inclusion $\Phi_1 = \text{hkr}$.

Remark 4.6. A L_∞ morphism $\mathcal{F} : \mathfrak{g} \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{h}$ induces a map on MC elements

$$\mathcal{F} : \text{MC}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{MC}(\mathfrak{h}) : \omega \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{F}_k(\omega^k)$$

provided the series converges.

Proposition 4.7. *The following characterizes MC elements in $\mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}}^\bullet(M)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}}^\bullet(M)$ respectively:*

- $\pi \in \text{MC}(\mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}}^\bullet(M)) \Leftrightarrow [\pi, \pi] = 0 \Leftrightarrow \pi$ is a *Poisson bivector field*
- $\Theta \in \text{MC}(\hbar \mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}}^\bullet(M) \llbracket \hbar \rrbracket) \Leftrightarrow m + \Theta$ generates a deformation product.

Kontsevich's L_∞ morphism induces a map on Maurer–Cartan elements:

$$\Phi : \text{MC}(\hbar \mathcal{T}_{\text{poly}}^\bullet(M) \llbracket \hbar \rrbracket) \ni \hbar \cdot \pi \mapsto \Theta \in \text{MC}(\hbar \mathcal{D}_{\text{poly}}^\bullet(M) \llbracket \hbar \rrbracket)$$

Solution of deformation quantization:

Properties of Kontsevich's Φ + properties of MC (associativity)
 $\Rightarrow \Phi(\hbar \cdot \pi)$ induces a star product with $B_1 = \frac{1}{2} \{ , \}_\pi$

REFERENCES

1. F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz, and D. Sternheimer, *Deformation theory and quantization. I. Deformations of symplectic structures*, Ann. Physics **111** (1978), no. 1, 61–110. MR 0496157 (58 #14737a)
2. ———, *Deformation theory and quantization. II. Physical applications*, Ann. Physics **111** (1978), no. 1, 111–151. MR 0496158 (58 #14737b)
3. Murray Gerstenhaber, *The cohomology structure of an associative ring*, Ann. of Math. (2) **78** (1963), 267–288. MR 161898
4. Maxim Kontsevich, *Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds*, Lett. Math. Phys. **66** (2003), no. 3, 157–216. MR 2062626 (2005i:53122)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY

Email address: `hsuanyiliao@kias.re.kr`