# SLE LOOP MEASURES

Dapeng Zhan

Michigan State University

Geometry, Analysis and Probability May 8-12, 2017, KIAS

### Background

#### • SLE = Schramm(1961-2008)-Loewner(1893-1968) evolution.

## Background

- SLE = Schramm(1961-2008)-Loewner(1893-1968) evolution.
- Schramm invented SLE in 1999 by adding randomness to the traditional Loewner's differential equation in Complex Analysis.

### Background

- SLE = Schramm(1961-2008)-Loewner(1893-1968) evolution.
- Schramm invented SLE in 1999 by adding randomness to the traditional Loewner's differential equation in Complex Analysis.
- Random fractal curve depending on a parameter  $\kappa \in (0,\infty)$

- SLE = Schramm(1961-2008)-Loewner(1893-1968) evolution.
- Schramm invented SLE in 1999 by adding randomness to the traditional Loewner's differential equation in Complex Analysis.
- Random fractal curve depending on a parameter  $\kappa \in (0,\infty)$
- Connection with physics: percolation (SLE<sub>6</sub>), spin Ising model (SLE<sub>3</sub>), FK-Ising model (SLE<sub>16/3</sub>), loop-erased random walk (SLE<sub>2</sub>), uniform spanning tree (SLE<sub>8</sub>), GFF contour line (SLE<sub>4</sub>).

- SLE = Schramm(1961-2008)-Loewner(1893-1968) evolution.
- Schramm invented SLE in 1999 by adding randomness to the traditional Loewner's differential equation in Complex Analysis.
- Random fractal curve depending on a parameter  $\kappa \in (0,\infty)$
- Connection with physics: percolation (SLE<sub>6</sub>), spin Ising model (SLE<sub>3</sub>), FK-Ising model (SLE<sub>16/3</sub>), loop-erased random walk (SLE<sub>2</sub>), uniform spanning tree (SLE<sub>8</sub>), GFF contour line (SLE<sub>4</sub>).
- Phase transition: simple curve iff  $\kappa \leq 4$ ; space-filling iff  $\kappa \geq 8$ .  $\dim_H(SLE_{\kappa}) = \min\{1 + \frac{\kappa}{8}, 2\}.$

- SLE = Schramm(1961-2008)-Loewner(1893-1968) evolution.
- Schramm invented SLE in 1999 by adding randomness to the traditional Loewner's differential equation in Complex Analysis.
- Random fractal curve depending on a parameter  $\kappa \in (0,\infty)$
- Connection with physics: percolation (SLE<sub>6</sub>), spin Ising model (SLE<sub>3</sub>), FK-Ising model (SLE<sub>16/3</sub>), loop-erased random walk (SLE<sub>2</sub>), uniform spanning tree (SLE<sub>8</sub>), GFF contour line (SLE<sub>4</sub>).
- Phase transition: simple curve iff  $\kappa \leq 4$ ; space-filling iff  $\kappa \geq 8$ .  $\dim_H(SLE_{\kappa}) = \min\{1 + \frac{\kappa}{8}, 2\}.$
- Conformal Markov property (CMP): (i) the law is invariant under conformal maps; (ii) conditional on an SLE up to a stopping time, the rest is still an SLE. CMP determines SLE up to κ.

- SLE = Schramm(1961-2008)-Loewner(1893-1968) evolution.
- Schramm invented SLE in 1999 by adding randomness to the traditional Loewner's differential equation in Complex Analysis.
- Random fractal curve depending on a parameter  $\kappa \in (0,\infty)$
- Connection with physics: percolation (SLE<sub>6</sub>), spin Ising model (SLE<sub>3</sub>), FK-Ising model (SLE<sub>16/3</sub>), loop-erased random walk (SLE<sub>2</sub>), uniform spanning tree (SLE<sub>8</sub>), GFF contour line (SLE<sub>4</sub>).
- Phase transition: simple curve iff  $\kappa \leq 4$ ; space-filling iff  $\kappa \geq 8$ .  $\dim_H(SLE_{\kappa}) = \min\{1 + \frac{\kappa}{8}, 2\}.$
- Conformal Markov property (CMP): (i) the law is invariant under conformal maps; (ii) conditional on an SLE up to a stopping time, the rest is still an SLE. CMP determines SLE up to κ.
- Several versions: chordal (boundary to boundary), radial (boundary to interior), whole-plane (interior to interior), etc.

## Conformal Markov property

A chordal  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curve grows in a simply connected domain, say D, from one boundary point a to another boundary point b. If  $\tau$  is a stopping time before b is reached, then conditional on  $\gamma$  up to  $\tau$ , the part of  $\gamma$ from  $\tau$  to its end is a chordal  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  growing in a complement domain.

## Conformal Markov property

A chordal  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curve grows in a simply connected domain, say D, from one boundary point a to another boundary point b. If  $\tau$  is a stopping time before b is reached, then conditional on  $\gamma$  up to  $\tau$ , the part of  $\gamma$ from  $\tau$  to its end is a chordal  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  growing in a complement domain.

A radial  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curve grows in a simply connected domain from one boundary point to an interior point. It also satisfies CMP, i.e., the above paragraph holds with the word "radial" in place of "chordal".

## Conformal Markov property

A chordal  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curve grows in a simply connected domain, say D, from one boundary point a to another boundary point b. If  $\tau$  is a stopping time before b is reached, then conditional on  $\gamma$  up to  $\tau$ , the part of  $\gamma$ from  $\tau$  to its end is a chordal  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  growing in a complement domain.

A radial  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curve grows in a simply connected domain from one boundary point to an interior point. It also satisfies CMP, i.e., the above paragraph holds with the word "radial" in place of "chordal".

A whole-plane  $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curve  $\gamma$  grows in the Riemann sphere  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  from one interior point *a* to another *b*. It also satisfies CMP, which is slightly different from the above. If  $\tau$  is a nontrivial stopping time, i.e., does not happen at the initial time, and happens before *b* is reached, then conditional on  $\gamma$  up to  $\tau$ , the part of  $\gamma$  from  $\tau$  to its end is a *radial*  $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}$  growing in a complement domain.

#### THE GOAL

• Goal: construct SLE loops with CMP and other nice properties.

#### THE GOAL

- Goal: construct SLE loops with CMP and other nice properties.
- **Object**: an  $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop is a single "random" closed curve, which locally looks like an ordinary  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curve.

#### THE GOAL

- Goal: construct SLE loops with CMP and other nice properties.
- **Object**: an  $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop is a single "random" closed curve, which locally looks like an ordinary  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curve.
- Caution: unlike other  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curves, the "law" of an  $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop is not a probability measure, but a  $\sigma$ -finite infinite measure.

#### The goal

- Goal: construct SLE loops with CMP and other nice properties.
- **Object**: an  $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop is a single "random" closed curve, which locally looks like an ordinary  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curve.
- Caution: unlike other  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curves, the "law" of an  $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop is not a probability measure, but a  $\sigma$ -finite infinite measure.
- CMP of SLE loop: A rooted  $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop measure is expected to satisfy CMP such that

 $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop : chordal  $SLE_{\kappa}$  = whole-plane  $SLE_{\kappa}$  : radial  $SLE_{\kappa}$ .

This means that, if  $\gamma$  is an  $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}$  loop in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  rooted at z, and  $\tau$  is a nontrivial stopping time, then conditional on the part of the curve before  $\tau$  and the event that  $\tau$  happens before  $\gamma$  returns to z, the part of  $\gamma$  from  $\tau$  to its terminal time is a *chordal*  $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curve.

The project is motivated by the Brownian loop measure constructed by Lawler-Werner. Here is a brief review.

The project is motivated by the Brownian loop measure constructed by Lawler-Werner. Here is a brief review.

• Planar Brownian motion (started from 0):  $B_t = B_t^x + iB_t^y$ , where  $B^x$  and  $B^y$  are independent 1-dim Brownian motions.

The project is motivated by the Brownian loop measure constructed by Lawler-Werner. Here is a brief review.

- Planar Brownian motion (started from 0):  $B_t = B_t^x + iB_t^y$ , where  $B^x$  and  $B^y$  are independent 1-dim Brownian motions.
- Brownian Bridge (from 0 to 0 with duration T):  $X_t = B_t \frac{t}{T}B_T$ ,  $0 \le t \le T$ . Let  $\mu_T^{\text{BB}}$  denote the law.

The project is motivated by the Brownian loop measure constructed by Lawler-Werner. Here is a brief review.

- Planar Brownian motion (started from 0):  $B_t = B_t^x + iB_t^y$ , where  $B^x$  and  $B^y$  are independent 1-dim Brownian motions.
- Brownian Bridge (from 0 to 0 with duration T):  $X_t = B_t \frac{t}{T}B_T$ ,  $0 \le t \le T$ . Let  $\mu_T^{\text{BB}}$  denote the law.
- Brownian loop measure rooted at 0:  $\mu_0^{\text{lp}} := \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2\pi T} \mu_T^{\text{BB}} dT$ . For other roots,  $\mu_z^{\text{lp}} = z + \mu_0^{\text{lp}}$ .

The project is motivated by the Brownian loop measure constructed by Lawler-Werner. Here is a brief review.

- Planar Brownian motion (started from 0):  $B_t = B_t^x + iB_t^y$ , where  $B^x$  and  $B^y$  are independent 1-dim Brownian motions.
- Brownian Bridge (from 0 to 0 with duration T):  $X_t = B_t \frac{t}{T}B_T$ ,  $0 \le t \le T$ . Let  $\mu_T^{\text{BB}}$  denote the law.
- Brownian loop measure rooted at 0:  $\mu_0^{\text{lp}} := \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2\pi T} \mu_T^{\text{BB}} dT$ . For other roots,  $\mu_z^{\text{lp}} = z + \mu_0^{\text{lp}}$ .
- Unrooted Brownian loop masure:  $\mu^{\text{lp}} := \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{1}{T} \mu_z^{\text{lp}} dA(z).$

The project is motivated by the Brownian loop measure constructed by Lawler-Werner. Here is a brief review.

- Planar Brownian motion (started from 0):  $B_t = B_t^x + iB_t^y$ , where  $B^x$  and  $B^y$  are independent 1-dim Brownian motions.
- Brownian Bridge (from 0 to 0 with duration T):  $X_t = B_t \frac{t}{T}B_T$ ,  $0 \le t \le T$ . Let  $\mu_T^{\text{BB}}$  denote the law.
- Brownian loop measure rooted at 0:  $\mu_0^{\text{lp}} := \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2\pi T} \mu_T^{\text{BB}} dT$ . For other roots,  $\mu_z^{\text{lp}} = z + \mu_0^{\text{lp}}$ .
- Unrooted Brownian loop masure:  $\mu^{\text{lp}} := \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{1}{T} \mu_z^{\text{lp}} dA(z).$
- Möbius invariance:  $W(\mu_z^{\text{lp}}) = \mu_{W(z)}^{\text{lp}}$  and  $W(\mu^{\text{lp}}) = \mu^{\text{lp}}$ .

• Werner used outer boundary of Brownian loop to construct  $SLE_{8/3}$  loop with conformal restriction property.

- Werner used outer boundary of Brownian loop to construct  $SLE_{8/3}$  loop with conformal restriction property.
- Kassel-Kenyon/Benoist-Dubédat constructed SLE<sub>2</sub> loop as scaling limit of the unicycle of a cycle-rooted spanning tree.

- Werner used outer boundary of Brownian loop to construct  $SLE_{8/3}$  loop with conformal restriction property.
- Kassel-Kenyon/Benoist-Dubédat constructed SLE<sub>2</sub> loop as scaling limit of the unicycle of a cycle-rooted spanning tree.
- The above are examples of Malliavin-Kontsevich-Suhov loop measure (c = 0, c = -2), which is expected to exist for all c  $\leq 1$ .

- Werner used outer boundary of Brownian loop to construct  $SLE_{8/3}$  loop with conformal restriction property.
- Kassel-Kenyon/Benoist-Dubédat constructed SLE<sub>2</sub> loop as scaling limit of the unicycle of a cycle-rooted spanning tree.
- The above are examples of Malliavin-Kontsevich-Suhov loop measure (c = 0, c = -2), which is expected to exist for all c  $\leq 1$ .
- Sheffield-Werner constructed conformal loop ensemble ( $\text{CLE}_{\kappa}$ ) for  $\kappa \in (\frac{8}{3}, 8)$ , which is a random collection of non-crossing loops in a simply connected domain. It is different from the SLE loop here.

- Werner used outer boundary of Brownian loop to construct  $SLE_{8/3}$  loop with conformal restriction property.
- Kassel-Kenyon/Benoist-Dubédat constructed SLE<sub>2</sub> loop as scaling limit of the unicycle of a cycle-rooted spanning tree.
- The above are examples of Malliavin-Kontsevich-Suhov loop measure (c = 0, c = -2), which is expected to exist for all c  $\leq 1$ .
- Sheffield-Werner constructed conformal loop ensemble ( $\text{CLE}_{\kappa}$ ) for  $\kappa \in (\frac{8}{3}, 8)$ , which is a random collection of non-crossing loops in a simply connected domain. It is different from the SLE loop here.
- Kemppainen-Werner constructed unrooted  $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop measures in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  for  $\kappa \in (8/3, 4]$  as the intensity measure of a *nested* CLE, which is used to prove the Möbius invariance of nested CLE on  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ .

• SLE<sub>8/3</sub> bubble (loop rooted at a boundary point) was constructed by Lawler-Schramm-Werner as boundary of Brownian bubble. SLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> bubble for  $\kappa \in (\frac{8}{3}, 4]$  were constructed by Sheffield-Werner as a CLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> loop conditioned to touch a boundary point.

- SLE<sub>8/3</sub> bubble (loop rooted at a boundary point) was constructed by Lawler-Schramm-Werner as boundary of Brownian bubble. SLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> bubble for  $\kappa \in (\frac{8}{3}, 4]$  were constructed by Sheffield-Werner as a CLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> loop conditioned to touch a boundary point.
- Field-Lawler and Benoist-Dubédat have been working on the construction of SLE loops using different approaches.

We construct several types of  $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop measures for  $\kappa \in (0, 8)$ .



We construct several types of  $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop measures for  $\kappa \in (0, 8)$ .

We construct several types of  $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop measures for  $\kappa \in (0, 8)$ .

Highlights:

• A new approach works for all  $\kappa \in (0, 8)$ , simple or nonsimple.

We construct several types of  $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop measures for  $\kappa \in (0, 8)$ .

- A new approach works for all  $\kappa \in (0, 8)$ , simple or nonsimple.
- CMP of SLE loops allows applying SLE-based results.

We construct several types of  $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop measures for  $\kappa \in (0, 8)$ .

- A new approach works for all  $\kappa \in (0, 8)$ , simple or nonsimple.
- CMP of SLE loops allows applying SLE-based results.
- Space-time homogeneity of SLE loop in natural parametrization.

We construct several types of  $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop measures for  $\kappa \in (0, 8)$ .

- A new approach works for all  $\kappa \in (0, 8)$ , simple or nonsimple.
- CMP of SLE loops allows applying SLE-based results.
- Space-time homogeneity of SLE loop in natural parametrization.
- Generalized restriction property similar to chordal SLE.

We construct several types of  $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop measures for  $\kappa \in (0, 8)$ .

- A new approach works for all  $\kappa \in (0, 8)$ , simple or nonsimple.
- CMP of SLE loops allows applying SLE-based results.
- Space-time homogeneity of SLE loop in natural parametrization.
- Generalized restriction property similar to chordal SLE.
- A 1/d-self similar SLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> process with stationary increments.

We construct several types of  $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop measures for  $\kappa \in (0, 8)$ .

- A new approach works for all  $\kappa \in (0, 8)$ , simple or nonsimple.
- CMP of SLE loops allows applying SLE-based results.
- Space-time homogeneity of SLE loop in natural parametrization.
- Generalized restriction property similar to chordal SLE.
- A 1/d-self similar SLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> process with stationary increments.
- Optimal Hölder continuity of SLE with natural parametrization.
# MAIN RESULTS

We construct several types of  $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop measures for  $\kappa \in (0, 8)$ .

Highlights:

- A new approach works for all  $\kappa \in (0, 8)$ , simple or nonsimple.
- CMP of SLE loops allows applying SLE-based results.
- Space-time homogeneity of SLE loop in natural parametrization.
- Generalized restriction property similar to chordal SLE.
- A 1/d-self similar SLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> process with stationary increments.
- Optimal Hölder continuity of SLE with natural parametrization.
- Mckean's dimension theorem for SLE (with factor d).

• For  $\kappa = 8/3$ , the unrooted SLE<sub>8/3</sub> loop measure in Riemann surfaces agree with Werner's SLE<sub>8/3</sub> loop measure.

- For  $\kappa = 8/3$ , the unrooted SLE<sub>8/3</sub> loop measure in Riemann surfaces agree with Werner's SLE<sub>8/3</sub> loop measure.
- For  $\kappa = 2$ , the unrooted SLE<sub>2</sub> loop measure after normalization agrees with the SLE<sub>2</sub> measure by Kassel-Kenyon/Benoist-Dubédat.

- For  $\kappa = 8/3$ , the unrooted SLE<sub>8/3</sub> loop measure in Riemann surfaces agree with Werner's SLE<sub>8/3</sub> loop measure.
- For  $\kappa = 2$ , the unrooted SLE<sub>2</sub> loop measure after normalization agrees with the SLE<sub>2</sub> measure by Kassel-Kenyon/Benoist-Dubédat.
- For  $\kappa \in (8/3, 4]$ , SLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> loop measure in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  agrees with the loop measure by Kemppainen-Werner up to a multiplicative constant depending on  $\kappa$ .

- For  $\kappa = 8/3$ , the unrooted SLE<sub>8/3</sub> loop measure in Riemann surfaces agree with Werner's SLE<sub>8/3</sub> loop measure.
- For  $\kappa = 2$ , the unrooted SLE<sub>2</sub> loop measure after normalization agrees with the SLE<sub>2</sub> measure by Kassel-Kenyon/Benoist-Dubédat.
- For  $\kappa \in (8/3, 4]$ , SLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> loop measure in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  agrees with the loop measure by Kemppainen-Werner up to a multiplicative constant depending on  $\kappa$ .
- For  $\kappa \in [8/3, 4]$ , the SLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> bubble measure agrees with the bubble measures by Lawler-Schramm-Werner and Sheffield-Werner up to a multiplicative constant.

- For  $\kappa = 8/3$ , the unrooted SLE<sub>8/3</sub> loop measure in Riemann surfaces agree with Werner's SLE<sub>8/3</sub> loop measure.
- For  $\kappa = 2$ , the unrooted SLE<sub>2</sub> loop measure after normalization agrees with the SLE<sub>2</sub> measure by Kassel-Kenyon/Benoist-Dubédat.
- For  $\kappa \in (8/3, 4]$ , SLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> loop measure in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  agrees with the loop measure by Kemppainen-Werner up to a multiplicative constant depending on  $\kappa$ .
- For  $\kappa \in [8/3, 4]$ , the SLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> bubble measure agrees with the bubble measures by Lawler-Schramm-Werner and Sheffield-Werner up to a multiplicative constant.
- The simple  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  loops for  $\kappa \in (0, 4]$  give examples of MKS loop measures with  $c = \frac{(6-\kappa)(3\kappa-8)}{2\kappa} \in (-\infty, 1]$ .

#### The first attempt

A whole-plane  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curve from 0 to  $\infty$  may be constructed by the following procedure. Run a radial  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curve in the simply connected domain  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{|z| \leq \varepsilon\}$  from  $\varepsilon$  to  $\infty$ , and take the limit as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

#### The first attempt

A whole-plane  $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curve from 0 to  $\infty$  may be constructed by the following procedure. Run a radial  $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curve in the simply connected domain  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{|z| \leq \varepsilon\}$  from  $\varepsilon$  to  $\infty$ , and take the limit as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

This inspires us to define  $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}$  loop rooted at 0 by the following approach: run a chordal  $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curve in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{|z| \leq \varepsilon\}$  from  $\varepsilon$  to  $-\varepsilon$ , and then let  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . This procedure does not work because of the following reason. As  $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}$  for  $\kappa \in (0, 8)$  is not space-filing, almost surely the curve avoids  $\infty$ , i.e., the curve is bounded. By scaling property, we end up with a single point by taking the limit  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

#### The first attempt

A whole-plane  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curve from 0 to  $\infty$  may be constructed by the following procedure. Run a radial  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curve in the simply connected domain  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{|z| \leq \varepsilon\}$  from  $\varepsilon$  to  $\infty$ , and take the limit as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

This inspires us to define  $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}$  loop rooted at 0 by the following approach: run a chordal  $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curve in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{|z| \leq \varepsilon\}$  from  $\varepsilon$  to  $-\varepsilon$ , and then let  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . This procedure does not work because of the following reason. As  $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}$  for  $\kappa \in (0, 8)$  is not space-filing, almost surely the curve avoids  $\infty$ , i.e., the curve is bounded. By scaling property, we end up with a single point by taking the limit  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

This observation also gives an evidence that the law of an  $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop can not be a probability measure.

We now construct rooted SLE loops with CMP. To understand CMP rigorously, we use the notion **kernel** from modern probability.

# Kernel

We now construct rooted SLE loops with CMP. To understand CMP rigorously, we use the notion **kernel** from modern probability.

• Given measurable spaces  $(U, \mathcal{U})$  and  $(V, \mathcal{V})$ , a kernel  $\nu$  from U to V is a map  $\nu : U \times \mathcal{V} \to [0, \infty]$  such that

# Kernel

We now construct rooted SLE loops with CMP. To understand CMP rigorously, we use the notion **kernel** from modern probability.

- Given measurable spaces  $(U, \mathcal{U})$  and  $(V, \mathcal{V})$ , a kernel  $\nu$  from U to V is a map  $\nu : U \times \mathcal{V} \to [0, \infty]$  such that
  - (I) for every  $u \in U$ ,  $\nu(u, \cdot)$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{V}$ ;
  - (II) for every  $F \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\nu(\cdot, F)$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -measurable.

We now construct rooted SLE loops with CMP. To understand CMP rigorously, we use the notion **kernel** from modern probability.

- Given measurable spaces  $(U, \mathcal{U})$  and  $(V, \mathcal{V})$ , a kernel  $\nu$  from U to V is a map  $\nu : U \times \mathcal{V} \to [0, \infty]$  such that
  - (I) for every  $u \in U$ ,  $\nu(u, \cdot)$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{V}$ ;
  - (II) for every  $F \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\nu(\cdot, F)$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -measurable.
- The kernel  $\nu$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -finite if  $V = \bigcup F_n$  for  $F_n \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $\nu(u, F_n) < \infty$  for all  $u \in U$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We now construct rooted SLE loops with CMP. To understand CMP rigorously, we use the notion **kernel** from modern probability.

- Given measurable spaces  $(U, \mathcal{U})$  and  $(V, \mathcal{V})$ , a kernel  $\nu$  from U to V is a map  $\nu : U \times \mathcal{V} \to [0, \infty]$  such that
  - (I) for every  $u \in U$ ,  $\nu(u, \cdot)$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{V}$ ;
  - (II) for every  $F \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\nu(\cdot, F)$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -measurable.
- The kernel  $\nu$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -finite if  $V = \bigcup F_n$  for  $F_n \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $\nu(u, F_n) < \infty$  for all  $u \in U$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Given a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$  on U and a  $\sigma$ -finite kernel from U to V, we may define a measure  $\mu \otimes \nu$  on  $U \times V$  such that

$$\mu \otimes \nu(E \times F) = \int_E \nu(u, F) d\mu(u), \quad E \in \mathfrak{U}, \quad \mathfrak{F} \in \mathfrak{V}.$$

We use  $\int \nu(u, \cdot)\mu(du)$  to denote the marginal of  $\mu \otimes \nu$  on V.

We now construct rooted SLE loops with CMP. To understand CMP rigorously, we use the notion **kernel** from modern probability.

- Given measurable spaces  $(U, \mathcal{U})$  and  $(V, \mathcal{V})$ , a kernel  $\nu$  from U to V is a map  $\nu : U \times \mathcal{V} \to [0, \infty]$  such that
  - (I) for every  $u \in U$ ,  $\nu(u, \cdot)$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{V}$ ;
  - (II) for every  $F \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\nu(\cdot, F)$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -measurable.
- The kernel  $\nu$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -finite if  $V = \bigcup F_n$  for  $F_n \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $\nu(u, F_n) < \infty$  for all  $u \in U$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Given a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$  on U and a  $\sigma$ -finite kernel from U to V, we may define a measure  $\mu \otimes \nu$  on  $U \times V$  such that

$$\mu \otimes \nu(E \times F) = \int_E \nu(u, F) d\mu(u), \quad E \in \mathfrak{U}, \quad \mathfrak{F} \in \mathfrak{V}.$$

We use  $\int \nu(u, \cdot)\mu(du)$  to denote the marginal of  $\mu \otimes \nu$  on V. • Use  $\nu \overleftarrow{\otimes} \mu$  if we want to switch the order of U and V.

We may describe the sampling of (X, Y) according to the measure  $\mu \otimes \nu$  in two steps.

- First, "sample" X according to the measure  $\mu$ .
- Second, "sample" Y according to the kernel  $\nu$  and the value of X.

We may describe the sampling of (X, Y) according to the measure  $\mu \otimes \nu$  in two steps.

- First, "sample" X according to the measure  $\mu$ .
- Second, "sample" Y according to the kernel  $\nu$  and the value of X.

**Caution**: After the second step, the marginal measure of X is changed unless  $\nu$  is  $\mu$ -a.s. a probability kernel, i.e.,  $\nu(u, V) = 1$  for  $\mu$ -a.s.  $u \in U$ . In fact, if  $\nu$  is finite, then the new marginal measure of X is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the old law:  $\mu$ , and the RN derivative is  $\nu(\cdot, V)$ .

The rigorous statement of the CMP for a chordal  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  measure  $\mu_{D;a\to b}^{\#}$  in D from a to b is as follows. Let  $T_b$  be the time that the curve ends at b. If  $\tau$  is a stopping time, then

$$\mathcal{K}_{\tau}(\mu_{D;a \to b}^{\#}|_{\{\tau < T_b\}})(d\gamma_{\tau}) \oplus \mu_{D(\gamma_{\tau};b);(\gamma_{\tau})_{\mathrm{tip}} \to b}^{\#}(d\gamma^{\tau}) = \mu_{D;a \to b}^{\#}|_{\{\tau < T_b\}},$$

The rigorous statement of the CMP for a chordal  $SLE_{\kappa}$  measure  $\mu_{D;a\rightarrow b}^{\#}$  in D from a to b is as follows. Let  $T_b$  be the time that the curve ends at b. If  $\tau$  is a stopping time, then

$$\mathcal{K}_{\tau}(\mu_{D;a\to b}^{\#}|_{\{\tau < T_b\}})(d\gamma_{\tau}) \oplus \mu_{D(\gamma_{\tau};b);(\gamma_{\tau})_{\mathrm{tip}}\to b}^{\#}(d\gamma^{\tau}) = \mu_{D;a\to b}^{\#}|_{\{\tau < T_b\}},$$

where

- $\mathcal{K}_{\tau}(\gamma)$  is the truncation of  $\gamma$  at time  $\tau$ .
- $\mu \oplus \nu$  is the pushforward of  $\mu \otimes \nu$  under the concatenation map  $(\beta, \gamma) \mapsto \beta \oplus \gamma$ .
- $D(\gamma_{\tau}; b)$  is the connected component of  $D \setminus \gamma_{\tau}$  whose boundary contains b and  $(\gamma_{\tau})_{\text{tip}}$ , the tip of  $\gamma_{\tau}$ .
- $\mu_{D(\gamma_{\tau};b);(\gamma_{\tau})_{\text{tip}}\to b}^{\#}$  is a chordal SLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> measure in  $D(\gamma_{\tau};b)$ .

Using the same spirit, we may rigorously define the CMP for an  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$ loop measure  $\mu_z^1$  in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  rooted at z as follows. Let  $T_z$  be the time that the loop returns to z. If  $\tau$  is a *nontrivial* stopping time, then

$$\mathcal{K}_{\tau}(\mu_{z}^{1}|_{\{\tau < T_{z}\}})(d\gamma_{\tau}) \oplus \mu_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}(\gamma_{\tau};z);(\gamma_{\tau})_{\mathrm{tip}} \to z}^{\#}(d\gamma^{\tau}) = \mu_{z}^{1}|_{\{\tau < T_{z}\}}$$

Using the same spirit, we may rigorously define the CMP for an  $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop measure  $\mu_z^1$  in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  rooted at z as follows. Let  $T_z$  be the time that the loop returns to z. If  $\tau$  is a *nontrivial* stopping time, then

$$\mathcal{K}_{\tau}(\mu_{z}^{1}|_{\{\tau < T_{z}\}})(d\gamma_{\tau}) \oplus \mu_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}(\gamma_{\tau};z);(\gamma_{\tau})_{\mathrm{tip}} \to z}^{\#}(d\gamma^{\tau}) = \mu_{z}^{1}|_{\{\tau < T_{z}\}},$$

where

- $\mathcal{K}_{\tau}(\gamma)$  and  $\mu \oplus \nu$  have the same meaning as before.
- $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}(\gamma_{\tau}; z)$  is the connected component of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \gamma_{\tau}$  whose boundary contains z and  $(\gamma_{\tau})_{\text{tip}}$ , the tip of  $\gamma_{\tau}$ .
- $\mu_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}(\gamma_{\tau};z);(\gamma_{\tau})_{\mathrm{tip}}\to z}^{\#}$  is a chordal SLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> measure in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}(\gamma_{\tau};z)$ .

# NATURAL PARAMETRIZATION

Our construction of SLE loops is built on the natural parametrization of SLE developed by Lawler, Sheffield, Zhou, Rezaei, Viklund....

## NATURAL PARAMETRIZATION

Our construction of SLE loops is built on the natural parametrization of SLE developed by Lawler, Sheffield, Zhou, Rezaei, Viklund....

SLE defined from Loewner's equation has the built-in capacity parametrization (CP). Lawler initiated the study of natural parametrization (NP) in order to improve the scaling limits results about SLE to capture the original length of the random lattice curves. The existence of NP of SLE for  $\kappa \in (0,8)$  was proved in [Lawler-Sheffield] and [Lawler-Zhou]. Lawler and Viklund proved that LERW with natural length converges to SLE<sub>2</sub> with NP.

# NATURAL PARAMETRIZATION

Our construction of SLE loops is built on the natural parametrization of SLE developed by Lawler, Sheffield, Zhou, Rezaei, Viklund....

SLE defined from Loewner's equation has the built-in capacity parametrization (CP). Lawler initiated the study of natural parametrization (NP) in order to improve the scaling limits results about SLE to capture the original length of the random lattice curves. The existence of NP of SLE for  $\kappa \in (0,8)$  was proved in [Lawler-Sheffield] and [Lawler-Zhou]. Lawler and Viklund proved that LERW with natural length converges to SLE<sub>2</sub> with NP.

Lawler and Rezaei proved that NP of SLE agrees with the *d*-dimensional Minkowski content of SLE, where  $d = 1 + \frac{\kappa}{8}$  is the Hausdorff dimension of SLE ([Beffara]). So NP of SLE is determined by the curve itself, and independent of the domain or equation.

Now we recall the Minkowski content and introduce the Minkowski content measure. We fix  $d \in (1, 2)$ . Let  $S \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a closed set. The (*d*-dimensional) Minkowski content of S is defined to be

$$\operatorname{Cont}(S) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{d-2} A(S^{\varepsilon}),$$

where A is the area measure, and  $S^{\varepsilon}$  is the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of S.

Now we recall the Minkowski content and introduce the Minkowski content measure. We fix  $d \in (1, 2)$ . Let  $S \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a closed set. The (*d*-dimensional) Minkowski content of S is defined to be

$$\operatorname{Cont}(S) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon^{d-2} A(S^{\varepsilon}),$$

where A is the area measure, and  $S^{\varepsilon}$  is the  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of S.

#### DEFINITION

Let  $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{M}$  is a measure supported by S such that for every compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\operatorname{Cont}(K \cap S) = \mathcal{M}(K) < \infty$ . Then we say that  $\mathcal{M}$  is the Minkowski content measure on S, or S possesses Minkowski content measure. We will use  $\mathcal{M}_S$  to denote this measure.

## Space-time homogeneity

Lawler conjectured that an  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  loop measure should satisfy space-time homogeneity: Suppose  $\gamma$  follows the  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  loop measure  $\mu_z^1$ rooted at z, and is parameterized periodically by its Minkowski content measure. Then for any deterministic number  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , if we reroot the loop at  $\gamma(a)$ , i.e., we define a new loop:  $\mathcal{T}_a(\gamma)(t) := z + \gamma(a+t) - \gamma(a)$ , then the "law" of the new loop  $\mathcal{T}_a(\gamma)$  is still  $\mu_z^1$ .

## Space-time homogeneity

Lawler conjectured that an  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  loop measure should satisfy space-time homogeneity: Suppose  $\gamma$  follows the  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  loop measure  $\mu_z^1$ rooted at z, and is parameterized periodically by its Minkowski content measure. Then for any deterministic number  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , if we reroot the loop at  $\gamma(a)$ , i.e., we define a new loop:  $\mathcal{T}_a(\gamma)(t) := z + \gamma(a+t) - \gamma(a)$ , then the "law" of the new loop  $\mathcal{T}_a(\gamma)$  is still  $\mu_z^1$ .

Here we say that a loop  $\gamma$  is parameterized periodically by its Minkowski content measure if  $\gamma$  is defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  with period  $T = \operatorname{Cont}(\gamma)$  such that for any  $a \leq b \leq a + T$ ,  $\operatorname{Cont}(\gamma([a, b]) = b - a)$ .

The work by Lawler and Rezaei showed that a chordal  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curve in  $\mathbb{H} := \{z : \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$  a.s. possesses Minkowski content measure, which is the pushforward measure of NP under the curve function. Moreover, the measure is supported by  $\mathbb{H}$ , and is parameterizable for the curve.

The work by Lawler and Rezaei showed that a chordal  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curve in  $\mathbb{H} := \{z : \text{Im } z > 0\}$  a.s. possesses Minkowski content measure, which is the pushforward measure of NP under the curve function. Moreover, the measure is supported by  $\mathbb{H}$ , and is parameterizable for the curve.

Minkowski content measure satisfies conformal covariance with factor d. This means that, if S possesses Minkowski content measure  $\mathcal{M}_S$ , and if f is a conformal map defined on a domain  $D \supset S$ , then f(S) also possesses Minkowski content measure, which is absolutely continuous w.r.t.  $f_*(\mathcal{M}_S)$ , and the RN derivative is  $|f'(f^{-1}(\cdot))|^d$ .

The work by Lawler and Rezaei showed that a chordal  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curve in  $\mathbb{H} := \{z : \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$  a.s. possesses Minkowski content measure, which is the pushforward measure of NP under the curve function. Moreover, the measure is supported by  $\mathbb{H}$ , and is parameterizable for the curve.

Minkowski content measure satisfies conformal covariance with factor d. This means that, if S possesses Minkowski content measure  $\mathcal{M}_S$ , and if f is a conformal map defined on a domain  $D \supset S$ , then f(S) also possesses Minkowski content measure, which is absolutely continuous w.r.t.  $f_*(\mathcal{M}_S)$ , and the RN derivative is  $|f'(f^{-1}(\cdot))|^d$ .

In particular, we see that  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curve in any simply connected domain possesses Minkowski content measure.

We will uses the decomposition of chordal  $SLE_{\kappa}$  in terms of two-sided radial  $SLE_{\kappa}$  initiated by Laurie Field.

 A two-sided radial SLE<sub>κ</sub> curve grows in a simply connected domain from one boundary point to another boundary point passing through a marked interior point.

- A two-sided radial SLE<sub>κ</sub> curve grows in a simply connected domain from one boundary point to another boundary point passing through a marked interior point.
- It may be viewed as a chordal  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curve conditioned on the event that it passes through the marked interior point.

- A two-sided radial SLE<sub>κ</sub> curve grows in a simply connected domain from one boundary point to another boundary point passing through a marked interior point.
- It may be viewed as a chordal  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curve conditioned on the event that it passes through the marked interior point.
- The Green's function for a chordal  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curve is the limit as  $\varepsilon \to 0$  of the probability of the event that a chordal  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curve visits a disc of radius  $\varepsilon$  divided by the scaling factor  $\varepsilon^{2-d}$ .

- A two-sided radial SLE<sub>κ</sub> curve grows in a simply connected domain from one boundary point to another boundary point passing through a marked interior point.
- It may be viewed as a chordal  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curve conditioned on the event that it passes through the marked interior point.
- The Green's function for a chordal  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curve is the limit as  $\varepsilon \to 0$  of the probability of the event that a chordal  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curve visits a disc of radius  $\varepsilon$  divided by the scaling factor  $\varepsilon^{2-d}$ .
- We use  $\mu_{D;a\to b}^{\#}$ ,  $\nu_{D;a\to z\to b}^{\#}$  and  $G_{D;a\to b}$  to denote chordal SLE, two-sided radial SLE and chordal SLE Green's function.
Field proved that, for  $\kappa \in (0, 4]$ , a bounded domain D with analytic boundary, and distinct points  $a, b \in \partial D$ ,

$$\int_D \nu_{D;a\to z\to b}^{\#} G_{D;a\to b}(z) A(dz) = \operatorname{Cont} \cdot \mu_{D;a\to b}^{\#}$$

Field proved that, for  $\kappa \in (0, 4]$ , a bounded domain D with analytic boundary, and distinct points  $a, b \in \partial D$ ,

$$\int_{D} \nu_{D;a\to z\to b}^{\#} G_{D;a\to b}(z) A(dz) = \operatorname{Cont} \cdot \mu_{D;a\to b}^{\#}$$

This means, if one integrates the laws of two-sided radial  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curves in D from a to b passing through different interior points against the Green's function for the chordal  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curve in D from a to b, then one gets the law of a chordal  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curve in D from a to b biased by the Minkowski content of the whole curve.

Field's result was later extended to all  $\kappa \in (0, 8)$  in a more general form.

Theorem (Z, 2016)

Let  $\kappa \in (0,8)$ . Let D be a simply connected domain with two distinct prime ends a and b. Then

$$\mu_{D;a\to b}^{\#}(d\gamma)\otimes \mathcal{M}_{\gamma;D}(dz) = \nu_{D;a\to z\to b}^{\#}(d\gamma)\overleftarrow{\otimes}(G_{D;a\to b}\cdot A)(dz).$$

By looking at the first marginal measure, we recover Field's result.

Field's result was later extended to all  $\kappa \in (0, 8)$  in a more general form.

Theorem (Z, 2016)

Let  $\kappa \in (0,8)$ . Let D be a simply connected domain with two distinct prime ends a and b. Then

$$\mu_{D;a\to b}^{\#}(d\gamma)\otimes \mathcal{M}_{\gamma;D}(dz) = \nu_{D;a\to z\to b}^{\#}(d\gamma)\overleftarrow{\otimes}(G_{D;a\to b}\cdot A)(dz).$$

By looking at the first marginal measure, we recover Field's result.

The above results show that the law of a chordal  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curve in D from a to b may be constructed by integrating the laws of two-sided radial  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curves in D from a to b passing through different points z against the Green's function for the chordal  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$ , and then unweighting the integrated measure by the Minkowski content of the curve.

## CONSTRUCTION OF ROOTED SLE LOOP

The construction of rooted  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  loops is inspired by the above observation. Since an  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  loop rooted at z may be viewed as a degenerate chordal  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  from z to z, we expect that its law can be constructed by integrating the laws of degenerate two-sided radial  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curves in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  from z to z passing through different points wagainst some suitable function, and then unweighting the integrated measure by the Minkowski content.

## CONSTRUCTION OF ROOTED SLE LOOP

The construction of rooted  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  loops is inspired by the above observation. Since an  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  loop rooted at z may be viewed as a degenerate chordal  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  from z to z, we expect that its law can be constructed by integrating the laws of degenerate two-sided radial  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curves in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  from z to z passing through different points wagainst some suitable function, and then unweighting the integrated measure by the Minkowski content.

A degenerate two-sided radial  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curve in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  from z to z passing through w is a two-sided whole-plane  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curve, which is composed of two arms connecting two points in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ . A two-sided whole-plane  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$ satisfies some CMP such that

two-sided whole-plane : two-sided radial = whole-plane : radial.

# ROOTED LOOPS I

Below is the main theorem on rooted SLE loop measure  $\mu_z^1$ : the superscript 1 denotes the number of root; the subscript z is the root.

#### Theorem

Let  $G_{\mathbb{C}}(w) = |w|^{-2(2-d)}$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $\nu_{z \rightleftharpoons w}^{\#}$  denote the law of the two-sided whole-plane  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curve from z to z passing through w (modulo a time change). Define

$$\mu_z^1 = \operatorname{Cont}(\cdot)^{-1} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z\}} \nu_{z \rightleftharpoons w}^{\#} G_{\mathbb{C}}(w-z) A(dw), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then we have the following facts:

# ROOTED LOOPS II

#### THEOREM

(1) Each  $\mu_z^1$  is supported by non-degenerate loops in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  rooted at z which possess Minkowski content measure that is parameterizable. Moreover, we have the decomposition formula

$$\mu_z^1(d\gamma) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\gamma}(dw) = \nu_{z \rightleftharpoons w}^{\#}(d\gamma) \overleftarrow{\otimes} G_{\mathbb{C}}(w-z) \cdot A(dw), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}$$

- (II) Each  $\mu_z^1$  satisfies CMP.
- (III) Each  $\mu_z^1$  satisfies the space-time homogeneity.
- (IV) Möbius covariance:  $W(\mu_z^1) = |W'(z)|^{2-d} \mu_{W(z)}^1$ .
- (v) For each r > 0, (a)  $\mu_z^1(\{\gamma : \operatorname{diam}(\gamma) > r\}) < \infty;$ (b)  $\mu_z^1(\{\gamma : \operatorname{Cont}(\gamma) > r\}) < \infty.$
- (VI) If a measure  $\mu'$  supported by non-degenerate loops rooted at z satisfies (II) and (V.a), then  $\mu' = c\mu_z^1$  for some  $c \ge 0$ .

### SELF SIMILARITY AND STATIONARY INCREMENTS

There is an interseting byproduct of the SLE loop measures: Hölder continuity and dimension property of SLE with NP.

### SELF SIMILARITY AND STATIONARY INCREMENTS

There is an interseting byproduct of the SLE loop measures: Hölder continuity and dimension property of SLE with NP.

Below is a list of previous works.

- Rohde and Schramm proved that SLE in CP is Hölder continuous for  $\kappa \neq 8$ .
- Lind improved the Hölder exponents of SLE in CP, which was later proved to be optimal by Lawler and Viklund.
- Werness proved that, for  $\kappa \leq 4$ , for any  $\alpha < 1/d$ , an SLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> curve may be reparametrized to be  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous.
- Lawler and Rezaei proved that, if  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curve  $\gamma$  is parameterized by CP, and if  $\Theta_t$  is such that  $\gamma \circ \Theta^{-1}$  is  $\gamma$  parameterized by NP, then  $\Theta$  is Hölder continuous.
- No result on the Hölder continuity of SLE with NP was known.

If we apply the map  $z \mapsto 1/z$  to the formula:

$$\mu_0^1(d\gamma) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\gamma}(dw) = \nu_{0 \rightleftharpoons w}^{\#}(d\gamma) \overleftarrow{\otimes} (|w|^{-2(2-d)} \cdot A)(dw),$$

then we get

$$\mu_{\infty}^{1}(d\gamma) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\gamma}(dw) = \nu_{\infty \rightleftharpoons w}^{\#}(d\gamma) \overleftarrow{\otimes} A(dw).$$

Since  $\nu_{\infty \rightleftharpoons w}^{\#} = w + \nu_{\infty \rightleftharpoons 0}^{\#}$ , using the above formula, we can prove that, if a two-sided whole-plane  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  curve  $\gamma$  with law  $\nu_{\infty \rightleftharpoons 0}^{\#}$  is parametrized by its Minkowski content measure such that  $\gamma(0) = 0$ , then it is a 1/d-self similar process defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  with stationary increments, i.e.,

$$(\gamma(at)) \sim (a^{1/d}\gamma(t)), \quad \forall a > 0;$$
  
 $(\gamma(a+t) - \gamma(a)) \sim (\gamma(t)), \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}.$ 

We want to study the Hölder continuity and dimension properties of  $\gamma$ . The problem boils down to the finiteness of momentums of  $|\gamma(1)|$ :

#### LEMMA

For any  $c \in (-d, \infty)$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[|\gamma(1)|^c] < \infty$ .

We want to study the Hölder continuity and dimension properties of  $\gamma$ . The problem boils down to the finiteness of momentums of  $|\gamma(1)|$ :

LEMMA For any  $c \in (-d, \infty)$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[|\gamma(1)|^c] < \infty$ .

Following the parallel argument on Brownian motion, we obtain

We want to study the Hölder continuity and dimension properties of  $\gamma$ . The problem boils down to the finiteness of momentums of  $|\gamma(1)|$ :

LEMMA For any  $c \in (-d, \infty)$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[|\gamma(1)|^c] < \infty$ .

Following the parallel argument on Brownian motion, we obtain

THEOREM (HÖLDER CONTINUITY)

 $\gamma$  is locally  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous for any  $\alpha < 1/d$ .

We want to study the Hölder continuity and dimension properties of  $\gamma$ . The problem boils down to the finiteness of momentums of  $|\gamma(1)|$ :

LEMMA For any  $c \in (-d, \infty)$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[|\gamma(1)|^c] < \infty$ .

Following the parallel argument on Brownian motion, we obtain

THEOREM (HÖLDER CONTINUITY)

 $\gamma$  is locally  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous for any  $\alpha < 1/d$ .

THEOREM (MCKEAN'S DIMENSION THEOREM)

For any deterministic closed set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ , a.s.  $\dim(\gamma(A)) = d \cdot \dim(A)$ .

# UNROOTED LOOP

We use rooted  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  loop measures to construct unrooted  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  loop measure in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ . It is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on unrooted loops. An unrooted loop is a continuous function defined on the circle  $S^1$ , modulo an orientation-preserving auto-homeomorphism of  $S^1$ .

We may view the two-sided whole-plane  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  measure  $\nu_{z \rightleftharpoons w}^{\#}$  as a measure on unrooted loops. By the work of Miller and Sheffield, a two-sided whole-plane  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  satisfies reversibility, i.e., we have  $\nu_{z \rightleftharpoons w}^{\#} = \nu_{w \rightleftharpoons z}^{\#}$  as measures on unrooted loops.

# UNROOTED LOOP

#### THEOREM

Define the measure  $\mu^0$  on unrooted loops by

$$\mu^0 = \operatorname{Cont}(\cdot)^{-2} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \nu_{z \rightleftharpoons w}^{\#} |w - z|^{-2(2-d)} A(dw) A(dz).$$

Then  $\mu^0$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure that satisfies:

# UNROOTED LOOP

### THEOREM

Define the measure  $\mu^0$  on unrooted loops by

$$\mu^0 = \operatorname{Cont}(\cdot)^{-2} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \nu_{z \rightleftharpoons w}^{\#} |w - z|^{-2(2-d)} A(dw) A(dz).$$

Then  $\mu^0$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure that satisfies: (I) the decomposition formulas:

$$\mu^{0}(d\gamma)\otimes \mathfrak{M}_{\gamma}(dz)=\mu^{1}_{z}(d\gamma)\overleftarrow{\otimes}A(dz);$$

 $\mu^{0}(d\gamma) \otimes (\mathcal{M}_{\gamma})^{2}(dz \otimes dw) = \nu_{z \rightleftharpoons w}^{\#}(d\gamma) \overleftarrow{\otimes} |w - z|^{-2(2-d)} \cdot (A)^{2}(dz \otimes dw).$ 

(II) Möbius invariance:  $W(\mu^0) = \mu^0$ .

• For SLE loops in subdomains of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}},$  we follow Lawler's approach.

- For SLE loops in subdomains of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}},$  we follow Lawler's approach.
- Let  $\mathcal{L}_D(V_1, V_2) = \{\text{loops in } D \text{ that intersect both } V_1 \text{ and } V_2\}$ . Let  $c = \frac{(6-\kappa)(3\kappa-8)}{2\kappa}$ . Recall  $\mu^{\text{lp}}$  is the Brownian loop measure.

- For SLE loops in subdomains of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ , we follow Lawler's approach.
- Let  $\mathcal{L}_D(V_1, V_2) = \{\text{loops in } D \text{ that intersect both } V_1 \text{ and } V_2\}$ . Let  $c = \frac{(6-\kappa)(3\kappa-8)}{2\kappa}$ . Recall  $\mu^{\text{lp}}$  is the Brownian loop measure.
- Let U be a multiply connected domain with two boundary points a, b on the same boundary component. We may find a simply connected domain  $D \supset U$  such that  $\partial D$  is the component of  $\partial U$  containing a, b. Lawler defined the  $SLE_{\kappa}$  in U from a to b as

$$\mu^D_{U;a\to b} = \mathbf{1}_{\{\cdot \subset U\}} e^{\operatorname{c} \mu^{\operatorname{lp}}(\mathcal{L}_D(\cdot, U^c)))} \cdot \mu^{\#}_{D;a\to b}.$$

- For SLE loops in subdomains of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ , we follow Lawler's approach.
- Let  $\mathcal{L}_D(V_1, V_2) = \{\text{loops in } D \text{ that intersect both } V_1 \text{ and } V_2\}$ . Let  $c = \frac{(6-\kappa)(3\kappa-8)}{2\kappa}$ . Recall  $\mu^{\text{lp}}$  is the Brownian loop measure.
- Let U be a multiply connected domain with two boundary points a, b on the same boundary component. We may find a simply connected domain  $D \supset U$  such that  $\partial D$  is the component of  $\partial U$  containing a, b. Lawler defined the  $SLE_{\kappa}$  in U from a to b as

$$\mu^{D}_{U;a\to b} = \mathbf{1}_{\{\cdot \subset U\}} e^{\operatorname{c} \mu^{\operatorname{lp}}(\mathcal{L}_{D}(\cdot, U^{c}))} \cdot \mu^{\#}_{D;a\to b}.$$

- Conformal covariance: if  $U_j \subset D_j$ ,  $a_j, b_j \in \partial U_j$ , j = 1, 2, and  $f: (U_1; a_1, b_1) \stackrel{\text{Conf}}{\twoheadrightarrow} (U_2; a_2, b_2)$ , then  $f(\mu^D_{U_1; a_1 \to b_1}) = |f'(a_1)|^{\frac{6-\kappa}{2\kappa}} |f'(b_1)|^{\frac{6-\kappa}{2\kappa}} \mu^D_{U_2; a_2 \to b_2}.$
- If  $\mu^D_{U;a \to b}$  is finite, we may normalize it to get a probability measure with conformal invariance.

• For  $D \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ , we wanted to define

$$\mu_{D;z}^{1} = \mathbf{1}_{\{\cdot \subset D\}} e^{c \, \mu^{\mathrm{lp}}(\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}(\cdot, D^{c}))} \cdot \mu_{z}^{1}, \quad \mu_{D}^{0} = \mathbf{1}_{\{\cdot \subset D\}} e^{c \, \mu^{\mathrm{lp}}(\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}(\cdot, D^{c}))} \cdot \mu^{0}.$$

• For  $D \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ , we wanted to define

$$\mu_{D;z}^{1} = \mathbf{1}_{\{\cdot \subset D\}} e^{c \,\mu^{\mathrm{lp}}(\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}(\cdot, D^{c}))} \cdot \mu_{z}^{1}, \quad \mu_{D}^{0} = \mathbf{1}_{\{\cdot \subset D\}} e^{c \,\mu^{\mathrm{lp}}(\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}(\cdot, D^{c}))} \cdot \mu^{0}.$$

• However,  $\mu^{\text{lp}}(\mathcal{L}(\gamma, D^c))$  is not finite for any curve  $\gamma$  in D. The correct alternative is the normalized Brownian loop measure introduced in [Field-Lawler], i.e.,

$$\Lambda^*(V_1, V_2) := \lim_{r \downarrow 0} [\mu^{\rm lp}_{\{|z-z_0| > r\}}(\mathcal{L}(V_1, V_2)) - \log \log(1/r)],$$

• For  $D \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ , we wanted to define

$$\mu_{D;z}^{1} = \mathbf{1}_{\{\cdot \subset D\}} e^{c \,\mu^{\mathrm{lp}}(\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}(\cdot, D^{c}))} \cdot \mu_{z}^{1}, \quad \mu_{D}^{0} = \mathbf{1}_{\{\cdot \subset D\}} e^{c \,\mu^{\mathrm{lp}}(\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}(\cdot, D^{c}))} \cdot \mu^{0}.$$

• However,  $\mu^{\text{lp}}(\mathcal{L}(\gamma, D^c))$  is not finite for any curve  $\gamma$  in D. The correct alternative is the normalized Brownian loop measure introduced in [Field-Lawler], i.e.,

$$\Lambda^*(V_1, V_2) := \lim_{r \downarrow 0} [\mu^{\rm lp}_{\{|z-z_0| > r\}}(\mathcal{L}(V_1, V_2)) - \log \log(1/r)],$$

• The limit converges if  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are disjoint compact subsets of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ ; and the value does not depend on the  $z_0 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ .

• For  $D \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ , we wanted to define

$$\mu_{D;z}^{1} = \mathbf{1}_{\{\cdot \subset D\}} e^{c \,\mu^{\mathrm{lp}}(\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}(\cdot, D^{c}))} \cdot \mu_{z}^{1}, \quad \mu_{D}^{0} = \mathbf{1}_{\{\cdot \subset D\}} e^{c \,\mu^{\mathrm{lp}}(\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}(\cdot, D^{c}))} \cdot \mu^{0}.$$

• However,  $\mu^{\text{lp}}(\mathcal{L}(\gamma, D^c))$  is not finite for any curve  $\gamma$  in D. The correct alternative is the normalized Brownian loop measure introduced in [Field-Lawler], i.e.,

$$\Lambda^*(V_1, V_2) := \lim_{r \downarrow 0} [\mu^{\rm lp}_{\{|z-z_0| > r\}}(\mathcal{L}(V_1, V_2)) - \log \log(1/r)],$$

- The limit converges if  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are disjoint compact subsets of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ ; and the value does not depend on the  $z_0 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ .
- The correct way to define  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  loop measures in  $D \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  is using  $\Lambda^*(\cdot, D^c)$  in place of  $\mu^{\text{lp}}(\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}(\cdot, D^c))$ .

#### THEOREM

The  $\mu_{D;z}^1$  and  $\mu_D^0$  defined using normalized Brownian loop measure satisfy conformal covariance and conformal invariance, respectively: if  $W: U \xrightarrow{\text{Conf}} V$ , and  $z \in U$ , then

$$W(\mu_{U;z}^{1}) = |W'(z)|^{2-d} \mu_{V;W(z)}^{1};$$
$$W(\mu_{U}^{0}) = \mu_{V}^{0}.$$

#### THEOREM

The  $\mu_{D;z}^1$  and  $\mu_D^0$  defined using normalized Brownian loop measure satisfy conformal covariance and conformal invariance, respectively: if  $W: U \xrightarrow{\text{Conf}} V$ , and  $z \in U$ , then

$$W(\mu_{U;z}^{1}) = |W'(z)|^{2-d} \mu_{V;W(z)}^{1};$$
$$W(\mu_{U}^{0}) = \mu_{V}^{0}.$$

• The proof uses CMP of rooted  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  loop in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  and the generalized restriction property of chordal  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$ .

#### THEOREM

The  $\mu_{D;z}^1$  and  $\mu_D^0$  defined using normalized Brownian loop measure satisfy conformal covariance and conformal invariance, respectively: if  $W: U \xrightarrow{\text{Conf}} V$ , and  $z \in U$ , then

$$W(\mu_{U;z}^{1}) = |W'(z)|^{2-d} \mu_{V;W(z)}^{1};$$
$$W(\mu_{U}^{0}) = \mu_{V}^{0}.$$

- The proof uses CMP of rooted  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  loop in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  and the generalized restriction property of chordal  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$ .
- SLE loop satisfies generalized restriction property:

$$\mu_{U_1}^0 = \mathbf{1}_{\{\cdot \subset U_1\}} e^{\operatorname{c} \mu^{\operatorname{lp}}(\mathcal{L}_{U_2}(\cdot, U_1^c)))} \cdot \mu_{U_2}^0, \quad U_1 \subset U_2 \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}.$$

#### THEOREM

The  $\mu_{D;z}^1$  and  $\mu_D^0$  defined using normalized Brownian loop measure satisfy conformal covariance and conformal invariance, respectively: if  $W: U \xrightarrow{\text{Conf}} V$ , and  $z \in U$ , then

$$W(\mu_{U;z}^{1}) = |W'(z)|^{2-d} \mu_{V;W(z)}^{1};$$
$$W(\mu_{U}^{0}) = \mu_{V}^{0}.$$

- The proof uses CMP of rooted  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  loop in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  and the generalized restriction property of chordal  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$ .
- SLE loop satisfies generalized restriction property:

$$\mu_{U_1}^0 = \mathbf{1}_{\{\cdot \subset U_1\}} e^{\operatorname{c} \mu^{\operatorname{lp}}(\mathcal{L}_{U_2}(\cdot, U_1^c)))} \cdot \mu_{U_2}^0, \quad U_1 \subset U_2 \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}.$$

So this is an MKS loop measure with central charge c.

• The generalized restriction property of  $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop measures allows to define SLE loops in Riemann surfaces.

- The generalized restriction property of  $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop measures allows to define SLE loops in Riemann surfaces.
- The definition uses regular or normalized Brownian loop measure.

- The generalized restriction property of  $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop measures allows to define SLE loops in Riemann surfaces.
- The definition uses regular or normalized Brownian loop measure.
- The generalized restriction property implies a consistency formula: we may define SLE loops on charts, and glue them together.

- The generalized restriction property of  $SLE_{\kappa}$  loop measures allows to define SLE loops in Riemann surfaces.
- The definition uses regular or normalized Brownian loop measure.
- The generalized restriction property implies a consistency formula: we may define SLE loops on charts, and glue them together.
- There exist other ways of defining SLE loop measures in Riemann surfaces (e.g., using  $SLE_{8/3}$  loops instead of Brownian loops).

## SLE BUBBLES

Finally, we construct SLE bubbles, which resemble Brownian bubbles.

# SLE BUBBLES

Finally, we construct SLE bubbles, which resemble Brownian bubbles.

• An SLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> bubble is a "random" loop in a simply connected domain rooted at a boundary point.
Finally, we construct SLE bubbles, which resemble Brownian bubbles.

- An SLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> bubble is a "random" loop in a simply connected domain rooted at a boundary point.
- It satisfies the CMP similarly as a rooted  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  loop in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ .

Finally, we construct SLE bubbles, which resemble Brownian bubbles.

- An SLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> bubble is a "random" loop in a simply connected domain rooted at a boundary point.
- It satisfies the CMP similarly as a rooted  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  loop in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ .
- The construction is also similar, except that we now use the degenerate two-sided radial  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curve in place of two-sided whole-plane  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curve.

Finally, we construct SLE bubbles, which resemble Brownian bubbles.

- An SLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> bubble is a "random" loop in a simply connected domain rooted at a boundary point.
- It satisfies the CMP similarly as a rooted  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  loop in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ .
- The construction is also similar, except that we now use the degenerate two-sided radial  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curve in place of two-sided whole-plane  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curve.
- A degenerate two-sided radial  $SLE_{\kappa}$  curve grows in a simply connected domain starting and ending at the same boundary point, and passing through a marked interior point. It can be constructed from two-sided radial  $SLE_{\kappa}$  by merging the two end points. We use the symbol  $\nu_{D;a \rightleftharpoons w}^{\#}$ , where  $a \in \partial D, b \in D$ .

#### THEOREM

Let  $G_{\mathbb{H}}(w) = |w|^{\frac{2}{\kappa}(\kappa-8)}(\Im w)^{\frac{(\kappa-8)^2}{8\kappa}}$ . Then the following are true.

(I) There is a unique  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu^1_{\mathbb{H},a}$  (SLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> bubble in  $\mathbb{H}$ ), which is supported by non-degenerate loops in  $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$  rooted at a which possess Minkowski content measure in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{a\}$ , and satisfies

$$\mu^{1}_{\mathbb{H};a}(d\gamma) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\gamma;\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}}(dw) = \nu^{\#}_{\mathbb{H};a\rightleftharpoons w}(d\gamma) \overleftarrow{\otimes} G_{\mathbb{H}}(w-a) \cdot A(dw).$$



We may use Brownian loop measure to define SLE bubble measures on subdomains of  $\mathbb{H}$ , and extend them to general multiply connected domains via conformal maps.

We may use Brownian loop measure to define SLE bubble measures on subdomains of  $\mathbb{H}$ , and extend them to general multiply connected domains via conformal maps.

For  $\kappa \in (0, 4]$ , an  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  bubble intersects the boundary at only one point. For  $\kappa \in (4, 8)$ , there are infinitely many intersection points. In the later case, there is another way to define  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  bubbles, and it makes sense to construct unrooted  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  bubble measures.

We may use Brownian loop measure to define SLE bubble measures on subdomains of  $\mathbb{H}$ , and extend them to general multiply connected domains via conformal maps.

For  $\kappa \in (0, 4]$ , an SLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> bubble intersects the boundary at only one point. For  $\kappa \in (4, 8)$ , there are infinitely many intersection points. In the later case, there is another way to define SLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> bubbles, and it makes sense to construct unrooted SLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> bubble measures.

We use a result by Lalwer that, for  $\kappa \in (4, 8)$ , the intersection of a chordal SLE<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> curve with the boundary of the domain has  $(2 - \frac{8}{\kappa})$ -dimensional Minkowski content.

The construction uses degenerate two-sided chordal  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  measure supported by loops rooted at two boundary points, which is defined by  $\nu_{D;a\rightleftharpoons b}^{\#} = \lim_{D \ni w \to b} \nu_{D;a\rightleftharpoons w}^{\#}.$ 

The construction uses degenerate two-sided chordal  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  measure supported by loops rooted at two boundary points, which is defined by  $\nu_{D;a\Longrightarrow b}^{\#} = \lim_{D \ni w \to b} \nu_{D;a \rightleftharpoons w}^{\#}$ .

To define  $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}$  bubble in  $\mathbb{H}$  rooted at  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we integrate  $\nu_{\mathbb{H};x \rightleftharpoons y}^{\#}$ against the function  $G_{\mathbb{H}}(y-x) := |x-y|^{-2(\frac{8}{\kappa}-1)}$ , and then unweight the integrated measure by the  $(2-\frac{8}{\kappa})$ -dimensional Minkowski content of the intersection of the loop with  $\mathbb{R}$ . This agrees with the previous  $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}$  bubble measure up to a multiplicative constant.

The construction uses degenerate two-sided chordal  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  measure supported by loops rooted at two boundary points, which is defined by  $\nu_{D;a\Longrightarrow b}^{\#} = \lim_{D \ni w \to b} \nu_{D;a \rightleftharpoons w}^{\#}$ .

To define  $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}$  bubble in  $\mathbb{H}$  rooted at  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we integrate  $\nu_{\mathbb{H};x \rightleftharpoons y}^{\#}$ against the function  $G_{\mathbb{H}}(y-x) := |x-y|^{-2(\frac{8}{\kappa}-1)}$ , and then unweight the integrated measure by the  $(2-\frac{8}{\kappa})$ -dimensional Minkowski content of the intersection of the loop with  $\mathbb{R}$ . This agrees with the previous  $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}$  bubble measure up to a multiplicative constant.

If we further integrate the laws of  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  bubble in  $\mathbb{H}$  rooted at x against the Lebesgue measure, and then unweight the integrated measure by the  $(2 - \frac{8}{\kappa})$ -dimensional Minkowski content of the intersection of the loop with  $\mathbb{R}$ , we then get the unrooted  $\text{SLE}_{\kappa}$  bubble measure in  $\mathbb{H}$ .

# Happy Birthday, Peter!

## Thank you!