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## $\Delta u=f$


$\Delta u=f$


## jones <br> /jōnz/ 4)

## us informal

verb
gerund or present participle: jonesing
have a fixation on; be addicted to.
"Palmer was jonesing for some coke again"

## Philosophical Overview

- parabolic PDEs make things nice and smooth (easy)
- elliptic PDEs minimize some energy functional (hard)

Alternatively: any solution of

$$
-\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u)+\nabla V \nabla u+c u=0
$$

gives rise to a solution of a heat/diffusion equation

$$
u_{t}+(-\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u)+\nabla V \nabla u+c u)=0
$$

Use Brownian motion to study parabolic (=elliptic) problems!

Quantilized Donsker-Varadhan estimates

M. Donsker, S. Varadhan, On a variational formula for the principal eigenvalue for operators with maximum principle, PNAS 1975

## Donsker-Varadhan Inequality

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ (but also works on graphs) and

$$
L u=-\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u)+\nabla V(x) \nabla u .
$$

Question. What is the smallest $\lambda>0$ for which

$$
L u=\lambda u \quad \text { has a solution with }\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0 ?
$$

Example:

$$
\begin{gathered}
L=-\Delta+\nabla\left(\frac{1}{2} x^{2}\right) \quad \text { on }[0,1] . \\
\langle L u, u\rangle \geq ? \cdot\|u\|^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Donsker-Varadhan Inequality

$$
L u=-\operatorname{div}(a(x) \nabla u)+\nabla V(x) \nabla u .
$$

Question. What is the smallest $\lambda>0$ for which

$$
L u=\lambda u \quad \text { has a solution with }\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0 \text { ? }
$$

Donsker-Varadhan: associate a drift diffusion process (wiggle with $a(x)$, drift towards $\nabla V)$ and maximize the expected exit time.
Donsker-Varadhan Inequality

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq \frac{1}{\sup _{x \in \Omega} \mathbb{E}_{x} \tau_{\Omega^{c}}}
$$

Figure: Jianfeng Lu (Duke)

Instead of looking at the mean of the first exist time, we study quantiles: let $d_{p, \partial \Omega}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be the smallest time $t$ such that the likelihood of exiting within that time is $p$.
J. Lu and S., 2016

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq \frac{\log (1 / p)}{\sup _{x \in \Omega} d_{p, \partial \Omega}(x)} .
$$

Moreover, as $p \rightarrow 0$, the lower bound converges to $\lambda_{1}$.

## Proof.

Start drift-diffusion in the point, where the solution assumes its maximum. have (Feynman-Kac)

$$
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}}=u(x)=e^{\lambda t} \mathbb{E}_{\omega}(u(\omega(t)))
$$

with the convention that $u(\omega(t))$ is 0 if the drift-diffusion processes leaves $\Omega$ at some point in the interval $[0, t]$. Let now $t=d_{p, \partial \Omega}(x)$, in which case we see that

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\omega}(u(\omega(t))) \leq p\|u\|_{L^{\infty}}+(1-p) 0 .
$$

Altogether, we obtain

$$
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}}=e^{\lambda d_{p}, \partial \Omega(x)} \mathbb{E}_{\omega}(u(\omega(t))) \leq e^{\lambda d_{\partial \Omega}(x)} p\|u\|_{L^{\infty}}
$$

from which the statement follows.

## Example 1

Let us consider

$$
L=-\Delta \quad \text { on }[0,1] .
$$

Then $\lambda_{1}=\pi^{2}$.

| $p$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 4$ | $10^{-1}$ | $10^{-2}$ | $10^{-8}$ | Donsker-Varadhan |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lower bound | 7.28 | 8.40 | 8.92 | 9.39 | 9.74 | 8 |

## Example 2

Let us consider

$$
L=-\Delta+\nabla\left(\frac{1}{2} x^{2}\right) \quad \text { on }[0,1] .
$$

Then $\lambda_{1}=2$.

| $p$ | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.05 | Donsker-Varadhan |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lower bound | 1.52 | 1.67 | 1.74 | 1.79 | 1.83 | 1.678 |

Lieb's inradius result and the Polya-Szegő conjecture


In two dimensions, we have (Osserman, Makai, Hayman, Polya-Szegő, ...)

$$
\lambda_{1}(\Omega)=\inf _{f \neq 0} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x}{\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} d x} \sim \frac{1}{\text { inradius }^{2}}
$$

One direction $(\lesssim)$ is trivial. The other direction $(\gtrsim)$ was posed as a conjecture by Polya \& Szegő in 1951 (proven by Makai (1965) and, independently, Hayman (1978)).


Theorem (M. Rachh and S, CPAM 2017)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be simply connected and $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ vanish on $\partial \Omega$. If $u$ assumes a global extremum in $x_{0} \in \Omega$, then

$$
\inf _{y \in \partial \Omega}\left\|x_{0}-y\right\| \geq c\left\|\frac{\Delta u}{u}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{-1 / 2}
$$

## Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}}=u\left(x_{0}\right) & =\mathbb{E}_{x_{0}}\left(u(\omega(t)) e^{\int_{0}^{t} V(\omega(z)) d z}\right) \\
& \leq\left(1-p_{x_{0}}(t)\right)\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \mathbb{E}_{x_{0}}\left(e^{\int_{0}^{t} V(\omega(z)) d z}\right) \\
& \leq\left(1-p_{x_{0}}(t)\right)\|u\|_{L^{\infty}} e^{t\|V\|_{L^{\infty}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\left(1-p_{x_{0}}(t)\right) e^{t\|V\|_{L} \infty} \geq 1$.


## Lieb's theorem

Such results are impossible in dimensions $\geq 3$ : one can take a ball and remove one-dimensional lines without affecting the PDE.



Theorem (Elliott Lieb, 1984, Inventiones)
$\Omega$ contains a $(1-\varepsilon)$-fraction of a ball with radius

$$
r \sim \frac{c_{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{1}(\Omega)}}
$$



## Lemma (S, 2014, Comm. PDE)

If you start Brownian motion in the maximum of the eigenfunction $-\Delta u=\lambda u$, then the likelihood of it impacting the nodal set within time $t=\lambda^{-1}$ is less than $64 \%$.

This means that not 'much' boundary can be close to the maximum.


Theorem (Rachh and S, 2017, CPAM)
If, with Dirichlet conditions,

$$
-\Delta u=V u \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

then $\Omega$ contains a $(1-\varepsilon)$-fraction of a ball with radius

$$
r \sim \frac{c_{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\|V\|_{L^{\infty}}}}
$$

centered around the maximum of $u$.

A 'Real Life' Application(?)

## Anomaly detection

Fiddling with results of this type suggest that for $-\Delta \phi_{\lambda}=\lambda \phi_{\lambda}$, the quantity

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \frac{\left|\phi_{\lambda}(x)\right|}{\left\|\phi_{\lambda}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}}
$$

is a decent proxy for the distance to the nearest nodal set.

How about summing over distances to nodal lines

$$
\sum_{\lambda \leq N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \frac{\left|\phi_{\lambda}(x)\right|}{\left\|\phi_{\lambda}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}}
$$

## Anomaly detection



## Anomaly detection

On the torus $\mathbb{T}$, the quantity

$$
\sum_{\lambda \leq N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \frac{\left|\phi_{\lambda}(x)\right|}{\left\|\phi_{\lambda}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}}
$$

simplifies to

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{|\sin k \pi x|}{k}
$$

## Anomaly detection

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{|\sin k \pi x|}{k}
$$



Figure: $n=1$ on $[0.2,0.8]$

## Anomaly detection

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{|\sin k \pi x|}{k}
$$



Figure: $n=2$ on $[0.2,0.8]$

## Anomaly detection



Figure: $n=3$ on $[0.2,0.8]$

## Anomaly detection



Figure: $n=4$ on $[0.2,0.8]$

## Anomaly detection



Figure: $n=5$ on $[0.2,0.8]$

## Anomaly detection

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{|\sin k \pi x|}{k}
$$



Figure: $n=6$ on $[0.2,0.8]$

## Anomaly detection



Figure: $n=7$ on $[0.2,0.8]$

## Anomaly detection



Figure: $n=8$ on $[0.2,0.8]$

## Anomaly detection



Figure: $n=9$ on $[0.2,0.8]$

## Anomaly detection

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{|\sin k \pi x|}{k}
$$



Figure: $n=10$ on [0.2, 0.8]

## Anomaly detection



Figure: $n=20$ on [0.2, 0.8]

## Anomaly detection

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{|\sin k \pi x|}{k}
$$



Figure: $n=30$ on [0.2, 0.8]

## Anomaly detection



Figure: $n=100$ on $[0.2,0.8]$

$$
f_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{|\sin (k \pi x)|}{k}
$$



Figure: Right: the big cusp in the right picture is located at $x=5 / 13$, the two smaller cusps are at $x=8 / 21$ and $x=7 / 18$.


Theorem (S. 2016)
$f_{n}$ has a strict local minimum in $x=p / q \in \mathbb{Q}$ as soon as

$$
n \geq(1+o(1)) \frac{q^{2}}{\pi}
$$

Handwritten digits (ongoing w/ X. Cheng/Gal Mishne)


$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
9 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
9 & 9 & 4 & 4 & 9 & 9 & 9 & 4
\end{array}
$$

## Seamine (ongoing w/ X. Cheng/Gal Mishne)

## Seamines (ongoing w/ X. Cheng/Gal Mishne)



Seamines (ongoing w/ X. Cheng/Gal Mishne)


## Homer (ongoing w/ X. Cheng/Gal Mishne)



n-eigs $=39$


n-eigs $=48$


Strict local maxima, elliptic PDEs, lifetime of Brownian motion and topological bounds on Fourier coefficients

## Level sets of elliptic PDEs



Generally tricky.

Maybe (P.-L. Lions) convex $\Omega$ and $-\Delta u=f(u)$ implies convex level sets?

## Level sets of elliptic PDEs



Maybe (P.-L. Lions) convex $\Omega$ and $-\Delta u=f(u)$ implies convex level sets?
Yes for $-\Delta u=1$ (Makar-Limanov, 70s)
Yes for $-\Delta u=\lambda_{1} u$ (Brascamp-Lieb, 70s).
Yes, for some other $f$ (various).
No: Hamel, Nadirashvili \& Sire (2016).

## Level sets of elliptic PDEs



Can level sets ever be fundamentally more eccentric than the domain?

## Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be convex and consider

$$
-\Delta u=1 \quad \text { with Dirichlet boundary conditions. }
$$

This is the expected lifetime of Brownian motion. It also has some meaning in mechanics (St. Venant torsion).

## The three basic questions in hiking



1. How big is the mountain? $\left(\left\|u\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \sim \operatorname{inrad}(\Omega)^{2}\right)$
2. Where is the maximum? ( $x_{0}$, maximal lifetime)
3. What's the view from the top? $\left(D^{2} u\left(x_{0}\right) ?\right)$

## The three basic questions in hiking

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be convex and consider
$-\Delta u=1 \quad$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions.
Some facts.

- $\|u\|_{L \infty} \sim \operatorname{inrad}(\Omega)^{2}$
- Maximum is in unique $x_{0} \in \Omega$ (Makar-Limanov, 1971)
- Eccentricity of level sets close to the maximum is determined by the Hessian $D^{2} u\left(x_{0}\right)$ in the maximum.
- $D^{2} u\left(x_{0}\right)$ is negative semi-definite. $\operatorname{tr} D^{2} u\left(x_{0}\right)=\Delta u\left(x_{0}\right)=-1$.
- How close can the eigenvalues of $D^{2} u\left(x_{0}\right)$ be to 0 ?

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be convex and consider

$$
-\Delta u=1 \quad \text { with Dirichlet boundary conditions. }
$$

Theorem (Spectral gap in the maximum, S, 2017)
There are universal constants $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\lambda_{\max }\left(D^{2} u\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \leq-c_{1} \exp \left(-c_{2} \frac{\operatorname{diam}(\Omega)}{\operatorname{inrad}(\Omega)}\right)
$$

This is the sharp scaling.


Theorem (S, 2017)
There are universal constants $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\lambda_{\max }\left(D^{2} u\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \leq-c_{1} \exp \left(-c_{2} \frac{\operatorname{diam}(\Omega)}{\operatorname{inrad}(\Omega)}\right) .
$$



On domains $\Omega$ where $\partial \Omega$ has strictly positive curvature

$$
\lambda_{\max }\left(D^{2} u\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \leq-\frac{c}{\operatorname{inrad}(\Omega)^{2}} \frac{\min _{\partial \Omega} \kappa}{\max _{\partial \Omega} \kappa^{3}} .
$$

Build a suitable rectangle and solve $\Delta v=-1$ on the rectangle. Imitate the local structure around the maximum up to and including the Hessian.

$\Delta(u-v)=0$ on the intersection. Riemann mapping to the disk gives a harmonic function on the disk that is flat around the origin.


## A Fourier series surprise

Harmonic function

$$
\Delta u=0 \quad \text { on the unit disk } \mathbb{D} .
$$

We know that

- $u(0,0)=0$
- $\nabla u=0$
- $u$ is continuous on $\partial \mathbb{D}$ and has exactly 4 roots.

Does this force the $D^{2} u(0,0)$ to have a large eigenvalue?
Yes (in all the ways that it could possibly be true).

## A Fourier series surprise

## Proposition (New?)

If $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, orthogonal to $1, \sin x, \cos x$ and has 4 roots, then $f$ cannot be orthogonal to both $\sin 2 x$ and $\cos 2 x$.

Complex Analysis.
Consider the harmonic conjugate and perform a Poisson extension. The multiplicity of the root in the origin is at least 3 . The argument principle implies that

$$
f(t)+\tilde{f}(t) \quad \text { winds around the origin at least 3-times, }
$$

which creates at least 6 roots.

## A Fourier series surprise

## Proposition (New?)

If $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, orthogonal to $1, \sin x, \cos x$ and has 4 roots, then $f$ cannot be orthogonal to both $\sin 2 x$ and $\cos 2 x$.

PDEs.
This means that the solution of the Dirichlet problem vanishes at least to third order in the origin.


This lines can never meet (maximum principle), therefore at least 6 roots on the boundary.

## Topological bounds on the Fourier coefficients

Theorem (S. 2017)
Let $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function that changes sign $n$ times. Then

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n / 2}|\langle f, \sin k x\rangle|+|\langle f, \cos k x\rangle| \gtrsim n \frac{\mid f \|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{T})}^{n+1}}{\|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})}^{n}} .
$$

## Topological bounds on the Fourier coefficients

Theorem (S. 2017)
Let $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function that changes sign $n$ times. Then

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n / 2}|\langle f, \sin k x\rangle|+|\langle f, \cos k x\rangle| \gtrsim_{n} \frac{\mid f \|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{T})}^{n+1}}{\|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})}^{n}} .
$$

Theorem (Harmonic function formulation)
Let $u: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be harmonic, let $\left.u\right|_{\partial \mathbb{D}}$ be continuous and assume it changes sign $n$ times. Then

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n / 2}\left|\frac{\partial^{k} u}{\partial x^{k}}\right|+\left|\frac{\partial^{k} u}{\partial y^{k}}\right| \geq c_{n} \frac{\left\|\left.u\right|_{\partial \mathbb{D}}\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{T})}^{n u}}{\left\|\left.u\right|_{\partial \mathbb{D}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})}^{n+1}} .
$$

## Topological bounds on the Fourier coefficients

Theorem (S. 2017)
Let $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function that changes sign $n$ times. Then

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n / 2}|\langle f, \sin k x\rangle|+|\langle f, \cos k x\rangle| \gtrsim n \frac{\mid f \|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{T})}^{n+1}}{\|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})}^{n}} .
$$

Theorem (Heat equation formulation)
Let $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function that changes sign $n$ times. Then

$$
\left\|e^{t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{T})} \gtrsim n, t \frac{\|f\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{T})}^{n+1}}{\|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})}^{n}}
$$

## Sketch of proof

Show

$$
\left\|e^{t \Delta} f\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{T})} \gtrsim n, t \frac{\|f\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{T})}^{n+1}}{\|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})}^{n}}
$$

and then recover all the other statements. Proof is based on using multiple interpretations:

- semigroup (to get many related families of estimates)
- Fourier multiplier (keeps Fourier eigenspaces separated)
- convolution with the Jacobi theta function $\theta_{t}$
- diffusion process (does not increase the number of roots).


## Happy Birthday!

