

# Uniqueness and almost periodicity in time of solutions of the KdV equation with certain almost periodic initial conditions.

Ilia Binder  
University of Toronto

joint work with D. Damanik (Rice), M. Goldstein (Toronto) and M. Lukic (Rice).

Geometry, Analysis and Probability  
Conference in honor of Peter Jones  
May 11, 2017



- 1 KdV: integrability and Deift's conjecture.
- 2 Our results: the statements.
- 3 Reflectionless operators and uniqueness
- 4 Existence and almost periodicity

## The KdV equation and the Lax pair formalism.

My main hero today: the Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) equation,

$$\partial_t u - 6u\partial_x u + \partial_x^3 u = 0,$$

## The KdV equation and the Lax pair formalism.

My main hero today: the Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) equation,

$$\partial_t u - 6u\partial_x u + \partial_x^3 u = 0,$$

- Introduced in the 19th century as a model for the propagation of shallow water waves in one dimension.

## The KdV equation and the Lax pair formalism.

My main hero today: the Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) equation,

$$\partial_t u - 6u\partial_x u + \partial_x^3 u = 0,$$

- Introduced in the 19th century as a model for the propagation of shallow water waves in one dimension.
- In the 1960s, Gardner, Greene, Kruskal and Miura discovered that the KdV equation has infinitely many conserved quantities.

## The KdV equation and the Lax pair formalism.

My main hero today: the Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) equation,

$$\partial_t u - 6u\partial_x u + \partial_x^3 u = 0,$$

- Introduced in the 19th century as a model for the propagation of shallow water waves in one dimension.
- In the 1960s, Gardner, Greene, Kruskal and Miura discovered that the KdV equation has infinitely many conserved quantities.
- Explained by Peter Lax in 1968 through the existence of a “Lax pair” representation: using the family of Schrödinger operators  $H(t) := -\partial_x^2 + u(t)$  and the family of antisymmetric operators  $P(t) := 4\partial_x^3 + 3(\partial_x u(t) + u(t)\partial_x)$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ , the KdV equation can be written in the form

$$\partial_t H(t) = P(t)H(t) - H(t)P(t).$$

This means that the family of unitary operators  $U(t)$  which solves  $\frac{d}{dt}U = PU$ ,  $U(0) = I$  obeys  $U(t)^*H(t)U(t) = H(0)$ , so the operators  $H(t)$  are mutually unitarily equivalent for all values of  $t$ .

## The KdV equation and the Lax pair formalism.

My main hero today: the Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) equation,

$$\partial_t u - 6u\partial_x u + \partial_x^3 u = 0,$$

- Introduced in the 19th century as a model for the propagation of shallow water waves in one dimension.
- In the 1960s, Gardner, Greene, Kruskal and Miura discovered that the KdV equation has infinitely many conserved quantities.
- Explained by Peter Lax in 1968 through the existence of a “Lax pair” representation: using the family of Schrödinger operators  $H(t) := -\partial_x^2 + u(t)$  and the family of antisymmetric operators  $P(t) := 4\partial_x^3 + 3(\partial_x u(t) + u(t)\partial_x)$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ , the KdV equation can be written in the form

$$\partial_t H(t) = P(t)H(t) - H(t)P(t).$$

This means that the family of unitary operators  $U(t)$  which solves  $\frac{d}{dt}U = PU$ ,  $U(0) = I$  obeys  $U(t)^*H(t)U(t) = H(0)$ , so the operators  $H(t)$  are mutually unitarily equivalent for all values of  $t$ .

- Just having a Lax pair is not enough to deduce stronger statements of integrability, such as almost periodicity in  $t$ !



## The KdV equation: integrability.

Integrability of the KdV equation was first established by Gardner, Greene, Kruskal and Miura in the setting of rapidly decaying initial data  $u(x, 0) = V(x)$  using the inverse scattering transform linearization of the KdV evolution.

## The KdV equation: integrability.

Integrability of the KdV equation was first established by Gardner, Greene, Kruskal and Miura in the setting of rapidly decaying initial data  $u(x, 0) = V(x)$  using the inverse scattering transform linearization of the KdV evolution.

In the 1970s, it was proved that for periodic initial data, the KdV equation is a completely integrable Hamiltonian system, with action-angle variables:

**Theorem (McKean-Trubowitz, 1976).**

*If  $V \in H^n(\mathbb{T})$ , then there is a global solution  $u(x, t)$  on  $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ . This solution is  $H^n(\mathbb{T})$ -almost periodic in  $\mathbb{T}$ .*

## The KdV equation: integrability.

Integrability of the KdV equation was first established by Gardner, Greene, Kruskal and Miura in the setting of rapidly decaying initial data  $u(x, 0) = V(x)$  using the inverse scattering transform linearization of the KdV evolution.

In the 1970s, it was proved that for periodic initial data, the KdV equation is a completely integrable Hamiltonian system, with action-angle variables:

**Theorem (McKean-Trubowitz, 1976).**

*If  $V \in H^n(\mathbb{T})$ , then there is a global solution  $u(x, t)$  on  $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ . This solution is  $H^n(\mathbb{T})$ -almost periodic in  $\mathbb{T}$ .*

This means that  $u(\cdot, t) = F(\zeta t)$  for some continuous  $F : \mathbb{T}^\infty \mapsto H^n(\mathbb{T})$  and  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ .



## The KdV equation: integrability.

Integrability of the KdV equation was first established by Gardner, Greene, Kruskal and Miura in the setting of rapidly decaying initial data  $u(x, 0) = V(x)$  using the inverse scattering transform linearization of the KdV evolution.

In the 1970s, it was proved that for periodic initial data, the KdV equation is a completely integrable Hamiltonian system, with action-angle variables:

**Theorem (McKean-Trubowitz, 1976).**

*If  $V \in H^n(\mathbb{T})$ , then there is a global solution  $u(x, t)$  on  $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ . This solution is  $H^n(\mathbb{T})$ -almost periodic in  $\mathbb{T}$ .*

This means that  $u(\cdot, t) = F(\zeta t)$  for some continuous  $F : \mathbb{T}^\infty \mapsto H^n(\mathbb{T})$  and  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ .

**Conjecture (Deift, 2008).**

*If  $V : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is almost periodic, then there is a global solution  $u(x, t)$  that is almost periodic in  $t$ .*

Even short time existence of solutions is not known in this generality.

## Global existence, uniqueness, and almost periodicity

We say that an almost periodic  $V : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is a *Sodin-Yuditskii* function if the Schrödinger operators  $H_V := -\partial_x^2 + V$  has purely absolutely continuous spectrum  $S$  which satisfies

- 1  $S$  is a Carleson homogeneous subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ :  
 $\exists \epsilon > 0 : |(x - \delta, x + \delta) \cap S| \geq \epsilon \delta, x \in S.$
- 2  $|\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus S| < \infty$

## Global existence, uniqueness, and almost periodicity

We say that an almost periodic  $V : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is a *Sodin-Yuditskii* function if the Schrödinger operators  $H_V := -\partial_x^2 + V$  has purely absolutely continuous spectrum  $S$  which satisfies

- ①  $S$  is a Carleson homogeneous subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ :  
 $\exists \epsilon > 0 : |(x - \delta, x + \delta) \cap S| \geq \epsilon \delta, x \in S.$
- ②  $|\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus S| < \infty$

**Theorem (B.-Damanik-Goldstein-Lukic).**

*If  $V$  is a Sodin-Yuditskii function*

## Global existence, uniqueness, and almost periodicity

We say that an almost periodic  $V : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is a *Sodin-Yuditskii* function if the Schrödinger operators  $H_V := -\partial_x^2 + V$  has purely absolutely continuous spectrum  $S$  which satisfies

- ①  $S$  is a Carleson homogeneous subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ :  
 $\exists \epsilon > 0 : |(x - \delta, x + \delta) \cap S| \geq \epsilon \delta, x \in S.$
- ②  $|\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus S| < \infty$

### Theorem (B.-Damanik-Goldstein-Lukic).

*If  $V$  is a Sodin-Yuditskii function (plus some additional restrictions on the thickness of the spectrum, unfortunately), then*

- ① (existence) *there is a global solution  $u(x, t)$  of KdV with  $u(x, 0) = V(x)$ ;*
- ② (uniqueness) *if  $\tilde{u}$  is another solution on  $\mathbb{R} \times [-T, T]$ , and  $\tilde{u}, \partial_{xxx} \tilde{u} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [-T, T])$ , then  $\tilde{u} = u$ ;*
- ③ ( $x$ -dependence) *for each  $t$ ,  $x \mapsto u(x, t)$  is almost periodic in  $x$  (with the same frequency vector);*
- ④ ( $t$ -dependence)  *$t \mapsto u(\cdot, t)$  is  $W^{4, \infty}(\mathbb{R})$ -almost periodic in  $t$ .*

## Application to quasi-periodic initial data

An explicit class of almost periodic initial data covered by our theorem are small quasiperiodic analytic data:



## Application to quasi-periodic initial data

An explicit class of almost periodic initial data covered by our theorem are small quasiperiodic analytic data:

- Fix a frequency vector  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\kappa \in (0, 1]$ .

## Application to quasi-periodic initial data

An explicit class of almost periodic initial data covered by our theorem are small quasiperiodic analytic data:

- Fix a frequency vector  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\kappa \in (0, 1]$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{P}(\omega, \varepsilon, \kappa)$  denote the space of functions of the form  $V(x) = U(\omega x)$  for a sampling function  $U : \mathbb{T}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  which can be written as  $U(\theta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c(n) e^{2\pi i n \theta}$ ,  $|c(n)| \leq \varepsilon \exp(-\kappa |n|)$ .

## Application to quasi-periodic initial data

An explicit class of almost periodic initial data covered by our theorem are small quasiperiodic analytic data:

- Fix a frequency vector  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\kappa \in (0, 1]$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{P}(\omega, \varepsilon, \kappa)$  denote the space of functions of the form  $V(x) = U(\omega x)$  for a sampling function  $U : \mathbb{T}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  which can be written as  $U(\theta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c(n) e^{2\pi i n \theta}$ ,  $|c(n)| \leq \varepsilon \exp(-\kappa |n|)$ .
- We also assume that  $\omega$  satisfies the following Diophantine condition

$$|n\omega| \geq a_0 |n|^{-b_0}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$$

for some  $0 < a_0 < 1$ ,  $d < b_0 < \infty$ .

## Application to quasi-periodic initial data

### Theorem (B.-Damanik-Goldstein-Lukic).

There exists  $\varepsilon_0(a_0, b_0, \kappa) > 0$  such that if  $V \in \mathcal{P}(\omega, \varepsilon, \kappa)$ ,  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ , then

- ① (existence) there is a global solution  $u(x, t)$ ;
- ② (uniqueness) if  $\tilde{u}$  is another solution on  $\mathbb{R} \times [-T, T]$ , and  $\tilde{u}, \partial_{xxx}\tilde{u} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [-T, T])$ , then  $\tilde{u} = u$ ;
- ③ ( $x$ -dependence) for each  $t$ ,  $x \mapsto u(x, t)$  is quasiperiodic in  $x$  and  $u(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{P}(\omega, \sqrt{4\varepsilon}, \kappa/4)$ ;
- ④ ( $t$ -dependence)  $t \mapsto u(\cdot, t)$  is  $\mathcal{P}(\omega, \sqrt{4\varepsilon}, \kappa/4)$ -almost periodic in  $t$ .

## Application to quasi-periodic initial data

### Theorem (B.-Damanik-Goldstein-Lukic).

There exists  $\varepsilon_0(a_0, b_0, \kappa) > 0$  such that if  $V \in \mathcal{P}(\omega, \varepsilon, \kappa)$ ,  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ , then

- ① (existence) there is a global solution  $u(x, t)$ ;
- ② (uniqueness) if  $\tilde{u}$  is another solution on  $\mathbb{R} \times [-T, T]$ , and  $\tilde{u}, \partial_{xxx}\tilde{u} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [-T, T])$ , then  $\tilde{u} = u$ ;
- ③ ( $x$ -dependence) for each  $t$ ,  $x \mapsto u(x, t)$  is quasiperiodic in  $x$  and  $u(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{P}(\omega, \sqrt{4\varepsilon}, \kappa/4)$ ;
- ④ ( $t$ -dependence)  $t \mapsto u(\cdot, t)$  is  $\mathcal{P}(\omega, \sqrt{4\varepsilon}, \kappa/4)$ -almost periodic in  $t$ .

On  $\mathcal{P}(\omega, \varepsilon, \kappa)$ , the  $L^\infty$ -norm is equivalent with the norm

$$\|V - \tilde{V}\|_r = \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c(n) - \tilde{c}(n)|^2 e^{2|n|r} \right)^{1/2}$$

for any  $r < \kappa$ , and with the Sobolev norm inherited from  $W^{k, \infty}(\mathbb{R})$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . So the derivatives of  $u$  are also almost periodic in  $t$ , and so is each Fourier coefficient  $c(n, t)$  of  $u(x, t)$ .

## Weyl solutions and Green function

- For  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_V)$ , the second order differential equation

$$-y'' + Vy = zy$$

has nontrivial solutions  $\psi_{\pm}(x; z)$ , called *Weyl solutions*, such that  $\psi_{\pm}(x; z) \in L^2([0, \pm\infty), dx)$ .

## Weyl solutions and Green function

- For  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_V)$ , the second order differential equation

$$-y'' + Vy = zy$$

has nontrivial solutions  $\psi_{\pm}(x; z)$ , called *Weyl solutions*, such that  $\psi_{\pm}(x; z) \in L^2([0, \pm\infty), dx)$ .

- *The half-line  $m$ -functions* associated with the half-line restrictions of  $H_V = -\partial_x^2 + V$  to  $[x, \pm\infty)$  with a Dirichlet boundary condition at  $x$  are given by

$$m_{\pm}(x; z) = \frac{\psi'_{\pm}(x; z)}{\psi_{\pm}(x; z)}.$$

For each  $x$ , these are meromorphic functions of  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_V)$ .

## Weyl solutions and Green function

- For  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_V)$ , the second order differential equation

$$-y'' + Vy = zy$$

has nontrivial solutions  $\psi_{\pm}(x; z)$ , called *Weyl solutions*, such that  $\psi_{\pm}(x; z) \in L^2([0, \pm\infty), dx)$ .

- The *half-line  $m$ -functions* associated with the half-line restrictions of  $H_V = -\partial_x^2 + V$  to  $[x, \pm\infty)$  with a Dirichlet boundary condition at  $x$  are given by

$$m_{\pm}(x; z) = \frac{\psi'_{\pm}(x; z)}{\psi_{\pm}(x; z)}.$$

For each  $x$ , these are meromorphic functions of  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_V)$ .

- The *Green function* of the Schrödinger operator  $H_V$  is the integral kernel of  $(H_V - z)^{-1}$ ; formally

$$G(x, y; z, V) = \langle \delta_x, (H_V - z)^{-1} \delta_y \rangle.$$



## Weyl solutions and Green function

- For  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_V)$ , the second order differential equation

$$-y'' + Vy = zy$$

has nontrivial solutions  $\psi_{\pm}(x; z)$ , called *Weyl solutions*, such that  $\psi_{\pm}(x; z) \in L^2([0, \pm\infty), dx)$ .

- The *half-line  $m$ -functions* associated with the half-line restrictions of  $H_V = -\partial_x^2 + V$  to  $[x, \pm\infty)$  with a Dirichlet boundary condition at  $x$  are given by

$$m_{\pm}(x; z) = \frac{\psi'_{\pm}(x; z)}{\psi_{\pm}(x; z)}.$$

For each  $x$ , these are meromorphic functions of  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_V)$ .

- The *Green function* of the Schrödinger operator  $H_V$  is the integral kernel of  $(H_V - z)^{-1}$ ; formally

$$G(x, y; z, V) = \langle \delta_x, (H_V - z)^{-1} \delta_y \rangle.$$

In terms of the Weyl functions, the diagonal Green function is:

$$G(x, x; z, V) = \frac{1}{m_-(x; z) - m_+(x; z)},$$

and it is an analytic function of  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_V)$  for each  $x$ .

## Reflectionless operators

- The Schrödinger operator is called *reflectionless* if

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \operatorname{Re} G(x, x; E + i\epsilon, V) = 0 \text{ for Lebesgue-a.e. } E \in \sigma(H_V) =: S$$

for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  (and therefore all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ; the definition is  $x$ -independent).

Notation:  $V \in \mathcal{R}(S)$ .

## Reflectionless operators

- The Schrödinger operator is called *reflectionless* if

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \operatorname{Re} G(x, x; E + i\epsilon, V) = 0 \text{ for Lebesgue-a.e. } E \in \sigma(H_V) =: S$$

for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  (and therefore all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ; the definition is  $x$ -independent).  
Notation:  $V \in \mathcal{R}(S)$ .

- Equivalently,  $V$  is reflectionless if the Weyl functions are *pseudocontinuable*:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} m_+(E + i\epsilon) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} m_-(E - i\epsilon) \text{ for Lebesgue-a.e. } E \in S$$

## Reflectionless operators

- The Schrödinger operator is called *reflectionless* if

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \operatorname{Re} G(x, x; E + i\epsilon, V) = 0 \text{ for Lebesgue-a.e. } E \in \sigma(H_V) =: S$$

for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  (and therefore all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ; the definition is  $x$ -independent).  
Notation:  $V \in \mathcal{R}(S)$ .

- Equivalently,  $V$  is reflectionless if the Weyl functions are *pseudocontinuable*:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} m_+(E + i\epsilon) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} m_-(E - i\epsilon) \text{ for Lebesgue-a.e. } E \in S$$

**Theorem (Remling, 2007).**

*If  $V$  is almost periodic and  $\sigma_{\text{ac}}(H_V) = \sigma(H_V) = S$ , then  $V \in \mathcal{R}(S)$ .*

## Reflectionless operators

- The Schrödinger operator is called *reflectionless* if

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \operatorname{Re} G(x, x; E + i\epsilon, V) = 0 \text{ for Lebesgue-a.e. } E \in \sigma(H_V) =: S$$

for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  (and therefore all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ; the definition is  $x$ -independent).  
Notation:  $V \in \mathcal{R}(S)$ .

- Equivalently,  $V$  is reflectionless if the Weyl functions are *pseudocontinuable*:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} m_+(E + i\epsilon) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} m_-(E - i\epsilon) \text{ for Lebesgue-a.e. } E \in S$$

**Theorem (Remling, 2007).**

*If  $V$  is almost periodic and  $\sigma_{\text{ac}}(H_V) = \sigma(H_V) = S$ , then  $V \in \mathcal{R}(S)$ .*

**Theorem (Rybkin, 2008).**

*Let  $V \in \mathcal{R}(S)$  and  $\sigma_{\text{ac}}(H_V) = S$ . Assume that  $u(x, t)$  is a solution of KdV with  $u, \partial_{xxx} u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times [-T, T])$ , for some  $T > 0$ . Then,  $u(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{R}(S)$  for all  $t \in [-T, T]$ .*

## Torus of Dirichlet data

- Write the spectrum as  $S = [\underline{E}, \infty) \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} (E_j^-, E_j^+)$ .

## Torus of Dirichlet data

- Write the spectrum as  $S = [\underline{E}, \infty) \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} (E_j^-, E_j^+)$ .
- Fix a gap  $(E_j^-, E_j^+)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $G(x, x; E)$  is a real strictly increasing function on  $(E_j^-, E_j^+)$ .

## Torus of Dirichlet data

- Write the spectrum as  $S = [\underline{E}, \infty) \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} (E_j^-, E_j^+)$ .
- Fix a gap  $(E_j^-, E_j^+)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $G(x, x; E)$  is a real strictly increasing function on  $(E_j^-, E_j^+)$ .
- Define  $\mu_j(x) := \begin{cases} E \in (E_j^-, E_j^+) & G(x, x; E) = 0 \\ E_j^+ & G(x, x; E) < 0 \text{ for all } E \in (E_j^-, E_j^+). \\ E_j^- & G(x, x; E) > 0 \text{ for all } E \in (E_j^-, E_j^+) \end{cases}$ .



## Torus of Dirichlet data

- Write the spectrum as  $S = [\underline{E}, \infty) \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} (E_j^-, E_j^+)$ .
- Fix a gap  $(E_j^-, E_j^+)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $G(x, x; E)$  is a real strictly increasing function on  $(E_j^-, E_j^+)$ .
- Define  $\mu_j(x) := \begin{cases} E \in (E_j^-, E_j^+) & G(x, x; E) = 0 \\ E_j^+ & G(x, x; E) < 0 \text{ for all } E \in (E_j^-, E_j^+) \\ E_j^- & G(x, x; E) > 0 \text{ for all } E \in (E_j^-, E_j^+) \end{cases}$ .
- If  $\mu_j(x) \in (E_j^-, E_j^+)$ , then exactly one of the half-line Schrödinger operators  $-\partial_x^2 + V$  on the half-lines  $(-\infty, x)$  and  $(x, \infty)$ , with Dirichlet boundary condition at  $x$ , has an eigenvalue at  $\mu_j(x)$ . Equivalently, the exactly one Weyl function  $m_{\pm}(t, x)$  has a pole at  $\mu_j(x)$ . The sign  $\sigma_j(x) \in \{+, -\}$  labels that half-line.
- View  $(\mu_j(x), \sigma_j(x))_{j \in J}$  as an element of the torus  $\mathcal{D}(S) = \prod_{j \in J} \mathbb{T}_j$ .

## Torus of Dirichlet data

- Write the spectrum as  $S = [\underline{E}, \infty) \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} (E_j^-, E_j^+)$ .
- Fix a gap  $(E_j^-, E_j^+)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $G(x, x; E)$  is a real strictly increasing function on  $(E_j^-, E_j^+)$ .
- Define  $\mu_j(x) := \begin{cases} E \in (E_j^-, E_j^+) & G(x, x; E) = 0 \\ E_j^+ & G(x, x; E) < 0 \text{ for all } E \in (E_j^-, E_j^+) \\ E_j^- & G(x, x; E) > 0 \text{ for all } E \in (E_j^-, E_j^+) \end{cases}$ .
- If  $\mu_j(x) \in (E_j^-, E_j^+)$ , then exactly one of the half-line Schrödinger operators  $-\partial_x^2 + V$  on the half-lines  $(-\infty, x)$  and  $(x, \infty)$ , with Dirichlet boundary condition at  $x$ , has an eigenvalue at  $\mu_j(x)$ . Equivalently, the exactly one Weyl function  $m_{\pm}(t, x)$  has a pole at  $\mu_j(x)$ . The sign  $\sigma_j(x) \in \{+, -\}$  labels that half-line.
- View  $(\mu_j(x), \sigma_j(x))_{j \in J}$  as an element of the torus  $\mathcal{D}(S) = \prod_{j \in J} \mathbb{T}_j$ .
- Introduce an angular variable  $\varphi_j$  on  $\mathbb{T}_j$  by  $\mu_j = E_j^- + (E_j^+ - E_j^-) \cos^2(\varphi_j/2)$ ,  $\sigma_j = \text{sgn} \sin \varphi_j$ .

## Torus of Dirichlet data

- Write the spectrum as  $S = [\underline{E}, \infty) \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} (E_j^-, E_j^+)$ .
- Fix a gap  $(E_j^-, E_j^+)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $G(x, x; E)$  is a real strictly increasing function on  $(E_j^-, E_j^+)$ .
- Define  $\mu_j(x) := \begin{cases} E \in (E_j^-, E_j^+) & G(x, x; E) = 0 \\ E_j^+ & G(x, x; E) < 0 \text{ for all } E \in (E_j^-, E_j^+) \\ E_j^- & G(x, x; E) > 0 \text{ for all } E \in (E_j^-, E_j^+) \end{cases}$ .
- If  $\mu_j(x) \in (E_j^-, E_j^+)$ , then exactly one of the half-line Schrödinger operators  $-\partial_x^2 + V$  on the half-lines  $(-\infty, x)$  and  $(x, \infty)$ , with Dirichlet boundary condition at  $x$ , has an eigenvalue at  $\mu_j(x)$ . Equivalently, the exactly one Weyl function  $m_{\pm}(t, x)$  has a pole at  $\mu_j(x)$ . The sign  $\sigma_j(x) \in \{+, -\}$  labels that half-line.
- View  $(\mu_j(x), \sigma_j(x))_{j \in J}$  as an element of the torus  $\mathcal{D}(S) = \prod_{j \in J} \mathbb{T}_j$ .
- Introduce an angular variable  $\varphi_j$  on  $\mathbb{T}_j$  by  $\mu_j = E_j^- + (E_j^+ - E_j^-) \cos^2(\varphi_j/2)$ ,  $\sigma_j = \text{sgn} \sin \varphi_j$ .
- The metric on  $\mathcal{D}(S)$  is given by  $\|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}\|_{\mathcal{D}(S)} = \sup_{j \in J} \gamma_j^{1/2} \|\varphi_j - \tilde{\varphi}_j\|_{\mathbb{T}}$ .

## Craig type conditions

- Set  $\gamma_j := E_j^+ - E_j^-$ . Set  $\eta_{j,l} := \text{dist}((E_j^-, E_j^+), (E_l^-, E_l^+))$  for  $j, l \in J$  and  $\eta_{j,0} := \text{dist}((E_j^-, E_j^+), \underline{E})$  for  $j \in J$ . Denote

$$C_j = (\eta_{j,0} + \gamma_j)^{1/2} \prod_{\substack{l \in J \\ l \neq j}} \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma_l}{\eta_{j,l}} \right)^{1/2}.$$

## Craig type conditions

- Set  $\gamma_j := E_j^+ - E_j^-$ . Set  $\eta_{j,l} := \text{dist}((E_j^-, E_j^+), (E_l^-, E_l^+))$  for  $j, l \in J$  and  $\eta_{j,0} := \text{dist}((E_j^-, E_j^+), \underline{E})$  for  $j \in J$ . Denote

$$C_j = (\eta_{j,0} + \gamma_j)^{1/2} \prod_{\substack{l \in J \\ l \neq j}} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma_l}{\eta_{j,l}}\right)^{1/2}.$$

- We need to assume the Craig-type conditions

$$\sum_{j \in J} \gamma_j^{1/2} < \infty, \quad \sum_{j \in J} \gamma_j^{1/2} \frac{1 + \eta_{j,0}}{\eta_{j,0}} C_j < \infty,$$

$$\sup_{j \in J} \sum_{\substack{l \in J \\ l \neq j}} \left( \frac{\gamma_j^{1/2} \gamma_l^{1/2}}{\eta_{j,l}} \right)^a (1 + \eta_{j,0}) C_j < \infty \quad \text{for } a \in \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, 1 \right\},$$

$$\sum_{j \in J} (1 + \eta_{j,0}^2) \gamma_j < \infty.$$

## Craig type conditions

- Set  $\gamma_j := E_j^+ - E_j^-$ . Set  $\eta_{j,l} := \text{dist}((E_j^-, E_j^+), (E_l^-, E_l^+))$  for  $j, l \in J$  and  $\eta_{j,0} := \text{dist}((E_j^-, E_j^+), \underline{E})$  for  $j \in J$ . Denote

$$C_j = (\eta_{j,0} + \gamma_j)^{1/2} \prod_{\substack{l \in J \\ l \neq j}} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma_l}{\eta_{j,l}}\right)^{1/2}.$$

- We need to assume the Craig-type conditions

$$\sum_{j \in J} \gamma_j^{1/2} < \infty, \quad \sum_{j \in J} \gamma_j^{1/2} \frac{1 + \eta_{j,0}}{\eta_{j,0}} C_j < \infty,$$

$$\sup_{j \in J} \sum_{\substack{l \in J \\ l \neq j}} \left( \frac{\gamma_j^{1/2} \gamma_l^{1/2}}{\eta_{j,l}} \right)^a (1 + \eta_{j,0}) C_j < \infty \quad \text{for } a \in \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, 1 \right\},$$

$$\sum_{j \in J} (1 + \eta_{j,0}^2) \gamma_j < \infty.$$

- The conditions imply that the spectrum  $S$  is Carleson homogeneous (Sodin, using ideas from Jones-Marshall).

# The Dubrovin flow and the trace formula

## Theorem. (Craig 1989)

*Under (a weaker form) of Craig-type conditions on  $S$ , the  $\varphi_j(x)$  evolve according to the Dubrovin flow*

$$\frac{d}{dx}\varphi(x) = \Psi(\varphi(x))$$

*which is given by a Lipschitz vector field  $\Psi$ ,*

$$\Psi_j(\varphi) = \sigma_j \sqrt{4(\underline{E} - \mu_j)(E_j^+ - \mu_j)(E_j^- - \mu_j) \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{(E_k^- - \mu_j)(E_k^+ - \mu_j)}{(\mu_k - \mu_j)^2}},$$

*and the trace formula recovers the potential,*

$$V(x) = Q_1(\varphi(x)) := \underline{E} + \sum_{j \in J} (E_j^+ + E_j^- - 2\mu_j(x)).$$

## KdV evolution on Dirichlet data

Add time dependence: consider a solution  $u(x, t)$  and its Dirichlet data  $\phi(x, t)$ .



## KdV evolution on Dirichlet data

Add time dependence: consider a solution  $u(x, t)$  and its Dirichlet data  $\phi(x, t)$ .

**Proposition.**

*If  $S$  obeys the Craig-type conditions, then*

$$\partial_x \varphi(x, t) = \Psi(\varphi(x, t)), \quad \partial_t \varphi(x, t) = \Xi(\varphi(x, t)),$$

*where  $\Xi$  is a Lipschitz vector field given by*

$$\Xi_j = -2(Q_1 + 2\mu_j)\Psi_j,$$

*and the trace formula recovers the solution,*

$$u(x, t) = Q_1(\varphi(x, t)) = \underline{E} + \sum_{j \in J} (E_j^+ + E_j^- - 2\mu_j(x, t)).$$

## KdV evolution on Dirichlet data

Add time dependence: consider a solution  $u(x, t)$  and its Dirichlet data  $\phi(x, t)$ .

**Proposition.**

*If  $S$  obeys the Craig-type conditions, then*

$$\partial_x \varphi(x, t) = \Psi(\varphi(x, t)), \quad \partial_t \varphi(x, t) = \Xi(\varphi(x, t)),$$

*where  $\Xi$  is a Lipschitz vector field given by*

$$\Xi_j = -2(Q_1 + 2\mu_j)\Psi_j,$$

*and the trace formula recovers the solution,*

$$u(x, t) = Q_1(\varphi(x, t)) = \underline{E} + \sum_{j \in J} (E_j^+ + E_j^- - 2\mu_j(x, t)).$$

**An important step:** For  $E \in \{E_{\pm}^j\}$ , there exists a nontrivial eigensolution which is a normalized limit of Weyl solutions at the gap edges  $E \in \{E_{\pm}^j\}$ :

$$\lim_{z \in (E_j^-, E_j^+); z \rightarrow E} c_{\pm}(z)\psi_{\pm}(x; z) = \tilde{\psi}(x)$$

uniformly on compacts, for some normalizing  $c_{\pm}(z)$ .

## Dirichlet data determines a reflectionless potential and its derivatives

Under the Craig-type conditions on  $S$ , we prove

### Proposition.

Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}(S)$ . There exists unique  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S)$  such that  $\varphi(0) = f$  and

$$\partial_x \varphi(x, t) = \Psi(\varphi(x, t)).$$

If we define  $V : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$V(x) = Q_1(\varphi(x))$$

then  $V(x) \in \mathcal{R}(S) \cap C^4(\mathbb{R}) \cap W^{4, \infty}$  and  $B(V(x)) = f$ .

If we define  $Q_k = \underline{E}^k + \sum_{j \in J} ((E_j^-)^k + (E_j^+)^k - 2\mu_j^k)$ , then  $V$  obeys the higher order trace formulas

$$Q_2 \circ \varphi = -\frac{1}{2} V'' + V^2$$

$$Q_3 \circ \varphi = \frac{3}{16} V^{(4)} - \frac{3}{2} V V'' - \frac{15}{16} (V')^2 + V^3$$

## Existence of solutions

Now we add the time dependence to obtain a solution of the KdV equation:

### Proposition.

Let  $S$  satisfy Craig-type conditions and let  $V(x) \in \mathcal{R}(S)$ . Let  $f = B(V) \in \mathcal{D}(S)$ .

Then there exists  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S)$  such that  $\varphi(0, 0) = f$  and

$$\partial_x \varphi(x, t) = \Psi(\varphi(x, t)), \quad \partial_t \varphi(x, t) = \Xi(\varphi(x, t)).$$

If we define  $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $u(x, t) = Q_1(\varphi(x, t))$ , then the function  $u(x, t)$  obeys the KdV equation with  $u(x, 0) = V(x)$ .

Moreover, for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $u(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{R}(S)$  and  $B(u(\cdot, t)) = \varphi(0, t)$ , and

$$Q_2 \circ \varphi = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 u + u^2$$

$$Q_3 \circ \varphi = \frac{3}{16} \partial_x^4 u - \frac{3}{2} u \partial_x^2 u - \frac{15}{16} (\partial_x u)^2 + u^3$$

## Existence of solutions

Now we add the time dependence to obtain a solution of the KdV equation:

### Proposition.

Let  $S$  satisfy Craig-type conditions and let  $V(x) \in \mathcal{R}(S)$ . Let  $f = B(V) \in \mathcal{D}(S)$ .

Then there exists  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(S)$  such that  $\varphi(0, 0) = f$  and

$$\partial_x \varphi(x, t) = \Psi(\varphi(x, t)), \quad \partial_t \varphi(x, t) = \Xi(\varphi(x, t)).$$

If we define  $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $u(x, t) = Q_1(\varphi(x, t))$ , then the function  $u(x, t)$  obeys the KdV equation with  $u(x, 0) = V(x)$ .

Moreover, for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $u(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{R}(S)$  and  $B(u(\cdot, t)) = \varphi(0, t)$ , and

$$Q_2 \circ \varphi = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 u + u^2$$

$$Q_3 \circ \varphi = \frac{3}{16} \partial_x^4 u - \frac{3}{2} u \partial_x^2 u - \frac{15}{16} (\partial_x u)^2 + u^3$$

The two results are proven by showing convergence of approximants with finite gap spectra  $S^N = [\underline{E}, \infty) \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^N (E_j^-, E_j^+)$ , for which the above statements were known.

## Sodin-Yuditskii theory

Define  $\xi_j(z)$  as the harmonic measure of  $S \cap \{y : y \geq E_j^+\}$  in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$  evaluated at  $z$ , i.e. the solution of the Dirichlet problem on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$  with boundary values on  $S$  given by

$$\xi_j(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in S, x \geq E_j^+ \\ 0 & x \in S, x \leq E_j^- \end{cases}$$

## Sodin-Yuditskii theory

Define  $\xi_j(z)$  as the harmonic measure of  $S \cap \{y : y \geq E_j^+\}$  in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$  evaluated at  $z$ , i.e. the solution of the Dirichlet problem on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$  with boundary values on  $S$  given by

$$\xi_j(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in S, x \geq E_j^+ \\ 0 & x \in S, x \leq E_j^- \end{cases}$$

*Sodin–Yuditskii map* (an infinite dimensional version of Abel map)

$$A : \mathcal{D}(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^J = \pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus S),$$

$$A_j(\varphi) = \pi \sum_{k \in J} \sigma_k (\xi_j(\mu_k) - \xi_j(E_k^-)) \pmod{2\pi\mathbb{Z}}$$

## Sodin-Yuditskii theory

Define  $\xi_j(z)$  as the harmonic measure of  $S \cap \{y : y \geq E_j^+\}$  in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$  evaluated at  $z$ , i.e. the solution of the Dirichlet problem on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$  with boundary values on  $S$  given by

$$\xi_j(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in S, x \geq E_j^+ \\ 0 & x \in S, x \leq E_j^- \end{cases}$$

*Sodin–Yuditskii map* (an infinite dimensional version of Abel map)

$$A : \mathcal{D}(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^J = \pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus S),$$

$$A_j(\varphi) = \pi \sum_{k \in J} \sigma_k (\xi_j(\mu_k) - \xi_j(E_k^-)) \pmod{2\pi\mathbb{Z}}$$

Defined for an arbitrary Parreau–Widom subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .



## Sodin-Yuditskii theory

Define  $\xi_j(z)$  as the harmonic measure of  $S \cap \{y : y \geq E_j^+\}$  in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$  evaluated at  $z$ , i.e. the solution of the Dirichlet problem on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$  with boundary values on  $S$  given by

$$\xi_j(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in S, x \geq E_j^+ \\ 0 & x \in S, x \leq E_j^- \end{cases}$$

*Sodin–Yuditskii map* (an infinite dimensional version of Abel map)

$$A : \mathcal{D}(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^J = \pi^*(\mathbb{C} \setminus S),$$

$$A_j(\varphi) = \pi \sum_{k \in J} \sigma_k (\xi_j(\mu_k) - \xi_j(E_k^-)) \pmod{2\pi\mathbb{Z}}$$

Defined for an arbitrary Parreau–Widom subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem. (Sodin–Yuditskii, 1995)**

*Let  $S$  be a Sodin–Yuditskii set.*

*Then the map  $\mathcal{M} := A \circ B$  is a homeomorphism between  $\mathcal{R}(S)$  equipped with uniform topology and  $\mathcal{D}(S)$ .*

*It linearizes the translation flow:*

$$\mathcal{M}(u(\cdot + x)) = \mathcal{M}(u(0)) + \delta x.$$

*for some  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^J$ .*

## Almost periodicity of the solution

### Proposition.

*Let  $S$  satisfies Craig-type conditions.*

*Then the map  $\mathcal{M} := A \circ B$  is a homeomorphism between  $\mathcal{R}(S)$  equipped with  $W^{4,\infty}$  topology and  $\mathcal{D}(S)$ .*

*The map  $\mathcal{M}$  linearizes the KdV flow: for some  $\delta, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^J$ ,*

$$\mathcal{M}(u(x, t)) = \mathcal{M}(u(0, 0)) + \delta x + \zeta t.$$

## Almost periodicity of the solution

### Proposition.

*Let  $S$  satisfies Craig-type conditions.*

*Then the map  $\mathcal{M} := A \circ B$  is a homeomorphism between  $\mathcal{R}(S)$  equipped with  $W^{4,\infty}$  topology and  $\mathcal{D}(S)$ .*

*The map  $\mathcal{M}$  linearizes the KdV flow: for some  $\delta, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^J$ ,*

$$\mathcal{M}(u(x, t)) = \mathcal{M}(u(0, 0)) + \delta x + \zeta t.$$

- The first part follows from the higher order trace formulas.

## Almost periodicity of the solution

### Proposition.

*Let  $S$  satisfies Craig-type conditions.*

*Then the map  $\mathcal{M} := A \circ B$  is a homeomorphism between  $\mathcal{R}(S)$  equipped with  $W^{4,\infty}$  topology and  $\mathcal{D}(S)$ .*

*The map  $\mathcal{M}$  linearizes the KdV flow: for some  $\delta, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^J$ ,*

$$\mathcal{M}(u(x, t)) = \mathcal{M}(u(0, 0)) + \delta x + \zeta t.$$

- The first part follows from the higher order trace formulas.
- For the second part, we work with the flow on  $\mathcal{D}(S)$ .

## Almost periodicity of the solution

### Proposition.

Let  $S$  satisfies Craig-type conditions.

Then the map  $\mathcal{M} := A \circ B$  is a homeomorphism between  $\mathcal{R}(S)$  equipped with  $W^{4,\infty}$  topology and  $\mathcal{D}(S)$ .

The map  $\mathcal{M}$  linearizes the KdV flow: for some  $\delta, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^J$ ,

$$\mathcal{M}(u(x, t)) = \mathcal{M}(u(0, 0)) + \delta x + \zeta t.$$

- The first part follows from the higher order trace formulas.
- For the second part, we work with the flow on  $\mathcal{D}(S)$ .
- We use finite gap approximants, for which linearity of the Abel map is known,

$$A_j^N(\varphi^N(x, t)) = A_j^N(\varphi^N(0, 0)) + \delta_j^N x + \zeta_j^N t,$$

and uniform convergence on compacts.

## Small quasiperiodic initial data.

### Theorem. (Damanik-Goldstein-Lukic)

Let  $\omega$  satisfies the Diophantine conditions. There exists  $\varepsilon_0(a_0, b_0, \kappa) > 0$  such that if

$$V \in \mathcal{P}(\omega, \varepsilon, \kappa), \quad \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, \quad \text{and} \quad S = \sigma(H_V),$$

then

$$\mathcal{R}(S) \subset \mathcal{P}(\omega, \sqrt{4\varepsilon}, \kappa/4).$$

## Small quasiperiodic initial data.

### Theorem. (Damanik-Goldstein-Lukic)

Let  $\omega$  satisfies the Diophantine conditions. There exists  $\varepsilon_0(a_0, b_0, \kappa) > 0$  such that if

$$V \in \mathcal{P}(\omega, \varepsilon, \kappa), \quad \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, \quad \text{and} \quad S = \sigma(H_V),$$

then

$$\mathcal{R}(S) \subset \mathcal{P}(\omega, \sqrt{4\varepsilon}, \kappa/4).$$

- A spectrum of any  $V \in \mathcal{P}(\omega, \varepsilon, \kappa)$  satisfies the Craig-type conditions.

## Small quasiperiodic initial data.

### Theorem. (Damanik-Goldstein-Lukic)

Let  $\omega$  satisfies the Diophantine conditions. There exists  $\varepsilon_0(a_0, b_0, \kappa) > 0$  such that if

$$V \in \mathcal{P}(\omega, \varepsilon, \kappa), \quad \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, \quad \text{and} \quad S = \sigma(H_V),$$

then

$$\mathcal{R}(S) \subset \mathcal{P}(\omega, \sqrt{4\varepsilon}, \kappa/4).$$

- A spectrum of any  $V \in \mathcal{P}(\omega, \varepsilon, \kappa)$  satisfies the Craig-type conditions.
- So the unique solution of KdV for the initial data  $V$  satisfies

$$u(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{P}(\omega, \sqrt{4\varepsilon}, \kappa/4) \quad \text{for any } t \geq 0$$



