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Emergence of log-correlated fields: conformal field theory, random surfaces, random matrices, number theory, statistical physics, SPDEs, . . .
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Binary branching random walk (BRW) with increments $Y_{v}(I)$.
Here $Y_{v}(l)$ are i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$.
BRW:

$$
X_{v}(N)=\sum_{l=1}^{\log N} Y_{v}(l), \quad \mathbf{E}\left[Y_{v}(l) Y_{v^{\prime}}(l)\right]= \begin{cases}\sigma^{2} & \text { if } l \leq v \wedge v^{\prime} \\ 0 & \text { if } l>v \wedge v^{\prime}\end{cases}
$$

Model: I.I.D. Random Matrices

## I.I.D. Random Matrices

We consider random matrices
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Normalization guarantees that $\|X\| \sim 1$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark: No integrable structure if $\chi \neq$ Gaussian.

## Eigenvalues of random matrices with independent entries

$X$ is an $N \times N$ matrix with i.i.d. entries
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\mathbf{E} x_{a b}=0, \quad \mathbf{E}\left|x_{a b}\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{N}
$$
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- Circular law: Convergence to the uniform distribution on the unit disk.
- Eigenvalues spacing $\sim N^{-1 / 2}$.
- Accumulation of $\sim \sqrt{N}$ eigenvalues on the real axis for real matrices.


## Fluctuations around the Circular Law

CLT: Let $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{N}$ be the eigenvalues of $X$, then

$$
\Gamma_{N}:=N\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{\sigma_{i}}-\frac{1}{\pi} 1(|z| \leq 1) d^{2} z\right] \rightarrow G F F
$$



Figure 3: (Smoothed) fluctuations of 1000 eigenvalues of i.i.d. random matrices (left) vs. 1000 independent points uniformly distributed in the unit disk (right). Eigenvalues fluctuate much less.

Log-correlated fields in NH Random
Matrices

## Log-correlated field in 2D

## Theorem (Rider-Virag 2007)
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Remark 3: Similar result for normal matrices (Ameur-Hedenmalm-Makarov 2008, 2011), (Ameur, Kang, Seo 2018).
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Remark 1: Not known even for Ginibre! No space-time determinantal structure.
Remark 2: Same regularity $2 D$ additive stochastic heat equation (Edwards-Wilkinson universality class).

Remark 3: The limiting Gaussian field is not Markovian.
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There exists a deterministic $C$ such that

$$
\max _{|z|=1} \log \left|X_{N}(z)\right|-\log N-\frac{3}{4} \log \log N-C \Longrightarrow \xi
$$

with $\xi$ being the sum of two independent random variables.

Previous results: $\log N$-term (Arguin-Belius-Bourgade 2015); $\log \log N$-term (Paquette-Zeitouni 2016); $\max _{|z|=1} \log \left|X_{N}(z)\right|-m_{N}$ is tight (Chhaibi-Madaule-Najnudel 2018).
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Remark 3: Maximum over $|\Im z| \sim N^{-\alpha}$ different in real and complex case ( $\neq$ union bound).

## Sketch proof NH FHK (first order)

## Hermitization+Regularization

Step 1: Notice that (Girko's formula)

$$
\log |\operatorname{det}(x-z)|=\frac{1}{2} \log \operatorname{det}\left[(x-z)(x-z)^{*}\right]=\sum_{i} \log \lambda_{i}^{z}
$$

with $\lambda_{i}^{z}$ the singular values of $X-z$.
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$$

Step 2: By comparison for extremal statistics (Landon-Lopatto-Marcinek 2018) it is enough

$$
\Psi_{N}(z, t):=\sup _{|z| \leq 1} \sum_{i} \log \lambda_{i}^{z}(t)-\mathbf{E}(\cdots) \approx \frac{\log N}{\sqrt{2}} .
$$

Here $\lambda_{i}^{z}(t)$ are the singular values of $X_{t}-z$, with $X_{t}=X+\sqrt{t} X_{\text {Gin }}$ and $t=o(1)$.
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Step 3: How to get the branching structure?
Instead of convergence (of moments char. pol.) to Gaussian Multiplicative Chaos (GMC), we use Dyson Brownian Motion (DBM)!

The singular values of $X+\sqrt{t} x_{\text {Gin }}-z$ are the solution of the DBM:

$$
\mathrm{d} \lambda_{i}^{Z}(t)=\frac{\mathrm{d} b_{i}^{z}(t)}{\sqrt{2 N}}+\frac{1}{2 N} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}^{z}(t)-\lambda_{j}^{z}(t)} \mathrm{d} t .
$$

Define $t_{k}:=N^{c k} / N$, for a small $c>0$. Using DBM:

$$
\Psi_{N}(z, t) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{k} Y_{k}(z), \quad Y_{k}(z):=\sum_{i} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} b_{i}^{z}(s)}{\lambda_{i}^{z}(s)-i s} .
$$

Remark: Branching structure coming from $\sum_{k} Y_{k}(z)$ :

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left[Y_{k}(z)\right] \approx \frac{1}{K} \log N, \quad \operatorname{Cov}\left[Y_{k}\left(z_{1}\right), Y_{k}\left(z_{2}\right)\right] \approx\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{1}{K} \log N & \text { if } & \left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|^{2} \ll t_{k} \\
0 & \text { if } & \left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|^{2} \gg t_{k}
\end{array}\right.
$$
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- Emergence of a 3D log-correlated field with respect to parabolic distance.
- Computation first order $\max _{z} \log |\operatorname{det}(X-z)|$ for general i.i.d. matrices.
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## Main technical inputs:

- Branching structure from DBM.
- Analysis of singular values via weakly correlated DBMs.
- Proof of local laws for products of resolvents.
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