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## Background: Brownian loop soups, isomorphism, level lines

## Brownian loop soups in the plane (Lawler-Werner)

The Brownian loop measure $\mu$ is a measure on parametrized loops

$$
\mu=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} p(t, z, z) \mathbb{P}_{z, t} d t d \lambda(z)
$$

- $p(t, \cdot, \cdot)$ is the transition density of the Brownian motion. $\operatorname{In} \mathbb{R}^{2}$, we have

$$
p(t, x, y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi t} e^{-\|y-x\|^{2} /(2 t)} .
$$

- $\mathbb{P}_{z, t}$ is the probability measure on Brownian bridges in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ rooted at $z$ with time length $t$;
- $d \lambda$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.
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A Brownian loop soup with intensity $\theta>0$ is a Poisson point process of intensity $\theta \mu$ for $\theta>0$. A different intensity parameter $c=2 \theta$ is also widely used.

For any domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, let $\mu_{D}$ be $\mu$ restricted to the loops that are contained in $D$. A Brownian loop soup in $D$ with intensity $\theta$ is a Poisson point process of intensity $\theta \mu_{D}$.


Figure: Brownian loop soup in the unit square

For any domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, let $\mu_{D}$ be $\mu$ restricted to the loops that are contained in $D$. A Brownian loop soup in $D$ with intensity $\theta$ is a Poisson point process of intensity $\theta \mu_{D}$.


Figure: Brownian loop soup in the unit square
If $D$ is a bounded domain, then inside a Brownian loop soup in $D$,

- there are a.s. finitely many big loops (time-length or dimeter $\geq \varepsilon$ )
- and a.s. infinitely many small loops (time-length or dimeter $\leq \varepsilon$ ). In particular, the union of all loops in a loop soup is dense.


## Conformal invariance

Let $f$ be a conformal map from $D_{1}$ onto $D_{2}$. Let $\Gamma_{D_{1}}$ be a Brownian loop soup in $D_{1}$. Then $f\left(\Gamma_{D_{1}}\right)$ is a Brownian loop soup in $D_{2}$.


True for any intensity $\theta>0$.

## Brownian loop soup clusters

Two loops are in the same cluster if there is a finite chain of loops that connect them to each other.

By Sheffield-Werner [2012]
Phase transition at the intensity $c=1$ : A loop soup in $D$ with $c>1$ has a.s. one single cluster. A loop soup in $D$ with intensity $c$ has a.s. infinitely many clusters if $c \leq 1$.
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The parameter $c \in(0,1]$ is also the central charge and $c(\kappa)=(6-\kappa)(3 \kappa-8) /(2 \kappa)$.. This corresponds to $\kappa \in(8 / 3,4]$.

## Level lines of the GFF: $\kappa=4$

- Chordal version. Schramm-Sheffield [2010] introduced local sets. $\mathrm{SLE}_{4}$ is coupled to the GFF as its level line.
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## Level lines of the GFF: $\kappa=4$

- Chordal version. Schramm-Sheffield [2010] introduced local sets. $\mathrm{SLE}_{4}$ is coupled to the GFF as its level line.
- Loop version. Miller-Sheffield: coupling with CLE $_{4}$.

- Other variants by Aru-Sepúlveda-Werner (two-valued sets), Miller-Sheffield-Werner (boundary CLE), etc.
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In $d=1$ (continuum) and on a discrete graph, occupation time field is directly well defined as a function.

We will focus on $d=2$, where we need to renormalize. The occupation time field $T$ is a distribution. For an open set $O \subset D$,

$$
T(O):=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} T_{\varepsilon}(O)-\mathbb{E}\left[T_{\varepsilon}(O)\right]
$$

We will focus on $d=2$, where we need to renormalize. The occupation time field $T$ is a distribution. For an open set $O \subset D$,

$$
T(O):=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} T_{\varepsilon}(O)-\mathbb{E}\left[T_{\varepsilon}(O)\right]
$$

The GFF $\varphi$ is a distribution. The square of $\varphi$ is defined via a renormalization procedure, and is called the Wick square

$$
: \varphi^{2}:(f)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{D}\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)^{2}-\mathbb{E}\left[\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)^{2}\right]\right) f(x) d x
$$

where $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x)=\varphi(B(x, \varepsilon)) /\left(\pi \varepsilon^{2}\right)$.

## Lupu's cable graph

Take a discrete graph, and consider each edge as a continuous interval. Consider a loop soup made of 1D Brownian motions on the edges, which go to each of the adjacent edges with equal probabilities, when arriving at a vertex.

- The occupation time field of this loop soup is a continuous function.
- The isomorphism still holds on this graph, i.e., the occupation time field is equal to the square of the GFF on the cable graph.
- The GFF on the cable graph restricted to the vertices is a GFF on the discrete graph.



## Lupu's cable graph

By Lupu [2016]: Given a loop soup on the cable graph, one can take the square root of its occupation time, and give i.i.d. signs to each cluster.
This gives rise to a GFF on the cable graph.
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In 2D, the occupation time field is a distribution (not a function), hence we cannot take its square root.

Q.-Werner: Three couplings of different natures commute (proof using Lupu's cable graph)


Aru-Lupu-Sepúlveda: Describe the clusters using nested $\mathrm{CLE}_{4}$. The GFF $h$ on $D$ can be decomposed via the clusters:

$$
\varphi=\sum_{\mathcal{C} \text { clusters }} \sigma_{\mathcal{C}} \nu_{\mathcal{C}}
$$

$\sigma_{\mathcal{C}} \in\{-1,1\}$ i.i.d. fair coins.
$\nu_{\mathcal{C}}$ is Minkowski content of $\mathcal{C}$.
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Aru-Lupu-Sepúlveda: Describe the clusters using nested $\mathrm{CLE}_{4}$. The GFF $h$ on $D$ can be decomposed via the clusters:

$$
\varphi=\sum_{\mathcal{C} \text { clusters }} \sigma_{\mathcal{C}} \nu_{\mathcal{C}}
$$

$\sigma_{\mathcal{C}} \in\{-1,1\}$ i.i.d. fair coins.
$\nu_{\mathcal{C}}$ is Minkowski content of $\mathcal{C}$.
Proof crucially relies on the couplings between the critical loop soup, $\mathrm{CLE}_{4}$ and the GFF.

A conformally invariant field for each intensity $c \in(0,1]$

## What is a natural analogue for subcritical intensities?



## A field $h_{\theta}$ for $\theta \in(0,1 / 2]$

Theorem 2 (Jego, Lupu, Q., 2023+)

1. Covariance: There exists $C_{\theta}: D \times D \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ such that for all test function $f$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(h_{\theta}, f\right)^{2}=\int_{D \times D} f(x) C_{\theta}(x, y) f(y) d x d y
$$

The blow-up of the covariance $C_{\theta}$ on the diagonal is given by

$$
C_{\theta}(x, y)=\left(\log \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right)^{2(1-\theta)+o(1)} \quad \text { as } \quad x-y \rightarrow 0
$$

2. Conformal invariance: Let $\psi: D \rightarrow \tilde{D}$ be a conformal map between two bounded simply connected domains We have

$$
h_{\theta, D} \circ \psi^{-1} \stackrel{(\mathrm{~d})}{=} h_{\theta, \tilde{D}}
$$

3. Symmetry: $h_{\theta} \stackrel{(\mathrm{d})}{=}-h_{\theta}$.

## A field $h_{\theta}$ for $\theta \in(0,1 / 2]$
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For $\theta \in(0,1 / 2], h_{\theta}$ is a measurable function of the loop soup together with i.i.d. signs $\left(\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ of the clusters. The field $h_{\theta}$ can be decomposed via the clusters in a loop soup of intensity $\theta$ :
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\varphi=\sum_{\mathcal{C} \text { clusters }} \sigma_{\mathcal{C}} \nu_{\mathcal{C}}
$$

$\sigma_{\mathcal{C}} \in\{-1,1\}$ i.i.d. fair coins, $\nu_{\mathcal{C}}$ is Minkowski content of $\mathcal{C}$.
When $\theta=1 / 2, h=h_{\theta}$ has the law of a GFF in $D$, so that

$$
: L:=\frac{1}{2}: h^{2}: .
$$

We recover a result by Aru, Lupu and Sepúlveda, but we use a different approach.

## Isomorphism for $\theta \in(0,1 / 2)$

A conjecture that we plan to prove in a subsequent paper:
Conjecture 1 (Construction of $h_{\theta}$ from a discrete loop soup)
Sample a random walk loop soup on a discrete lattice, with occupation time field $L_{\theta, N}(x)$. Define

$$
h_{\theta, N}(x):=\frac{\Gamma(\theta)}{2^{1-\theta} \Gamma(2-\theta)} \sigma_{\mathcal{C}_{x}}\left(2 \pi L_{\theta, N}(x)\right)^{1-\theta} .
$$

Then $h_{\theta, N}$ converges to a constant times $h_{\theta}$.
Permanental field: by Marcus-Rosen [2010], before loop soup! Then identified with the loop-soup occupation time field by Le Jan-Marcus-Rosen [2012].
Our field: fractional power of the permanental field. Morally, we expect $L=\left|h_{\theta}\right|^{1 /(1-\theta)}$. Name: Fractional permanental field?
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However, surprisingly, the correct thing is to take the fractional power $1-\theta$ ! This means taking the square root for $\theta=1 / 2$.

Let $T_{\theta}$ be the occupation time field of a loop soup on $[0, \infty)$ with intensity $\theta \in(0,1)$, so that $T_{\theta}$ is distributed as a square Bessel process with dimension $2 \theta$. Let $h_{\theta}$ be obtained from $T$ by taking the power $1-\theta$, and then choosing i.i.d. signs for each cluster (excursion).
Lemma 4
For all $0 \leq x \leq y$, and all bounded measurable function $F$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[h_{\theta}(x) h_{\theta}(y) F\left(T_{\theta}(z), z \in[x, y]\right)\right] \\
= & \frac{\Gamma\left(\theta^{*}\right)}{\Gamma(\theta)} G(x, y)^{2(1-\theta)} \mathbb{E}\left[F\left(T_{\theta^{*}}(z), z \in[x, y]\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta^{*}=2-\theta$ is the dual intensity, and $G(x, y)=2 x \wedge y$ is the Green's function on $[0, \infty)$.
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Lemma 4
For all $0 \leq x \leq y$, and all bounded measurable function $F$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[h_{\theta}(x) h_{\theta}(y) F\left(T_{\theta}(z), z \in[x, y]\right)\right] \\
= & \frac{\Gamma\left(\theta^{*}\right)}{\Gamma(\theta)} G(x, y)^{2(1-\theta)} \mathbb{E}\left[F\left(T_{\theta^{*}}(z), z \in[x, y]\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta^{*}=2-\theta$ is the dual intensity, and $G(x, y)=2 x \wedge y$ is the Green's function on $[0, \infty)$.
Tilting the probability by $h_{\theta}(x) h_{\theta}(y)$ amounts to changing the dimension of the Bessel process (intensity of the loop soup) to its dual.
Lemma 5
Let $\theta \in(0,1)$. The process $h_{\theta}$ is a martingale.

## An analogous result in dimension two

Lemma 6 (Jego, Lupu, Q., 2023+)
For $x, y \in D$, we can construct a probability measure $\mathbb{P}_{x \leftrightarrow y}$ on a loop soup in $D$ conditioned to have a cluster that goes through both $x$ and $y$.

Theorem 7 (Jego, Lupu, Q., 2023+)
Let $F: D \times D \times \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded measurable function such that for all $\mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{L}, F(\cdot, \cdot, \mathcal{L})$ is smooth. Then
$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{D} F\left(x, y, \mathcal{L}_{D}^{\theta}\right) h_{\theta}(x) h_{\theta}(y) d x d y\right]=\int_{D \times D} C_{\theta}(x, y) \mathbb{E}_{x \leftrightarrow y}[F(x, y, \mathcal{L})] d x d y$.

## Level line of our field

Partial exploration of the loop soup (using the partial exploration of the CLE, by Sheffield-Werner).


We show that $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa}$ is a level line of $h_{\theta}$ with constant boundary conditions on both sides, where

$$
2 \theta(\kappa)=c(\kappa)=(6-\kappa)(3 \kappa-8) /(2 \kappa) .
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## Level line of our field

- The level-line/GFF coupling works only for $\kappa=4$.
- A more general flow-line/GFF coupling is developed by Miller-Sheffield in Imaginary Geometry which works for SLE $_{\kappa}$ for all $\kappa$.


However, for $\kappa \neq 4$, there is a winding term which makes the coupling less amenable.

- Our coupling does not have any winding term.

Our field is a generalisation of the Gaussian free field.
Attention: Our field is not equal to the CLE nesting field!

## Crossing exponent in the Brownian loop soup

## Key ingredients in the proof of Theorem 2

The correlation function is given by the following limit:

$$
C_{\theta}(x, y):=\lim _{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{Z_{\gamma}^{2}} \mathbb{P}\left[x \stackrel{\mathcal{L}_{D}^{\theta} \wedge E_{a}^{x} \wedge \Xi_{y}^{y}}{\longleftrightarrow} y\right],
$$

where

$$
Z_{\gamma}=\mathbb{P}\left(e^{-1} \partial \mathbb{D} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{D}}^{\theta}}{\longleftrightarrow} \Xi_{a}^{0}\right), \quad \Xi_{a}^{x} \approx B\left(x, e^{-c / \gamma}\right), \quad \gamma=\sqrt{2 a} .
$$
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\mathbb{P}\left(e^{-1} \partial \mathbb{D} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{D}}^{\theta}}{\longleftrightarrow} r \partial \mathbb{D}\right)=|\log r|^{-1+\theta+o(1)} .
$$

As a consequence, $Z_{\gamma}=\gamma^{1-\theta+o(1)}$.
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where

$$
Z_{\gamma}=\mathbb{P}\left(e^{-1} \partial \mathbb{D} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{D}}^{\theta}}{\longleftrightarrow} \Xi_{a}^{0}\right), \quad \Xi_{a}^{x} \approx B\left(x, e^{-c / \gamma}\right), \quad \gamma=\sqrt{2 a} .
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- Main input: We compute the crossing exponent

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(e^{-1} \partial \mathbb{D} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{D}}^{\theta}}{\longleftrightarrow} r \partial \mathbb{D}\right)=|\log r|^{-1+\theta+o(1)} .
$$

As a consequence, $Z_{\gamma}=\gamma^{1-\theta+o(1)}$.
As a consequence, the correlation function blows up as

$$
C_{\theta}(x, y)=\left(\log \frac{C}{|x-y|}\right)^{2(1-\theta)+o(1)} \text { as } x-y \rightarrow 0
$$

## Crossing exponent of a cluster

Theorem 8 (Jego, Lupu, Q.)
Let $\theta \in(0,1 / 2]$ and $r \in(0,1)$. The probability that a cluster in a Brownian loop soup $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{D}}^{\theta}$ intersects both the microscopic circle $\varepsilon \partial \mathbb{D}$ and the macroscopic circle r $\partial \mathbb{D}$ decays like

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\varepsilon \partial \mathbb{D} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{B}}^{\theta}}{\longleftrightarrow} r \partial \mathbb{D}\right)=|\log \varepsilon|^{-1+\theta+o(1)} \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 .
$$
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$$

- When $\theta=0$, this probability decays like $|\log \varepsilon|^{-1}$.
- When $\theta=1 / 2$, this probability decays like $|\log \varepsilon|^{-1 / 2}$.

This cannot be obtained using CLE. The probability that $n \geq 2$ clusters in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{D}}^{\theta}$ intersect both the microscopic circle $\varepsilon \partial \mathbb{D}$ and the macroscopic circle $r \partial \mathbb{D}$ should decay like

$$
\varepsilon^{\alpha_{2 n}+o(1)} \quad \text { as } \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\alpha_{2 n}$ is the $2 n$-arm exponent of SLE. Polynomial in $\varepsilon$ !

## Exact scaling limit of the crossing probability

Theorem 9 (Jego, Lupu, Q.)
Let $\theta \in(0,1 / 2]$. For all $s>1$, the following crossing probability converges

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\delta \partial \mathbb{D} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{D}}^{\theta}}{\longleftrightarrow} \delta^{s} \partial \mathbb{D}\right) \underset{\delta \rightarrow 0}{\longrightarrow} f_{\infty}(s) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\infty}(s)=\frac{\sin (\pi \theta)}{\pi} \int_{s-1}^{\infty} t^{\theta-1}(t+1)^{-1} d t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\infty}(s)=\frac{\sin (\pi \theta)}{\pi} \int_{s-1}^{\infty} t^{\theta-1}(t+1)^{-1} d t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\infty}(s) \sim \frac{\sin (\pi \theta)}{\pi(1-\theta)} s^{\theta-1}, \quad \text { as } \quad s \rightarrow \infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## A fixed-point equation

If $f_{\infty}$ exists, then it should satisfy
$f_{\infty}(s)=1-\left(1-\frac{1}{s}\right)^{\theta}+\theta(s-1)^{\theta} \int_{1}^{\infty}(s+t-1)^{-\theta-1} f_{\infty}(t) d t, \quad s \geq 1$.

- One loop crossing $\delta \partial \mathbb{D} \leftrightarrow \delta^{s} \partial \mathbb{D}: 1-\left(1-\frac{1}{s}\right)^{\theta}$
- The first loop crosses exactly $\delta \partial \mathbb{D} \leftrightarrow \delta^{1+(s-1) / t} \partial \mathbb{D}$, and the rest is crossed by a cluster in $\delta \mathbb{D}$.
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For all $\alpha \in(0,1-\theta)$, the equation (4) admits a unique solution belonging to

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}:=\left\{f:[1, \infty) \rightarrow[0,1] \text { measurable },\|f\|_{\alpha}:=\sup _{s \geq 1} s^{\alpha}|f(s)|<\infty\right\} .
$$
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Tightness + Uniqueness $\Longrightarrow$ Existence of $f_{\infty}$.

## Polar sets of a cluster

Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded open simply connected domain and let $A \subset D$ be a Borel set. We will say that $A$ is polar for the clusters of $\mathcal{L}_{D}^{\theta}$ if

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\exists \mathcal{C} \text { cluster of } \mathcal{L}_{D}^{\theta}: \overline{\mathcal{C}} \cap A \neq \varnothing\right)=0
$$

Let $K: \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ be a measurable function and let
$\operatorname{Cap}_{K}(A):=\left(\inf \left\{\int K(x, y) \mu(d x) \mu(d y): \mu \text { probability measure on } A\right\}\right)^{-1}$
be the capacity of $A$ with respect to the kernel $K$.
Theorem 10 (Jego-Lupu-Q.)
Let $\theta \in(0,1 / 2], D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded open simply connected domain and let $A \subset D$ be a closed set. If $A$ is polar for the clusters of $\mathcal{L}_{D}^{\theta}$, then $\operatorname{Cap}_{\log ^{\alpha}}(A)=0$ for all $\alpha>1-\theta$. Conversely, if $A$ is not polar for the clusters of $\mathcal{L}_{D}^{\theta}$, then $\operatorname{Cap}_{\log ^{\alpha}}(A)>0$ for all $\alpha<1-\theta$.
Kakutani's theorem: A closed set $A$ is polar for Brownian motion iff $\operatorname{Cap}_{\log ^{\alpha}}(A)=0$ for $\alpha=1$.

## Minkowski content

Theorem 11 (Jego, Lupu, Q.)
Let $\theta \in(0,1 / 2]$. A cluster $\mathcal{C}_{k}$ in a Brownian loop soup $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{D}}^{\theta}$ a.s. has Minkowski gauge function $t^{2} G(t)$ for some function
$G(t)=|\log t|^{1-\theta+o(1)}$.
The Minkowski content $\mu_{k}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{k}$ under the gauge function $t^{2} G(t)$ is a radon measure.
For all bounded measurable function $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_{\mathcal{C}_{j}}\left(\mu_{j}, f\right) \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow}\left(h_{\theta}, f\right)
$$

## Thank you for your attention!

