

# Rank one perturbations of unitary operators and Clark's model in general situation

Sergei Treil

Department of Mathematics  
Brown University

March 7, 2016

- 1 Main objects: rank one perturbations and models
  - Rank one perturbations
  - Functional models for c.n.u. contractions
  - Characteristic function and defects for  $U_\gamma$
- 2 A universal formula for the adjoint Clark operator
  - Spectral representation of unitary perturbations
  - Adjoint Clark operator, freedom of choice
  - A universal representation formula
- 3 Representations in different transcriptions
  - Representation for the Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş transcription
  - Representation in the de Branges–Rovnyak transcription
  - Bounds on the normalized Cauchy transforms

# Rank one perturbations

- For a unitary  $U = U_1$  let

$$U_\gamma := U + (\gamma - 1)bb_1^*, \quad \|b\| = 1, \quad b_1 := U^*b, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{C}.$$

# Rank one perturbations

- For a unitary  $U = U_1$  let

$$U_\gamma := U + (\gamma - 1)bb_1^*, \quad \|b\| = 1, \quad b_1 := U^*b, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- If  $|\gamma| = 1$  then we have all rank one unitary perturbations (if the range of the perturbation is fixed).

# Rank one perturbations

- For a unitary  $U = U_1$  let

$$U_\gamma := U + (\gamma - 1)bb_1^*, \quad \|b\| = 1, \quad b_1 := U^*b, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- If  $|\gamma| = 1$  then we have all rank one unitary perturbations (if the range of the perturbation is fixed).

Indeed  $U + K = (I + KU^*)U$ , and it is easy to describe all unitary perturbations of  $I$ :

$$KU^* = (\gamma - 1)bb^*, \quad \|b\| = 1, \quad |\gamma| = 1.$$

# Rank one perturbations

- For a unitary  $U = U_1$  let

$$U_\gamma := U + (\gamma - 1)bb_1^*, \quad \|b\| = 1, \quad b_1 := U^*b, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- If  $|\gamma| = 1$  then we have all rank one unitary perturbations (if the range of the perturbation is fixed).

Indeed  $U + K = (I + KU^*)U$ , and it is easy to describe all unitary perturbations of  $I$ :

$$KU^* = (\gamma - 1)bb^*, \quad \|b\| = 1, \quad |\gamma| = 1.$$

- WLOG:  $b$  is cyclic, so  $U = M_\xi$  in  $L^2(\mu)$ ,  $\mu(\mathbb{T}) = 1$ ;  $b \equiv \mathbf{1}$ , therefore  $b_1(\xi) = \bar{\xi}$ .

# Rank one perturbations

- For a unitary  $U = U_1$  let

$$U_\gamma := U + (\gamma - 1)bb^*, \quad \|b\| = 1, \quad b_1 := U^*b, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- If  $|\gamma| = 1$  then we have all rank one unitary perturbations (if the range of the perturbation is fixed).

Indeed  $U + K = (I + KU^*)U$ , and it is easy to describe all unitary perturbations of  $I$ :

$$KU^* = (\gamma - 1)bb^*, \quad \|b\| = 1, \quad |\gamma| = 1.$$

- WLOG:  $b$  is cyclic, so  $U = M_\xi$  in  $L^2(\mu)$ ,  $\mu(\mathbb{T}) = 1$ ;  $b \equiv \mathbf{1}$ , therefore  $b_1(\xi) = \bar{\xi}$ .
- If  $|\gamma| < 1$ ,  $U_\gamma$  is a *completely non-unitary* (c.n.u.) contraction with defect indices 1-1,

$$\text{rank}(I - U_\gamma^*U_\gamma) = \text{rank}(I - U_\gamma U_\gamma^*) = 1.$$

# Models for $U_\gamma$

- If  $|\gamma| = 1$  then  $U_\gamma$  is unitary, so  $U_\gamma \cong M_z$ ,

$$M_z : L^2(\mu_\gamma) \rightarrow L^2(\mu_\gamma), \quad M_z f(z) = z f(z).$$

- If  $|\gamma| < 1$  then  $U_\gamma$  is a c.n.u. contraction and admits the functional model,  $U_\gamma \cong \mathcal{M}_\theta$ ,

$$\mathcal{M}_\theta : \mathcal{K}_\theta \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_\theta, \quad \mathcal{M}_\theta = P_{\mathcal{K}_\theta} M_z \upharpoonright \mathcal{K}_\theta;$$

here  $\theta \in H^\infty$ ,  $\|\theta\|_\infty \leq 1$  is the *characteristic function* of  $U_\gamma$ , and  $\mathcal{K}_\theta$  is the *model space*

**Goal:** Want to describe unitary operators intertwining  $U_\gamma$  and its model.



# Characteristic function

For detail see Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş [9].

- For  $T$ ,  $\|T\| \leq 1$  let

$$\begin{aligned} D_T &= (I - T^*T)^{1/2}, & D_{T^*} &:= (I - TT^*)^{1/2} \\ \mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}_T &:= \text{clos Ran } D_T, & \mathfrak{D}_* = \mathfrak{D}_{T^*} &:= \text{clos Ran } D_{T^*}. \end{aligned}$$

- Characteristic function  $\theta \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}; B(\mathfrak{D}; \mathfrak{D}_*))$  is defined as

$$\theta_T(z) = \left( -T + zD_{T^*}(I - zT^*)^{-1}D_T \right) \Big|_{\mathfrak{D}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Note that  $\|\theta\|_\infty \leq 1$ .

- Usually  $\theta$  is defined up to constant unitary factors (choice of bases in  $\mathfrak{D}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_*$ ); spaces  $E \cong \mathfrak{D}$  and  $E_* \cong \mathfrak{D}_*$  are used.

# Functional model(s)

Following Nikolskii–Vasyunin [4] the functional model is constructed as follows:

- 1 For a contraction  $T : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  consider its *minimal* unitary dilations  $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$T^n = P_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{U}^n \mid_{\mathcal{K}}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

- 2 Pick a spectral representation of  $\mathcal{U}$
- 3 Work out formulas in this spectral representation
- 4 Model subspace  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_\theta$  is usually a subspace of a weighted space  $L^2(E \oplus E_*, W)$ ,  $E \cong \mathfrak{D}$ ,  $E_* \cong \mathfrak{D}_*$  with some operator-valued weight.

Specific representations give us a *transcription* of the model.

Among common transcriptions are: the Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş transcription, the de Branges–Rovnyak transcription, Pavlov transcription.

# Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş and de Branges–Rovnyak transcriptions

- **Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş:**  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(E \oplus E_*)$  (non-weighted,  $W \equiv I$ ).

$$\mathcal{K}_\theta := \begin{pmatrix} H_{E_*}^2 \\ \text{clos } \Delta L_E^2 \end{pmatrix} \ominus \begin{pmatrix} \theta \\ \Delta \end{pmatrix} H_E^2,$$

where  $\Delta(z) := (1 - \theta(z)^* \theta(z))^{1/2}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{T}$ .

- **de Branges–Rovnyak:**  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(E \oplus E_*, W_\theta^{[-1]})$ , where

$$W_\theta(z) = \begin{pmatrix} I & \theta(z) \\ \theta(z)^* & I \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $W_\theta^{[-1]}$  is the Moore–Penrose inverse of  $W_\theta$ .  $\mathcal{K}_\theta$  is given by

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} g_+ \\ g_- \end{pmatrix} : g_+ \in H^2(E_*), g_- \in H_-^2(E), g_- - \theta^* g_+ \in \Delta L^2(E) \right\}.$$

# Characteristic function and defects for $U_\gamma$

Recall:  $U_\gamma = U_1 + (\gamma - 1)bb_1^*$ ,  $b_1 = U_1^*b$ ,  $|\gamma| < 1$ .

- $\mathfrak{D}_{U_\gamma}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_{U_\gamma^*}$  are spanned by the vectors  $b_1$  and  $b$  respectively.
- Characteristic function  $\theta_T$  of a contraction  $T$  is defined as

$$\theta_T(z) = \left( -T + zD_{T^*}(I - zT^*)^{-1}D_T \right) \Big|_{\mathfrak{D}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

- To compute it use Rank one inversion formula (Sherman–Morrison formula)

$$(I - bc^*)^{-1} = I + \frac{1}{d}bc^*, \quad d = (b, c) = c^*b.$$

- $I - zU_\gamma^*$  is a rank one perturbation of  $I - zU_1^* = I - zM_{\bar{\xi}}$ ;
- The inverse of  $I - zM_{\bar{\xi}}$  is multiplication by  $(1 - z\bar{\xi})^{-1}$ , so Cauchy integrals appear.

## Characteristic function and defects for $U_\gamma$

- Define Cauchy integrals

$$R_1\tau(\lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\bar{\xi}\lambda d\tau(\xi)}{1 - \bar{\xi}\lambda}, \quad R_2\tau(\lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 + \bar{\xi}\lambda}{1 - \bar{\xi}\lambda} d\tau(\xi).$$

- Characteristic function  $\theta_\gamma$  of  $U_\gamma$  in the bases  $b_1, b$ :

$$\theta_\gamma(\lambda) = -\gamma + \frac{(1 - |\gamma|^2)R_1\mu(\lambda)}{1 + (1 - \bar{\gamma})R_1\mu(\lambda)} = \frac{(1 - \gamma)R_2\mu(\lambda) - (1 + \gamma)}{(1 - \bar{\gamma})R_2\mu(\lambda) + (1 + \bar{\gamma})},$$

- Note that  $\theta_\gamma(0) = -\gamma$ , because  $R_1\mu(0) = 0$
- For  $\gamma = 0$

$$\theta_0(\lambda) = \frac{R_1\mu(\lambda)}{1 + R_1\mu(\lambda)} = \frac{R_2\mu(\lambda) - 1}{R_2\mu(\lambda) + 1}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{D}.$$

## “Model” case of unitary perturbations

Recall:  $U_\alpha = U_1 + (\alpha - 1)bb_1^*$ ,  $|\alpha| = 1$

$$U_1 = M_\xi \text{ in } L^2(\mu), \quad \mu(\mathbb{T}) = 1, \quad b \equiv 1, \quad b_1 = U_1^*b \equiv \bar{\xi}$$

- Let  $\mu_\alpha$  be the spectral measure of  $U_\alpha$  corresponding to the vector  $b$ .
- Want to find a unitary operator  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2(\mu_\alpha)$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha b = \mathbf{1} \in L^2(\mu_\alpha)$  and such that

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_\alpha = M_z \mathcal{V}_\alpha.$$

Case of self-adjoint perturbations was treated earlier by Liaw–Treil in [2].  
 This case is treated similarly.

# Pretending to be a physysist

Let  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha$  be an integral operator with kernel  $K(z, \xi)$ .

- $U_\alpha = M_\xi + bb_1^*$ , so we can rewrite the relation  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_\alpha = M_z \mathcal{V}_\alpha$  as

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha M_\xi = M_z \mathcal{V}_\alpha - (1 - \alpha) \mathcal{V}_\alpha bb_1^*.$$

- We know that  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha b = 1$ ,  $b_1 = \bar{\xi}$ , so  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha bb_1^*$  is an integral operator with kernel  $\xi$

$$K(z, \xi) \xi = zK(z, \xi) - (\alpha - 1) \xi.$$

- Solving for  $K$  we get

$$K(z, \xi) = (1 - \alpha) \frac{\xi}{\xi - z} = (1 - \alpha) \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\xi}z}$$

# First representation for $\mathcal{V}_\alpha$

## Theorem (Representation of $\mathcal{V}_\alpha$ )

*The unitary operator  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2(\mu_\alpha)$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha b = \mathbf{1} \in L^2(\mu_\alpha)$  and such that*

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_\alpha = M_z \mathcal{V}_\alpha.$$

*is given by*

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha f(z) = f(z) + (1 - \alpha) \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(\xi) - f(z)}{1 - \bar{\xi}z} d\mu(\xi)$$

*for  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{T})$*



## Idea of the proof

- Recalling that  $U_\alpha = U_1 + (\alpha - 1)bb_1^*$  rewrite  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_\alpha = M_z \mathcal{V}_\alpha$  as

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_1 = M_z \mathcal{V}_\alpha + (1 - \alpha)(\mathcal{V}_\alpha b)b_1^*.$$

## Idea of the proof

- Recalling that  $U_\alpha = U_1 + (\alpha - 1)bb_1^*$  rewrite  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_\alpha = M_z \mathcal{V}_\alpha$  as

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_1 \mathbf{U}_1 = M_z \mathcal{V}_\alpha \mathbf{U}_1 + (1 - \alpha)(\mathcal{V}_\alpha b)b_1^* \mathbf{U}_1.$$

## Idea of the proof

- Recalling that  $U_\alpha = U_1 + (\alpha - 1)bb_1^*$  rewrite  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_\alpha = M_z \mathcal{V}_\alpha$  as

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_1 \mathbf{U}_1 = M_z \mathcal{V}_\alpha \mathbf{U}_1 + (1 - \alpha)(\mathcal{V}_\alpha b)b_1^* \mathbf{U}_1.$$

- Right multiplying by  $U_1$  and applying the above “black” identity to  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha \mathbf{U}_1$  in the right hand side, we get

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_1^2 = M_z^2 \mathcal{V}_\alpha + (1 - \alpha) [(M_z \mathcal{V}_\alpha b)b_1^* + (\mathcal{V}_\alpha b)b_1^* U_1]$$

# Idea of the proof

- Recalling that  $U_\alpha = U_1 + (\alpha - 1)bb_1^*$  rewrite  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_\alpha = M_z \mathcal{V}_\alpha$  as

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_1 \mathbf{U}_1 = M_z \mathcal{V}_\alpha \mathbf{U}_1 + (1 - \alpha)(\mathcal{V}_\alpha b)b_1^* \mathbf{U}_1.$$

- Right multiplying by  $U_1$  and applying the above “black” identity to  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha \mathbf{U}_1$  in the right hand side, we get

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_1^2 = M_z^2 \mathcal{V}_\alpha + (1 - \alpha) [(M_z \mathcal{V}_\alpha b)b_1^* + (\mathcal{V}_\alpha b)b_1^* U_1]$$

- By induction we get

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_1^n = M_z^n \mathcal{V}_\alpha + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{k=1}^n M_z^{k-1} (\mathcal{V}_\alpha b)b_1^* U_1^{n-k}.$$

# Idea of the proof

- Recalling that  $U_\alpha = U_1 + (\alpha - 1)bb_1^*$  rewrite  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_\alpha = M_z \mathcal{V}_\alpha$  as

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_1 U_1 = M_z \mathcal{V}_\alpha U_1 + (1 - \alpha)(\mathcal{V}_\alpha b)b_1^* U_1.$$

- Right multiplying by  $U_1$  and applying the above “black” identity to  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_1$  in the right hand side, we get

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_1^2 = M_z^2 \mathcal{V}_\alpha + (1 - \alpha) [(M_z \mathcal{V}_\alpha b)b_1^* + (\mathcal{V}_\alpha b)b_1^* U_1]$$

- By induction we get

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_1^n = M_z^n \mathcal{V}_\alpha + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{k=1}^n M_z^{k-1} (\mathcal{V}_\alpha b)b_1^* U_1^{n-k}.$$

- Applying to  $b \equiv 1$  and summing geometric progression we get the formula for  $f(\xi) = \xi^n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ .

## Idea of the proof, continued

- To get the formula for  $\bar{\xi}^n$  we use  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_\alpha^* = M_{\bar{z}} \mathcal{V}_\alpha$ , which is obtained by taking adjoint in  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_\alpha = M_z \mathcal{V}_\alpha$ .
- Extend the formula from trig. polynomials to  $f \in C^1$  by standard approximation reasoning.

## Idea of the proof, continued

- To get the formula for  $\bar{\xi}^n$  we use  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_\alpha^* = M_{\bar{z}} \mathcal{V}_\alpha$ , which is obtained by taking adjoint in  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha U_\alpha = M_z \mathcal{V}_\alpha$ .
- Extend the formula from trig. polynomials to  $f \in C^1$  by standard approximation reasoning.

### A general statement

Rank one commutation relations like

$$\mathcal{V} M_\xi = M_z \mathcal{V} + c b_1^*$$

usually give singular integral representations for  $\mathcal{V}$ .

# Singular integral operators

Recall that  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha f(z) = f(z) + (1 - \alpha) \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(\xi) - f(z)}{1 - \bar{\xi}z} d\mu(\xi)$

Theorem (Regularization of the weighted Cauchy transform)

*The integral operators  $T_r = T_r^\alpha : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2(\mu_\alpha)$  with kernels  $1/(1 - r\bar{\xi}z)$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{1\}$  are uniformly bounded.*



# Singular integral operators

Recall that  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha f(z) = f(z) + (1 - \alpha) \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(\xi) - f(z)}{1 - \bar{\xi}z} d\mu(\xi)$

## Theorem (Regularization of the weighted Cauchy transform)

*The integral operators  $T_r = T_r^\alpha : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2(\mu_\alpha)$  with kernels  $1/(1 - r\bar{\xi}z)$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{1\}$  are uniformly bounded.*

- Let  $Tf(z) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(\xi)}{1 - \bar{\xi}z} d\mu(\xi)$ ; well defined for  $z \notin \text{supp } f$

# Singular integral operators

Recall that  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha f(z) = f(z) + (1 - \alpha) \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(\xi) - f(z)}{1 - \bar{\xi}z} d\mu(\xi)$

## Theorem (Regularization of the weighted Cauchy transform)

*The integral operators  $T_r = T_r^\alpha : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2(\mu_\alpha)$  with kernels  $1/(1 - r\bar{\xi}z)$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{1\}$  are uniformly bounded.*

- Let  $Tf(z) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(\xi)}{1 - \bar{\xi}z} d\mu(\xi)$ ; well defined for  $z \notin \text{supp } f$
- Since  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha$  is bounded, we get for  $f, g \in C^1$ ,  $\text{supp } f \cap \text{supp } g = \emptyset$

$$(Tf, g)_{L^2(\mu_\alpha)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mu_\alpha)}$$

# Singular integral operators

Recall that  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha f(z) = f(z) + (1 - \alpha) \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(\xi) - f(z)}{1 - \bar{\xi}z} d\mu(\xi)$

## Theorem (Regularization of the weighted Cauchy transform)

*The integral operators  $T_r = T_r^\alpha : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2(\mu_\alpha)$  with kernels  $1/(1 - r\bar{\xi}z)$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{1\}$  are uniformly bounded.*

- Let  $Tf(z) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(\xi)}{1 - \bar{\xi}z} d\mu(\xi)$ ; well defined for  $z \notin \text{supp } f$
- Since  $\mathcal{V}_\alpha$  is bounded, we get for  $f, g \in C^1$ ,  $\text{supp } f \cap \text{supp } g = \emptyset$

$$(Tf, g)_{L^2(\mu_\alpha)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mu_\alpha)}$$

- By a theorem of Liaw–Treil [3] this implies uniform boundedness of the regularizations  $T_r$  if the measures  $\mu$  and  $\mu_\alpha$  do not have common atoms ( $U_1$  and  $U_\alpha$  do not have common eigenvalues).

# Singular integral operators

- Uniform boundedness of  $T_r$  together with  $\mu_\alpha$ -a.e. convergence of  $T_r f$  imply existence of w.o.t.-limits  $T_\pm^\alpha = \text{w.o.t.-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1 \mp} T_r$ .
- Using  $T_\pm^\alpha$  we can rewrite the representation

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha f(z) = f(z) + (1 - \alpha) \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(\xi) - f(z)}{1 - \bar{\xi}z} d\mu(\xi)$$

as

$$\mathcal{V}_\alpha f = [\mathbf{1} - (1 - \alpha)T_\pm^{1,\alpha}\mathbf{1}]f + (1 - \alpha)T_\pm^{1,\alpha}f.$$

- $(\mu_\alpha)_a$ -a.e. convergence follows from classical results about jumps of Cauchy transform;  $(\mu_\alpha)_s$ -a.e. convergence can be obtained from Poltoratskii's theorem about boundary values of the normalized Cauchy transform, see [7].
- For the weak convergence it is enough to have  $\mu_\alpha$ -a.e. convergence of  $T_r f$  for  $f \in C^1$ , which can be proved using elementary methods.

# Adjoint Clark operator, freedom of choice

- Let  $U_\gamma = U_1 + (\gamma - 1)bb_1^*$ ,  $|\gamma| < 1$ ;
- $U_\gamma \cong \mathcal{M}_{\theta_\gamma}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{\theta_\gamma} := P_{\mathcal{K}_{\theta_\gamma}} M_z|_{\mathcal{K}_{\theta_\gamma}}$

**Adjoint Clark operator:** a unitary  $\Phi_\gamma^* : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\theta_\gamma}$  such that

$$\Phi_\gamma^* U_\gamma = \mathcal{M}_{\theta_\gamma} \Phi_\gamma^* \quad (*)$$

- Defect spaces  $\mathfrak{D}_{U_\gamma}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_{U_\gamma^*}$  are spanned by the vectors  $b_1 \equiv \bar{\xi}$  and  $b \equiv 1$  respectively.
- Let  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{M}_{\theta_\gamma}}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{M}_{\theta_\gamma}^*}$  be spanned by  $c_1^\gamma$  and  $c^\gamma$ ,  $\|c_1^\gamma\| = \|c^\gamma\| = 1$ .
- Relation  $(*)$  implies that  $\Phi_\gamma^* b = \alpha c^\gamma$ ,  $\Phi_\gamma^* b_1 = \beta c_1^\gamma$ ,  $|\alpha| = |\beta| = 1$ .
- Except for the case  $\gamma = 0$  and  $\mu = |dz|/2\pi$ ,  $\beta$  is uniquely defined by  $\alpha$ .

# Defect vectors of $\mathcal{M}_{\theta_\gamma}$ in the Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş transcription

- Defect subspaces  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{M}_\theta}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{M}_\theta^*}$  are spanned by  $c_1$  and  $c$ ,  
 $\|c\| = \|c_1\| = 1$ ,

$$c(z) := (1 - |\theta(0)|^2)^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \overline{\theta(0)}\theta(z) \\ -\overline{\theta(0)}\Delta(z) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$c_1(z) := (1 - |\theta(0)|^2)^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} z^{-1}(\theta(z) - \theta(0)) \\ z^{-1}\Delta(z) \end{pmatrix},$$

- Vectors  $c^\gamma$  and  $c_1^\gamma$  agree, i.e.  $\Phi_\gamma^* b = c^\gamma$  implies  $\Phi_\gamma^* b_1 = c_1^\gamma$   
 (not considering the exceptional case  $\gamma = 0$ ,  $\mu = |dz|/2\pi$ )

## Theorem (A “universal” representation formula)

Let  $\theta_\gamma$  be a characteristic function of  $U_\gamma$ ,  $|\gamma| < 1$ . Assume that the vectors  $c^\gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{M}_{\theta_\gamma}^*}$ ,  $c_1^\gamma \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mathcal{M}_{\theta_\gamma}}$   $\|c^\gamma\| = \|c_1^\gamma\| = 1$  agree. Let  $\Phi^* = \Phi_\gamma^* : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\theta_\gamma}$  be a unitary operator satisfying

$$\Phi_\gamma^* U_\gamma = \mathcal{M}_{\theta_\gamma} \Phi_\gamma^*,$$

and such that  $\Phi_\gamma^* b = c^\gamma$  (so  $\Phi_\gamma^* b_1 = c_1^\gamma$ ).

Then for all  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{T})$

$$\Phi_\gamma^* f(z) = A_\gamma(z) f(z) + B_\gamma(z) \int \frac{f(\xi) - f(z)}{1 - \bar{\xi} z} d\mu(\xi), \quad z \in \mathbb{T},$$

where  $A_\gamma(z) = c^\gamma(z)$ ,  $B_\gamma(z) = c^\gamma(z) - z c_1^\gamma(z)$ .

This theorem works in any transcription of the model.

# Idea of the proof

- Write, denoting  $c_2^\gamma(z) := zc_1^\gamma(z)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{\theta_\gamma} &= M_z - c_2^\gamma(c_1^\gamma)^* - \theta_\gamma(0)c^\gamma(c_1^\gamma)^* \\ &= M_z + (\gamma c^\gamma - c_2^\gamma)(c_1^\gamma)^*.\end{aligned}$$

Rank one perturbation of  $M_z$ ! Should get at most rank 2 commutation relation.



## Idea of the proof

- Write, denoting  $c_2^\gamma(z) := zc_1^\gamma(z)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{\theta_\gamma} &= M_z - c_2^\gamma(c_1^\gamma)^* - \theta_\gamma(0)c^\gamma(c_1^\gamma)^* \\ &= M_z + (\gamma c^\gamma - c_2^\gamma)(c_1^\gamma)^*.\end{aligned}$$

Rank one perturbation of  $M_z$ ! Should get at most rank 2 commutation relation.

- Using this identity rewrite  $\Phi_\gamma^* U_\gamma = \mathcal{M}_{\theta_\gamma} \Phi_\gamma^*$  as

$$\Phi_\gamma^* U_1 + (\gamma - 1)c^\gamma b_1^* = M_z \Phi_\gamma^* + (\gamma c^\gamma - c_2^\gamma)b_1^*$$

or equivalently

$$\Phi_\gamma^* U_1 = M_z \Phi_\gamma^* + (c^\gamma - c_2^\gamma)b_1^*.$$

We got rank one commutation relation!

- Commutation relations imply integral representation.

# Idea of the proof, difficulties

- Formally the right side of

$$\Phi_\gamma^* U_1 = M_z \Phi_\gamma^* + (c^\gamma - c_2^\gamma) b_1^*. \quad (*)$$

acts from  $L^2(\mu)$  to outside of  $\mathcal{K}_\theta$ .

- To get  $\Phi_\gamma^* \bar{\xi}^n$  we use the commutant relation

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\gamma^* U_1^* &= M_{\bar{z}} \Phi_\gamma^* + (c_1^\gamma - M_{\bar{z}} c^\gamma) b^* \\ &= M_{\bar{z}} \Phi_\gamma^* - M_{\bar{z}} (c^\gamma - c_2^\gamma) b^*, \end{aligned}$$

which cannot be obtained by taking the adjoint of (\*).

- It is a miracle that the formulas for  $\Phi_\gamma^* \xi^n$  and  $\Phi_\gamma^* \bar{\xi}^n$  agree.

# Cauchy type operators and regularizations

- For  $f \in L^2(\mu)$  let

$$Rf\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{f(\xi)}{1 - \bar{\xi}z} d\mu(\xi)$$

and let  $T_+f$  be the non-tangential boundary values of  $Rf\mu(z)$ ,  $|z| < 1$ .

- Let  $T_r : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2(v)$ ,  $v = |B|^2$  be the integral operators with kernel  $1/(1 - r\bar{\xi}z)$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{1\}$ .
- Operators  $T_r : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2(v)$  (equivalently  $M_B T_r : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2$ ) are uniformly in  $r$  bounded.
- $T_+ = \text{w.o.t.-} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} T_r$  (as operators  $L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2(v)$ ); equivalently,  $M_B T_+ = \text{w.o.t.-} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} M_B T_r$  (as operators  $L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2$ )

## Theorem

The vector  $g := (1 - |\gamma|^2)^{1/2} \Phi_\gamma^* f$  can be represented in the Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş transcription as

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (\bar{\gamma} - (\bar{\gamma} - 1)T_+ \mathbf{1})\Delta_\gamma \end{pmatrix} f + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1+\bar{\gamma}\theta_\gamma}{T_+ \mathbf{1}} \\ (\bar{\gamma} - 1)\Delta_\gamma \end{pmatrix} T_+ f \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1-\bar{\gamma}\theta_0}{|1-\bar{\gamma}\theta_0|} T_+ \mathbf{1} \cdot \Delta_0 \end{pmatrix} f + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1-|\gamma|^2}{1-\bar{\gamma}\theta_0} \cdot \frac{1}{T_+ \mathbf{1}} \\ (\bar{\gamma} - 1) \frac{(1-|\gamma|^2)^{1/2}}{|1-\bar{\gamma}\theta_0|} \Delta_0 \end{pmatrix} T_+ f \end{aligned}$$

for  $f \in L^2(\mu)$ .

- Since  $\frac{1}{T_+ \mathbf{1}} = 1 - \theta_0$ , the top floor  $g_1$  is in the Hardy space  $H^2$ .
- For  $\gamma = 0$  we get

$$\Phi_0^* f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (T_+ \mathbf{1})\Delta_0 \end{pmatrix} f + \begin{pmatrix} 1/T_+ \mathbf{1} \\ -\Delta_0 \end{pmatrix} T_+ f$$

# Idea of the proof

- Take the representation

$$\Phi_{\gamma}^* f(z) = A_{\gamma}(z)f(z) + B_{\gamma}(z) \int \frac{f(\xi) - f(z)}{1 - \bar{\xi}z} d\mu(\xi), \quad z \in \mathbb{T},$$

for  $f \in C^1$ .

# Idea of the proof

- Take the representation

$$\Phi_{\gamma}^* f(z) = A_{\gamma}(z)f(z) + B_{\gamma}(z) \int \frac{f(\xi) - f(z)}{1 - \textcolor{red}{r}\bar{\xi}z} d\mu(\xi), \quad z \in \mathbb{T},$$

for  $f \in C^1$ .

- Replace the kernel  $1/(1 - \bar{\xi}z)$  by  $1/(1 - \textcolor{red}{r}\bar{\xi}z)$ ;

# Idea of the proof

- Take the representation

$$A_\gamma(z)f(z) + B_\gamma(z) \int \frac{f(\xi) - f(z)}{1 - \textcolor{red}{r}\bar{\xi}z} d\mu(\xi), \quad z \in \mathbb{T},$$

for  $f \in C^1$ .

- Replace the kernel  $1/(1 - \bar{\xi}z)$  by  $1/(1 - \textcolor{red}{r}\bar{\xi}z)$ ;

# Idea of the proof

- Take the representation

$$A_\gamma(z)f(z) + B_\gamma(z) \int \frac{f(\xi) - f(z)}{1 - \textcolor{red}{r}\bar{\xi}z} d\mu(\xi), \quad z \in \mathbb{T},$$

for  $f \in C^1$ .

- Replace the kernel  $1/(1 - \bar{\xi}z)$  by  $1/(1 - \textcolor{red}{r}\bar{\xi}z)$ ;
- take w.o.t. limit of the right hand side as  $r \rightarrow 1^-$ .
  - Definitely we have uniform convergence to  $\Phi_\gamma^* f(z)$  as  $r \rightarrow 1^-$ .
  - On the other hand, splitting the integral into 2 we get

$$A_\gamma f + B_\gamma T_+ f - B_\gamma f T_+ \mathbf{1}$$

as the w.o.t.-limit in  $B(L^2(\mu), L^2)$ .



## Idea of the proof

- Take the representation

$$A_\gamma(z)f(z) + B_\gamma(z) \int \frac{f(\xi) - f(z)}{1 - \textcolor{red}{r}\bar{\xi}z} d\mu(\xi), \quad z \in \mathbb{T},$$

for  $f \in C^1$ .

- Replace the kernel  $1/(1 - \bar{\xi}z)$  by  $1/(1 - \textcolor{red}{r}\bar{\xi}z)$ ;
- take w.o.t. limit of the right hand side as  $r \rightarrow 1^-$ .
  - Definitely we have uniform convergence to  $\Phi_\gamma^* f(z)$  as  $r \rightarrow 1^-$ .
  - On the other hand, splitting the integral into 2 we get

$$A_\gamma f + B_\gamma T_+ f - B_\gamma f T_+ \mathbf{1}$$

as the w.o.t.-limit in  $B(L^2(\mu), L^2)$ .

- Substituting expressions for  $A_\gamma$  and  $B_\gamma$  we get the result.

## Recall: de Branges–Rovnyak transcription

- $\mathcal{K}_\theta$  in the de Branges–Rovnyak transcription is given by

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} g_+ \\ g_- \end{pmatrix} : g_+ \in H^2, g_- \in H_-^2, g_- - \bar{\theta}g_+ \in \Delta L^2 \right\}.$$

- Recall that in the Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş transcription  $\begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{K}_\theta$  iff

$$g_1 = g_+ \in H^2, \quad g_2 \in \text{clos } \Delta L^2, \quad g_- := \bar{\theta}g_1 + \Delta g_2 \in H_-^2;$$

the last inclusion means that  $\begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \end{pmatrix} \perp \begin{pmatrix} \theta \\ \Delta \end{pmatrix} H^2$ .

- $g_1 = g_+$  and  $g_-$  are exactly the same as in the de Branges–Rovnyak transcription.

# Representation in the de Branges–Rovnyak transcription

- We had

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 = g_+ &= (1 - |\gamma|^2)^{-1/2} (1 + \bar{\gamma} \theta_\gamma) \frac{T_+ f}{T_+ \mathbf{1}} = \frac{(1 - |\gamma|^2)^{1/2}}{1 - \bar{\gamma} \theta_0} \frac{T_+ f}{T_+ \mathbf{1}} \\ &= \frac{(1 - |\gamma|^2)^{1/2} (1 - \theta_0)}{1 - \bar{\gamma} \theta_0} T_+ f. \end{aligned}$$

# Representation in the de Branges–Rovnyak transcription

- We had

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 = g_+ &= (1 - |\gamma|^2)^{-1/2} (1 + \bar{\gamma} \theta_\gamma) \frac{T_+ f}{T_+ \mathbf{1}} = \frac{(1 - |\gamma|^2)^{1/2}}{1 - \bar{\gamma} \theta_0} \frac{T_+ f}{T_+ \mathbf{1}} \\ &= \frac{(1 - |\gamma|^2)^{1/2} (1 - \theta_0)}{1 - \bar{\gamma} \theta_0} T_+ f. \end{aligned}$$

- For  $g_- = g_-^\gamma$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_-^\gamma &= (1 - |\gamma|^2)^{-1/2} (\bar{\theta}_\gamma + \bar{\gamma}) \frac{T_- f}{T_- \mathbf{1}} = \frac{(1 - |\gamma|^2)^{1/2} \bar{\theta}_0}{1 - \gamma \bar{\theta}_0} \cdot \frac{T_- f}{T_- \mathbf{1}} \\ &= \frac{(1 - |\gamma|^2)^{1/2} (1 - \bar{\theta}_0)}{1 - \gamma \bar{\theta}_0} T_- f. \end{aligned}$$

# Representation in the de Branges–Rovnyak transcription

- We had

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 = g_+ &= (1 - |\gamma|^2)^{-1/2} (1 + \bar{\gamma} \theta_\gamma) \frac{T_+ f}{T_+ \mathbf{1}} = \frac{(1 - |\gamma|^2)^{1/2}}{1 - \bar{\gamma} \theta_0} \frac{T_+ f}{T_+ \mathbf{1}} \\ &= \frac{(1 - |\gamma|^2)^{1/2} (1 - \theta_0)}{1 - \bar{\gamma} \theta_0} T_+ f. \end{aligned}$$

- For  $g_- = g_-^\gamma$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_-^\gamma &= (1 - |\gamma|^2)^{-1/2} (\bar{\theta}_\gamma + \bar{\gamma}) \frac{T_- f}{T_- \mathbf{1}} = \frac{(1 - |\gamma|^2)^{1/2} \bar{\theta}_0}{1 - \gamma \bar{\theta}_0} \cdot \frac{T_- f}{T_- \mathbf{1}} \\ &= \frac{(1 - |\gamma|^2)^{1/2} (1 - \bar{\theta}_0)}{1 - \gamma \bar{\theta}_0} T_- f. \end{aligned}$$

## Clark operator $\Phi_\gamma$

- the non-tangential boundary values of the function

$$z \mapsto \frac{1 - \bar{\gamma}}{(1 - |\gamma|^2)^{1/2}} g_1(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

exist and coincide with  $f_s$   $\mu_s$ -a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$ .

# Clark operator $\Phi_\gamma$

- the non-tangential boundary values of the function

$$z \mapsto \frac{1 - \bar{\gamma}}{(1 - |\gamma|^2)^{1/2}} g_1(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

exist and coincide with  $f_s$   $\mu_s$ -a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$ .

Follows from representation

$$g_1 = \frac{(1 - |\gamma|^2)^{1/2}}{1 - \bar{\gamma}\theta_0} \frac{T_+ f}{T_+ \mathbf{1}}$$

and Poltoratskii's theorem that boundary values of  $Rf\mu(z)/R\mu(z)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  exist and equal  $f$   $\mu_s$ -a.e.; also uses  $\theta(z) = 1$   $\mu_s$ -a.e.

## Clark operator $\Phi_\gamma$

- the non-tangential boundary values of the function

$$z \mapsto \frac{1 - \bar{\gamma}}{(1 - |\gamma|^2)^{1/2}} g_1(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

exist and coincide with  $f_s$   $\mu_s$ -a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$ .

Proof uses Poltoratskii's theorem.



# Clark operator $\Phi_\gamma$

- the non-tangential boundary values of the function

$$z \mapsto \frac{1 - \bar{\gamma}}{(1 - |\gamma|^2)^{1/2}} g_1(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

exist and coincide with  $f_s$   $\mu_s$ -a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$ .

Proof uses Poltoratskii's theorem.

- For the “absolutely continuous” part  $f_a$  of  $f$

$$(1 - |\gamma|^2)^{1/2} w f_a = \frac{1 - \bar{\gamma}\theta_0}{1 - \theta_0} g_1 + \frac{1 - \gamma\bar{\theta}_0}{1 - \bar{\theta}_0} g_-$$

a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$ ; here, recall,  $g_- := g_1 \bar{\theta}_\gamma + \Delta_\gamma g_2 \in H_-^2$ .

# Clark operator $\Phi_\gamma$

- the non-tangential boundary values of the function

$$z \mapsto \frac{1 - \bar{\gamma}}{(1 - |\gamma|^2)^{1/2}} g_1(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

exist and coincide with  $f_s$   $\mu_s$ -a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$ .

Proof uses Poltoratskii's theorem.

- For the “absolutely continuous” part  $f_a$  of  $f$

$$(1 - |\gamma|^2)^{1/2} w f_a = \frac{1 - \bar{\gamma}\theta_0}{1 - \theta_0} g_1 + \frac{1 - \gamma\bar{\theta}_0}{1 - \bar{\theta}_0} g_-$$

a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$ ; here, recall,  $g_- := g_1 \bar{\theta}_\gamma + \Delta_\gamma g_2 \in H_-^2$ .

Proof uses representation for  $g_1$  and  $g_-$  and standard jump formulas for Cauchy integrals.

# Bounds on the normalized Cauchy transform

- A. Poltoratskii, [7]: the normalized Cauchy transform  $f \mapsto \frac{T_+ f}{T_+ \mathbf{1}}$  acts  $L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2$ .
- Equivalently:  $T_+ : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2(v)$ ,  $v = 1/|T_+ \mathbf{1}|^2 = |1 - \theta_0|^2$ .  
(because  $1/T_+ \mathbf{1} = 1 - \theta_0$ ).
- Follows from our result:  $T_+ : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2(v_0)$ ,

$$v_0 = |B_0|^2 = |1 - \theta_0|^2 + \Delta_0^2 = 2 \operatorname{Re}(1 - \theta_0).$$

$v_0$  can be much bigger than  $v$ :  $v \asymp v_0^2$  when  $\theta_0(z) \rightarrow 1$  non-tangentially.

# Exterior normalized Cauchy transform

- “Exterior” normalized Cauchy transform:  $f \mapsto \frac{T_- f}{T_- \mathbf{1}}$ .

# Exterior normalized Cauchy transform

- “Exterior” normalized Cauchy transform:  $f \mapsto \frac{T_- f}{T_- \mathbf{1}}$ .
- Generally does not act  $L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2$ .

# Exterior normalized Cauchy transform

- “Exterior” normalized Cauchy transform:  $f \mapsto \frac{T_- f}{T_- \mathbf{1}}$ .
- Generally does not act  $L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2$ .  
Indeed,  $1/T_- \mathbf{1} = \bar{\theta}_0/(\bar{\theta}_0 - 1)$ , so

$$\frac{T_- f}{T_- \mathbf{1}} = \frac{\bar{\theta}_0 - 1}{\bar{\theta}_0} T_- f.$$

If  $\theta_0$  is small near  $i$ , so  $1/\theta_0 \notin L^2$  there, and  $\mu(E) > 0$  in a small neighborhood  $E \ni 1$ , then  $|T_- \mathbf{1}_E| \geq \delta > 0$  near  $i$ , so  $\frac{T_- f}{T_- \mathbf{1}} \notin L^2$ .

# Exterior normalized Cauchy transform

- “Exterior” normalized Cauchy transform:  $f \mapsto \frac{T_- f}{T_- \mathbf{1}}$ .
- Generally does not act  $L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2$ .  
 Indeed,  $1/T_- \mathbf{1} = \bar{\theta}_0/(\bar{\theta}_0 - 1)$ , so

$$\frac{T_- f}{T_- \mathbf{1}} = \frac{\bar{\theta}_0 - 1}{\bar{\theta}_0} T_- f.$$

If  $\theta_0$  is small near  $i$ , so  $1/\theta_0 \notin L^2$  there, and  $\mu(E) > 0$  in a small neighborhood  $E \ni 1$ , then  $|T_- \mathbf{1}_E| \geq \delta > 0$  near  $i$ , so  $\frac{T_- f}{T_- \mathbf{1}} \notin L^2$ .

- The operator

$$f \mapsto \bar{\theta}_0 \frac{T_- f}{T_- \mathbf{1}}$$

acts  $L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2$ .

Is it correct “exterior” normalized Cauchy transform?

# Comparison with Clark model

- D. Clark started with model operator  $K_\theta$ , ( $\theta$  inner  $\iff \mu$  is purely singular) and considered it all unitary rank one perturbations.
- In our model it corresponds considering operator  $U_\gamma = U_1 + (\gamma - 1)bb_1^*$ ,  $\gamma = -\theta(0)$ , then all unitary rank one perturbations are exactly the operators  $U_\alpha$ ,  $|\alpha| = 1$ .
- Clark measures  $\tilde{\mu}_\alpha$  are the spectral measures of the operators  $U_\alpha$ .
- If  $\theta(0) = 0$  then  $\tilde{\mu}_\alpha = \mu_\alpha$  and the Clark operators coincide with ours.
- If  $\theta(0) \neq 0$   $\tilde{\mu}_\alpha$  is a multiple  $\mu_\alpha$ , and the operators differ by a factor  $c(\gamma)$ .
- In Clark model  $\tilde{\mu}_\alpha$  is not a probability measure,  $|c(\gamma)|$  compensate for that.



# Comparison with Sarason's model

- D. Sarason in [8] presented a unitary operator between  $H^2(\mu) = \overline{\text{span}}\{z^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$  and the de Branges space  $\mathcal{H}(\theta)$ ; like Clark, he started with a model operator in  $\mathcal{K}_\theta$
- The space  $\mathcal{H}(\theta) \subset H^2$  is defined as a range  $(I - T_\theta T_{\theta^*})^{1/2} H^2$  endowed with the *range norm* (the minimal norm of the preimage);  $T_\varphi : H^2 \rightarrow H^2$  is a Toeplitz operator,  $T_\varphi f = P_{H^2}(\varphi f)$ .
- If  $\theta$  is an extreme point of the unit ball in  $H^\infty$   
$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} \ln(1 - |\theta|^2) |dz| = -\infty \iff \int_{\mathbb{T}} \ln w |dz| = -\infty, w \text{ density of } \mu \right)$$
then  $\mathcal{H}(\theta)$  is canonically isomorphic to the model space  $\mathcal{K}_\theta$  in the de Branges–Rovnyak transcription, see [6].
- His measure  $\mu$  coincides with the Clark measure  $\tilde{\mu}_\alpha$ ,

$$\alpha = \frac{1 + \gamma}{1 + \bar{\gamma}};$$

the formulas are the same as Clark's.

# Bibliography I

- [1] D. N. Clark, *One dimensional perturbations of restricted shifts*, J. Anal. Math., **25** (1972), 169–191.
- [2] C. Liaw and S. Treil, *Rank one perturbations and singular integral operators*, J. Funct. Anal., **257** (2009), no. 6, 1947–1975.
- [3] C. Liaw and S. Treil, *Regularizations of general singular integral operators*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam., **29** (2013), no. 1, 53–74.
- [4] N. Nikolski and V. Vasyunin, *Elements of spectral theory in terms of the free function model. I. Basic constructions*, Holomorphic spaces (Berkeley, CA, 1995), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 33, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998, pp. 211–302.

# Bibliography II

- [5] N. Nikolskiĭ and V. Vasyunin, *Notes on two function models*, The Bieberbach conjecture (West Lafayette, Ind., 1985), Math. Surveys Monogr., vol. 21, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986, pp. 113–141.
- [6] N. Nikolskiĭ and V. Vasyunin, *A unified approach to function models, and the transcription problem*, The Gohberg anniversary collection, Vol. II (Calgary, AB, 1988), Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 41, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1989, pp. 405–434.
- [7] A. G. Poltoratskii, *Boundary behavior of pseudocontinuable functions*, Algebra i Analiz **5** (1993), no. 2, 189–210, engl. translation in *St. Petersburg Math. J.*, 5(2): 389–406, 1994.

## Bibliography III

- [8] D. Sarason, *Sub-Hardy Hilbert spaces in the unit disk*, University of Arkansas Lecture Notes in the Mathematical Sciences, 10, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1994, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [9] B. Sz.-Nagy, C. Foiaş, H. Bercovici, and L. Kérchy, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*, second ed., Universitext, Springer, New York, 2010. Original edition: B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Analyse harmonique des opérateurs de l'espace de Hilbert*, Masson et Cie, Paris, 1967. Translated from the French and revised, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1970.