Ruelle zeta function and prime geodesic theorem for hyperbolic manifolds with cusps

Jinsung Park

Abstract. For a *d*-dimensional real hyperbolic manifold with cusps, we obtain more refined error terms in the prime geodesic theorem (PGT) using the Ruelle zeta function instead of the Selberg zeta function. To do this, we prove that the Ruelle zeta function over this type manifold is a meromorphic function of order *d* over \mathbb{C} .

Keywords. Prime geodesic theorem, Ruelle zeta function.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11M36, 11F72, 58J50.

1 Introduction

Let X_{Γ} be a *d*-dimensional locally symmetric space given by $X_{\Gamma} = \Gamma \setminus G/K$ where *G* is a semi-simple Lie group of rank 1 and *K* is a maximal compact subgroup of *G*, and Γ is a discrete torsion free subgroup of *G*. We also assume that the Riemannian metric over X_{Γ} induced from the Killing form is normalized so that the sectional curvature of X_{Γ} is -1. Now let us recall that a prime geodesic C_{γ} over X_{Γ} corresponds to a conjugacy class of a primitive hyperbolic element $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Let $\pi_{\Gamma}(x)$ denote a yes function counting the prime geodesic C_{γ} of length $l(C_{\gamma})$ whose norm $N(\gamma) = e^{l(C_{\gamma})}$ is not larger than *x*. Then the prime geodesic theorem (PGT) states

$$\pi_{\Gamma}(x) \sim \frac{x^{d-1}}{(d-1)\log x} \tag{1.1}$$

where $f(x) \sim g(x)$ means $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 1$. This was proved by Gangolli [9] and DeGeorge [5] independently when X_{Γ} is compact, and by Gangolli–Warner [10] when X_{Γ} has a finite volume.

In [13], [14], Hejhal extensively studied the Selberg zeta function over a hyperbolic Riemann surface X_{Γ} , that is, when Γ is a co-finite discrete subgroup of G = PSL(2, \mathbb{R}). Applying these results, Hejhal proved PGT with error terms (see also [15], [19], [24]),

$$\pi_{\Gamma}(x) = \sum_{\frac{3}{4} < s_n \le 1} \operatorname{li}(x^{s_n}) + \operatorname{O}(x^{\frac{3}{4}}(\log x)^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$
(1.2)

where $\lambda_n = s_n(1 - s_n)$ is a small eigenvalue in $[0, \frac{3}{16}]$ of the Laplacian Δ_0 acting on $L^2(X_{\Gamma})$, and $\operatorname{li}(x) := \int_2^x \frac{1}{\log t} dt$. Recalling the leading term of $\operatorname{li}(x)$ is $\frac{x}{\log x}$ as $x \to \infty$, it is easy to see that PGT (1.2) is a refinement of (1.1) for a special case of co-finite $\Gamma \subset PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Since the size of the error term in (1.2) is given by the small eigenvalues of Δ_0 , and the estimate of the small eigenvalue for a specific arithmetic case is one of the main problems in analytic number theory, there have been many works, for instance the work of Iwaniec [17] and Luo–Sarnak [18], to obtain the optimal size of the error term for such a specific arithmetic discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Comparing PGT in (1.1) with the one in (1.2), one can try to obtain the corresponding error terms in (1.1) as in (1.2) for a *d*-dimensional locally symmetric space X_{Γ} of rank 1. A plausible approach for this is to use the Selberg zeta function $Z_{\Gamma}(s)$ following Hejhal [13, 14] or Randol [24] as they did for hyperbolic Riemann surfaces, then one may believe that the poles of $\frac{d}{ds} \log Z_{\Gamma}(s)$ over the strip $\frac{1}{2}(d-1) < \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq$ (d-1) would provide us with error terms for PGT as in (1.2). However, this approach using the Selberg zeta function $Z_{\Gamma}(s)$ provide us with only the error terms corresponding to the poles in the strip $(d-2) < \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq (d-1)$, hence the Selberg zeta function $Z_{\Gamma}(s)$ is not sufficient to obtain the expected error terms if d > 3. In the Section 5 of [10], this is explained in the view point of the Tauberian theorem of Wiener–Ikehara. On the other hand, reflecting on this section of [10], one can see that a meromorphic extension of the Ruelle zeta function

$$R_{\Gamma}(s) := \prod_{\gamma \in \mathrm{P}\Gamma_{\mathrm{h}}} (1 - e^{-sl(C_{\gamma})})^{-1} \quad \text{for } \mathrm{Re}(s) > (d-1)$$

can be used to obtain such error terms in (1.1). Here $P\Gamma_h$ denotes the set of conjugacy classes of a primitive hyperbolic element γ in Γ . This is also pointed out in the last part of the Section 4 of [8]. We also refer to the work of Parry–Pollicott [22] where they used the Ruelle zeta function for an axiom A flow to derive PGT.

The main purpose of this paper is to refine the result of Gangolli–Warner [10] following a suggestion of Fried [8] for real hyperbolic manifold X_{Γ} with cusps. Here a real hyperbolic manifold X_{Γ} with cusps is given as follows: Let us put $\Gamma \subset G =$ $SO_0(d, 1)$ be a discrete co-finite torsion free subgroup of G. Now let us denote by \mathcal{P}_{Γ} the set of Γ -conjugacy classes of Γ -cuspidal parabolic subgroups in G. We assume that the discrete subgroup Γ satisfies the condition

$$\Gamma_P := \Gamma \cap P = \Gamma \cap N(P) \text{ for } P \in \mathscr{P}_{\Gamma}$$

where N(P) denotes the nilpotent part of P. Now the resulting manifold $X_{\Gamma} = \Gamma \setminus G/K$ is a d-dimensional real hyperbolic manifold with cusps. In our approach, a main ingredient to obtain the error terms of PGT over X_{Γ} is the Ruelle zeta function $R_{\Gamma}(s)$. Recently in [11], it is shown that $R_{\Gamma}(s)$ can be extended as a meromorphic function over \mathbb{C} with precise description of the locations of zeros and poles. For our purpose concerning PGT, we need the following basic fact of $R_{\Gamma}(s)$.

Theorem 1.1. For a *d*-dimensional real hyperbolic manifold X_{Γ} with cusps, a meromorphic extension over \mathbb{C} of the Ruelle zeta function $R_{\Gamma}(s)$ has an expression

$$R_{\Gamma}(s) = \frac{P_{\Gamma}(s)}{Q_{\Gamma}(s)}$$

where $P_{\Gamma}(s)$, $Q_{\Gamma}(s)$ are entire functions of order d over \mathbb{C} .

A proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 2. Actually we will prove Theorem 1.1 for the Ruelle zeta function twisted by a special unitary representation χ of Γ . This can be used for PGT in a fixed homology class, which would be a refinement of [1], [7], [23] for real hyperbolic manifolds with cusps. A detail of this application will be given elsewhere.

To state the main result about PGT, we introduce some notations. Let us consider an Iwasawa decomposition G = NAK with a decomposition of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$. The subgroup $M = \operatorname{SO}(d-1)$ is defined to be a maximal subgroup of $K = \operatorname{SO}(d)$ which commutes A. Let us denote by σ_k the fundamental representation of M acting on $\wedge^k \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. When d = 2n + 1, j = n, σ_n denotes a direct sum of half spin representations $\sigma_+ \oplus \sigma_-$. We denote by Δ_k the Laplacian acting on the space of k-forms over X_{Γ} , which decomposes into the subspaces where the principal series representation $\pi_{\sigma_j,\lambda}$ acts for j = (k - 1), k. By Theorem 1.1 and a modification of the proof in [13], [14], we prove

Theorem 1.2. For a *d*-dimensional real hyperbolic manifold X_{Γ} with cusps, we have PGT with error terms

$$\pi_{\Gamma}(x) = \sum_{\frac{3}{2}d_0 < s_n(k) \le 2d_0} (-1)^k \operatorname{li}(x^{s_n(k)}) + \operatorname{O}(x^{\frac{3}{2}d_0}(\log x)^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$
(1.3)

where $d_0 = \frac{d-1}{2}$ and $(s_n(k) - k)(2d_0 - k - s_n(k))$ is a small eigenvalue in $[0, \frac{3}{4}d_0^2]$ of Δ_k on $\pi_{\sigma_k,\lambda_n(k)}$ with $s_n(k) = d_0 + i\lambda_n(k)$ or $s_n(k) = d_0 - i\lambda_n(k)$ in $(\frac{3}{2}d_0, 2d_0]$.

A proof of Theorem 1.2 is given in Section 3. Theorem 1.2 is a refinement of the corresponding result of Gangolli–Warner [10] with error terms for real hyperbolic manifolds with cusps. According to the last part of the Section 4 of [8], it seems that Fried also obtained the corresponding result to Theorem 1.2 for compact case in his unpublished note. A new feature in Theorem 1.2 is a signature $(-1)^k$ of the terms $li(x^{s_n(k)})$ depending on k. By Theorem 4.6 in [11] and (2.1), for a small eigenvalue corresponding to $s_n(k) \in (2d_0 - 1, 2d_0]$, we have k = 0, hence when d = 2 the signature $(-1)^k$ is always $(-1)^0 = 1$ as we expect from (1.2).

Remark 1.3. In general, some parts of cuspidal and residual spectrum can appear as small eigenvalues of Δ_k (see Chapter 8 of [26] or [27]). We also refer to Section 6 of [3] for an example with small eigenvalues of Δ_0 . It is interesting to generalize this result to the case of Δ_k for $k \ge 1$ since this would imply the leading terms of (1.3) are effective.

Remark 1.4. Recently a related work to Theorem 1.2 was given in [21] where the author derived Ω_{\pm} -estimate for error terms of PGT using the Selberg zeta function under some condition for the scattering determinant. According to the aforementioned remark, this approach seems to be problematic to obtain Ω_{\pm} -estimate for error terms in principle.

2 Order of the Ruelle zeta function

2.1 Twisted Ruelle zeta function

For a special unitary representation (χ, V_{χ}) of $\Gamma = \pi_1(X_{\Gamma})$, we define a twisted Ruelle zeta function

$$R_{\chi}(s) := \prod_{\gamma \in \mathrm{P}\Gamma_{\mathrm{h}}} \det(\mathrm{Id} - \chi(\gamma)e^{-sl(C_{\gamma})})^{-1} \quad \text{for } \mathrm{Re}(s) > (d-1).$$

Here the notation "det" denotes the determinant taken over V_{χ} . The Selberg zeta function attached to σ_k is defined by

$$Z_{\chi}(\sigma_k, s) := \exp\left(-\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_h} \operatorname{tr} \chi(\gamma) j(\gamma)^{-1} D(\gamma)^{-1} \overline{\operatorname{tr} \sigma_k(m_{\gamma})} e^{-(s - \frac{d-1}{2})l(C_{\gamma})}\right)$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > (d-1)$. Here Γ_h denotes the set of the Γ -conjugacy classes of the hyperbolic elements in Γ , $j(\gamma)$ denotes the positive integer such that $\gamma = \gamma_0^{j(\gamma)}$ with a primitive $\gamma_0 \in \operatorname{P}\Gamma_h$. We may assume that a hyperbolic element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ has the form $a_{\gamma}m_{\gamma} \in A^+M$ and

$$D(\gamma) = D(a_{\gamma}m_{\gamma}) = a_{\gamma}^{\rho} |\det(\operatorname{Ad}(a_{\gamma}m_{\gamma})^{-1} - \operatorname{Id}|_{\mathfrak{n}})|$$

where $a_{\gamma}^{\rho} = \exp(d_0 t_{\gamma} H)$ if $a_{\gamma} = \exp(t_{\gamma} H)$ for a normalized $H \in \mathfrak{a}$.

For $\operatorname{Re}(s) > (d-1)$, the following relationship of $R_{\chi}(s)$ between $Z_{\chi}(\sigma_k, s)$'s holds,

$$R_{\chi}(s) = \prod_{k=0}^{d-1} Z_{\chi}(\sigma_k, s+k)^{(-1)^{k+1}}$$
(2.1)

where if d = 2n + 1, $Z_{\chi}(\sigma_n, s + n)$ denotes $Z_{\chi}(\sigma_n^+, s + n)Z_{\chi}(\sigma_n^-, s + n)$ with the half spinor representations σ_n^{\pm} of M = SO(2n). In [11], it is shown that $Z_{\chi}(\sigma_k, s)$ has a meromorphic extension over \mathbb{C} with a precise description of locations of zeros and poles. Hence the Ruelle zeta function $R_{\chi}(s)$ has a meromorphic extension over \mathbb{C} by (2.1).

2.2 Divisors of $Z_{\chi}(\sigma_k, s)$

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, it is sufficient to prove the same for $Z_{\chi}(\sigma_k, s)$ by (2.1). For $Z_{\chi}(\sigma_k, s)$, from Theorem 4.6 in [11], we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. For $0 \le k \le [d_0]$, there exist two entire functions $F_k(s)$, $G_k(s)$ of order d respectively such that $Z_{\chi}(\sigma_k, s)F_k(s)G_k(s)^{-1}$ is an entire function over \mathbb{C} with zeros at

- (1) $s_j = d_0 \pm i \lambda_j$ of order m_j where $\lambda_j^2 + (d_0 k)^2$ is an eigenvalue with multiplicity m_j of Δ_k on π_{σ_k,λ_j} and
- (2) $s_{\ell} = d_0 + q_{\ell}$ of order $b_{\ell} {\binom{d-1}{k}}$ where det $C_{\chi}^k(\sigma_k, s)$ has a pole at $s = q_{\ell}$ of order b_{ℓ} with $\operatorname{Re}(q_{\ell}) < 0$.

Here $C_{\chi}^{k}(\sigma_{k}, s)$ denotes τ_{k} -isotypic component of the scattering operator $C_{\chi}(\sigma_{k}, s)$ for the fundamental representation τ_{k} of K acting on $\wedge^{k} \mathbb{R}^{d} \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Let S_1 , S_2 denote respectively the sets consisting of the zeros of

$$Z_{\chi}(\sigma_k, s)F_k(s)G_k(s)^{-1}$$

appearing in (1), (2) of Proposition 2.1. By the result in [6], we have

$$\sum_{s_j \in S_1} |s_j|^{-d-\epsilon} < \infty$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. Hence, by Theorem 2.6.5 in [2], the following canonical product over S_1 is an entire function of order d over \mathbb{C} ,

$$P_{S_1}(s) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} E\left(\frac{s}{s_j}, d\right)$$

where

$$E(u,d) = (1-u)\exp\left(u + \frac{u^2}{2} + \dots + \frac{u^d}{d}\right).$$

The set S_2 is a subset of the set of the zeros of an entire function B(s) (see (2.2)) of order *d* by Proposition 2.2. Noting that det $C_{\chi}^k(\sigma_k, s)$ has finitely many poles over the half plane $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$, by the Hadamard's factorization theorem for B(s), the following canonical product over S_2 is also an entire function of order *d* over \mathbb{C} ,

$$P_{S_2}(s) = \prod_{\ell=1}^{\infty} E\left(\frac{s}{s_\ell}, d\right).$$

Therefore, we see that $Z_{\chi}(\sigma_k, s)F_k(s)G_k(s)^{-1}P_{S_1}(s)^{-1}P_{S_2}(s)^{-1}$ is an entire function and has no zeros over \mathbb{C} . Hence, by Hadamard's factorization theorem there exists a polynomial g(s) such that

$$Z_{\chi}(\sigma_k, s)F_k(s)G_k(s)^{-1}P_{S_1}(s)^{-1}P_{S_2}(s)^{-1} \equiv \exp(g(s)) \quad \text{for } s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

On the other hand, from the proof of Theorem 4.6 in [11], we know that

$$\frac{d}{ds}\log(Z_{\chi}(\sigma_k,s)F_k(s)G_k(s)^{-1})\to 0 \quad \text{as } s\to\infty.$$

Hence the order of g(s) should be d. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2.3 Order of det $C_{\chi}^{k}(\sigma_{k}, s)$

In this subsection, we present details of a proof of

Proposition 2.2. A meromorphic extension over \mathbb{C} of det $C_{\chi}^k(\sigma_k, s)$ defined a priori for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > (d-1)$ has an expression

$$\det C^k_{\chi}(\sigma_k, s) = \frac{A(s)}{B(s)}$$
(2.2)

where A(s), B(s) are entire functions of order d over \mathbb{C} .

In fact, the following proof is a simple modification of the proof of Selberg in [25] to a higher dimensional case where we employ the Colin de Verdiere's method for the analytic continuation of the resolvent of the pseudo-Laplacian in [4].

We decompose X_{Γ} as

$$X_{\Gamma} = X_0 \cup C_1 \cup \cdots \cup C_p$$

where X_0 is a compact manifold with boundaries T^{d-1} 's and $C_j \cong [r_j, \infty) \times T^{d-1}$. The metric dg^2 over C_j induced from the normalized Cartan–Killing form has the form,

$$dg^2|_{C_i} = dr^2 + e^{-2r}dw^2$$

where dw^2 is the metric over the flat torus T^{d-1} induced from the Cartan–Killing form.

Let us recall that there exists a Γ -cuspidal parabolic subgroup P_j which fixes the infinity of C_j . Let V_j be a maximal subspace of V_{χ} where $\chi|_{\Gamma \cap P_j}$ acts trivially. For simplicity, we assume that dim $V_j = 1$ for the following proof. When χ is trivial, this condition is satisfied. The general case can be proved by a minor modification.

Let us choose $\phi(r) \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\phi(r) = 0$ for $r \leq r_0$ and $\phi(r) = 1$ for $r \geq r_0 + 1$ where $r_0 = \max\{r_1, \ldots, r_p\}$. For $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p\}$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we put

$$\Theta_j(x,s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in X_{\Gamma} - C_j, \\ \phi(r)e^{(d_0+s)r}v & \text{if } x = (r,y) \in C_j \end{cases}$$

for a fixed $v \in V_j \otimes \mathcal{H}(\sigma_k, \tau_k)$ where $\mathcal{H}(\sigma_k, \tau_k)$ denotes the τ_k -isotypic component of $\mathcal{H}(\pi(\sigma_j, \lambda_j))$ for a representation $\pi(\sigma_j, \lambda_j)$ of P_j . We refer to Section 2.6 of [11] for more detail. Let us put

$$\Phi_j(x,s) := (\Delta_k - (s-k)(2d_0 - k - s))\Theta_j(x,s)$$

where Δ_k denotes the twisted Laplacian acting on $\Omega^k(X_{\Gamma}, V_{\chi})$. Note that $\Phi_j \in \Omega^k(X_{\Gamma}, V_{\chi})$ has a compact support, and the generalized eigensection $E_j(x, s)$ is given by

$$E_j(x,s) = \Theta_j(x,s) - (\overline{\Delta}_k - (s-k)(2d_0 - k - s))^{-1} \Phi_j(x,s)$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > d_0$. Here $\overline{\Delta}_k$ denotes the self adjoint extension of Δ_k acting on $\Omega_0^k(X_{\Gamma}, V_{\chi})$ to its L^2 -closure denoted by $\operatorname{H} := L^2(X_{\Gamma}, \wedge^k(T^*X_{\Gamma}) \otimes V_{\chi})$. (Although H depends on k, χ , we omit these indices for simplicity.)

For $a > r_0$, let

$$\mathbf{H}_a := \{ \Phi \in H^1(X_{\Gamma}, \wedge^k(T^*X_{\Gamma}) \otimes V_{\chi}) \mid \Phi_j^0|_{(a,\infty)} \equiv 0, \ j = 1, \dots, p \}$$

where $\Phi_j^0(r) := \int_{T^{d-1}} \Phi(r, y) \, dy$ for $(r, y) \in (r_j, \infty) \times T^{d-1}$. Let \mathcal{H}_a be the L^2 closure of H_a in H. Let us recall that Δ_k has an form

$$\Delta_k = -\mathbf{D}^2 + \lambda_k$$

for a self-adjoint elliptic differential operator D and a constant λ_k by Proposition 1.1 in [20]. Now let us consider the quadratic form Q_a on H_a given by

$$Q_a(\Phi) = \|\mathsf{D}\Phi\|^2$$
, for $\Phi \in \mathsf{H}_a$

This quadratic form Q_a is closed and therefore it is represented by a self-adjoint operator D_a^2 on \mathcal{H}_a , and we put $\widetilde{\Delta}_k = -D_a^2 + \lambda_k$ on \mathcal{H}_a . Then $\widetilde{\Delta}_k$ has a pure point spectrum and the resolvent $R_k(s) = (\widetilde{\Delta}_k - (s - k)(2d_0 - k - s))^{-1}$ is a compact operator on \mathcal{H}_a . For $a > r_0 + 2$, observing $\Phi_j \in H_a$, we put

$$F_j(x,s) := \Theta_j(x,s) - R_k(s)\Phi_j(x,s).$$
(2.3)

The zeroth Fourier coefficient $F_{ij}^0(x,s) := F_i^0(x,s)|_{C_j}$ has the form

$$F_{ij}^{0}(x,s) = \begin{cases} \delta_{ij} \ e^{(d_0+s)r} \ v & \text{if } r > a, \\ e^{(d_0+s)r} A_{ij}(s)v + e^{(d_0-s)r} B_{ij}(s)v & \text{if } a_j \le r \le a. \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

We put $C_j^0 \cong [a, \infty) \times T^{d-1}$ to be the subset of C_j and $X_0^0 = X_{\Gamma} - \bigcup_{j=1}^p C_j^0$. We consider a Neumann Laplacian Δ_k^n , which is the self-adjoint extension of Δ_k acting on $C_c^{\infty}(X_0^0) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^p C_c^{\infty}(C_j^0)$ with the Neumann boundary conditions. We repeat the above construction of $\widetilde{\Delta}_k$ for Δ_k^n and denote by $\widetilde{\Delta}_k^n$ the resulting operator. Then $\widetilde{\Delta}_k^n$ satisfies the usual Weyl law because the restrictions of $\widetilde{\Delta}_k^n$ over each components

satisfy this, for instance, over C_j^0 it follows from [6]. By the min-max principle, the *j*-th eigenvalue of $\widetilde{\Delta}_k^n$ is not larger than the *j*-th eigenvalue of $\widetilde{\Delta}_k$. Therefore, we can see

$$\#\{\mu_n \in \widetilde{S}_k \mid 0 < \mu_n \le \lambda\} \le C\lambda^{\frac{d}{2}}$$
(2.5)

where $\widetilde{S}_k = \{\mu_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ denotes the spectrum of $\widetilde{\Delta}_k$. Note that there is no zero eigenvalue of $\widetilde{\Delta}_k$ since the corresponding harmonic form can not belong to \mathcal{H}_a . By (2.5),

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n^{-(\frac{d}{2}+\epsilon)} < \infty$$

for a $\epsilon > 0$. We put

$$\widehat{S}_k := \{\lambda_\ell, | \lambda_\ell \text{ is a root of } \mu_n = (s-k)(2d_0 - k - s) \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

As before, by Theorem 2.6.5 in [2], the canonical product over the set \hat{S}_k ,

$$P_{\widehat{S}_k}(s) = \prod_{\ell=1}^{\infty} E\left(\frac{s}{\lambda_\ell}, d\right)$$

is an entire function of order d over \mathbb{C} . Putting $P(s) := (s - d_0)P_{\widehat{S}_k}(s)$ (we omit the index k of P(s) for simplicity), it is easy to see that $P(s)R_k(s)\Phi_j(x,s)$ is entire. Moreover, from (2.3) and (2.4), it follows that $P(s)A_{ij}(s)$, $P(s)B_{ij}(s)$ are also entire functions over \mathbb{C} .

Recall that $\widetilde{\Delta}_k \Phi = \Delta_k \Phi$ for $\Phi \in H_a \subset H$. Then it is easy to see that for $\Psi \in H$,

$$\langle R_k(s)\Phi_j(x,s),\Psi(x)\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mu_n(\mu_n - (s-k)(2d_0 - k - s)))^{-1} \langle \Delta_k \Phi_j, \Psi_n \rangle \cdot \langle \Psi_n, \Psi \rangle \quad (2.6)$$

where Ψ_n denote the eigenfunction of $\widetilde{\Delta}_k$ corresponding to μ_n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We put

$$E_n(s) := \prod_{(\lambda_\ell - k)(2d_0 - k - \lambda_\ell) \neq \mu_n} E\left(\frac{s}{\lambda_\ell}, d\right).$$

By Theorem 1.11 in [12], there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|E_n(s)| \le C_1 \exp(C_2|s|^d \log^+ |s|)$$
(2.7)

where $\log^+ x = \log x$ for $x \ge 1$ and $\log^+ x = 0$ for $x \le 1$. By (2.6) and (2.7), there exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for $\Psi \in H$,

$$|P(s)| \cdot |\langle R_k(s)\Phi_j(x,s), \Psi(x)\rangle| \le C_1 \exp(C_2|s|^d \log^+ |s|) \|\Psi\|$$

In particular, this implies that for Ψ with support in $(a_j, a) \times T^{d-1} \subset C_j$,

$$|P(s)| \cdot |\langle F_j(x,s), \Psi(x)\rangle| \le C_1 \exp(C_2|s|^d \log^+ |s|) ||\Psi||.$$

Choosing $\Psi(x) = e^{(d_0 + \bar{s})r} \frac{d}{dr} (e^{-2\bar{s}r} g(r))v$ for $g(r) \in C_0^{\infty}((a_j, a))$, we conclude

$$|P(s)A_{ij}(s)| \le C_3 \exp(C_2|s|^d \log^+ |s|)$$
(2.8)

for a constant $C_3 > 0$ and in a similar way,

$$|P(s)B_{ij}(s)| \le C_3 \exp(C_2|s|^d \log^+ |s|).$$
(2.9)

Let $\psi_i(x)$ be the characteristic function of $[a, \infty) \times T^{d-1}$ and set

$$G_i(x,s) := F_i(x,s) + \sum_{j=1}^p \psi_j(x) (e^{(d_0+s)r_j} A_{ij}(s)v + e^{(d_0-s)r_j} B_{ij}(s)v - \delta_{ij} e^{(d_0+s)r_j}v)$$

where r_j denotes the *r*-coordinate over C_j . For $\mathcal{A}(s) = (A_{ij}(s)), \mathcal{B}(s) = (B_{ij}(s))$, and $p \times p$ matrices $\mathcal{E}(x, s), \mathcal{G}(x, s)$ whose *j*-th rows are given by $E_j(x, s), G_j(x, s)$ respectively, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(x,s) = \mathcal{A}(s)^{-1} \mathcal{G}(x,s), \quad C^k_{\chi}(\sigma_k,s) = \mathcal{A}(s)^{-1} \mathcal{B}(s).$$

Therefore,

$$\det C_{\chi}^{k}(\sigma_{k}, s) = \frac{\det \mathcal{B}(s)}{\det \mathcal{A}(s)} = \frac{P(s)^{p} \det \mathcal{B}(s)}{P(s)^{p} \det \mathcal{A}(s)}$$

Putting $A(s) = P(s)^p \det A(s)$, $B(s) = P(s)^p \det B(s)$, by (2.8), (2.9), these are entire functions of order d over \mathbb{C} . This completes the proof of Proposition 2.2.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

3.1 Counting function $\psi_n(x)$

Let us introduce

$$\psi_0(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_h, N(\gamma) \le x} \Lambda(\gamma)$$

where $\Lambda(\gamma) = l(C_{\gamma_0})$ with $\gamma = \gamma_0^n$ for $\gamma_0 \in P\Gamma_h$, and

$$\psi_n(x) = \int_0^x \psi_{n-1}(t) dt$$
 for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Using Theorem A in [16], we can derive

$$\psi_n(x) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_h, N(\gamma) \le x} \Lambda(\gamma) (x - N(\gamma))^n.$$
(3.1)

Now we relate $\psi_n(x)$ to the Ruelle zeta function $R_{\Gamma}(s)$ as follows. First of all, we have

$$\frac{d}{ds}\log R_{\Gamma}(s) = -\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_h} \Lambda(\gamma) N(\gamma)^{-s} \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Re}(s) > (d-1).$$
(3.2)

Now, using (3.1), (3.2), and Theorem B in [16], for $n \ge 1$ we obtain

$$\psi_n(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\text{Re}(s)=c} \frac{x^{s+n}}{s(s+1)(s+2)\cdots(s+n)} \frac{d}{ds} \log R_{\Gamma}(s) \, ds \tag{3.3}$$

where c > (d - 1).

3.2 Asymptotics of $\psi_{2d_0}(x)$

For $T \gg 0$, let R(T) be a closed domain given by $R(T) = \{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid |s| \le T, \operatorname{Re}(s) \le d_0\} \cup \{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid d_0 \le \operatorname{Re}(s) \le c, -\widetilde{T} \le \operatorname{Im} s \le \widetilde{T}\}$ where $\widetilde{T} = \sqrt{T^2 - d_0^2}$. We may assume:

(*) There is no zero or pole of the integrand of (3.3) over the boundary of R(T). Now we apply the Cauchy integral formula over R(T) to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\widetilde{T}}^{c+iT} \frac{x^{s+2d_0}}{s(s+1)(s+2)\cdots(s+2d_0)} \frac{d}{ds} \log R_{\Gamma}(s) \, ds$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \Big(\int_{c+i\widetilde{T}}^{d_0+i\widetilde{T}} + \int_{C_T} + \int_{d_0-i\widetilde{T}}^{c-i\widetilde{T}} \Big) \frac{x^{s+2d_0}}{s(s+1)(s+2)\cdots(s+2d_0)} \frac{d}{ds} \log R_{\Gamma}(s) \, ds$$

$$+ \sum_{z \in R(T)} \operatorname{Res}_{s=z} \Big(\frac{x^{s+2d_0}}{s(s+1)(s+2)\cdots(s+2d_0)} \frac{d}{ds} \log R_{\Gamma}(s) \Big)$$
(3.4)

where C_T denotes the circular part of the boundary of R(T) with the anti-clockwise orientation.

We compare (3.3) for $n = 2d_0$ with the left-hand side of (3.4). By Section 4.2 in [11], we know that $\frac{d}{ds} \log R_{\Gamma}(s)$ is bounded over the line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = c > 2d_0$. Hence, it is easy to see

$$\psi_{2d_0}(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\widetilde{T}}^{c+i\widetilde{T}} \frac{x^{s+2d_0}}{s(s+1)(s+2)\cdots(s+2d_0)} \frac{d}{ds} \log R_{\Gamma}(s) \, ds + O(x^{c+2d_0}T^{-2d_0}).$$
(3.5)

The asymptotics of the first term on the right-hand side of (3.5) is the same as the one of the right-hand side of (3.4). From now on, we analyze this part:

• For the integral $\int_{C_T} \cdot ds$, we have

$$\left| \int_{C_T} \frac{x^{s+2d_0}}{s(s+1)(s+2)\cdots(s+2d_0)} \frac{d}{ds} \log R_{\Gamma}(s) \, ds \right|$$

$$\leq x^{3d_0} T^{-d} \int_{C_T} \left| \frac{d}{ds} \log R_{\Gamma}(s) \right| |ds|. \quad (3.6)$$

By Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 7 of [8],

$$\int_{C_T} \left| \frac{d}{ds} \log R_{\Gamma}(s) \right| |ds| \le \int_{|s|=T} \left| \frac{d}{ds} \log R_{\Gamma}(s) \right| |ds| \le CT^d \log T \tag{3.7}$$

for a constant C. Note that the parameter T in (3.7) should satisfy the condition (*). By (3.6) and (3.7),

$$\int_{C_T} \frac{x^{s+2d_0}}{s(s+1)(s+2)\cdots(s+2d_0)} \frac{d}{ds} \log R_{\Gamma}(s) \, ds = \mathcal{O}(x^{3d_0} \log T). \tag{3.8}$$

• Let us deal with the integral $\int_{c+i\widetilde{T}}^{d_0+i\widetilde{T}} \cdot ds$. From Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 4.6 in [11], it follows that for $s = \sigma + it$ with $\sigma \ge d_0$, $|t| \gg 0$,

$$\frac{d}{ds}\log R_{\Gamma}(s) = O(t^{2d_0}) + \sum_{|t-\lambda_j| \le 1} |s - d_0 - i\lambda_j|^{-1}$$
(3.9)

where $d_0 + i\lambda_j$ is a pole of $R_{\Gamma}(s)$ along the critical line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = d_0$. Then, by the fact that $\frac{d}{ds} \log R_{\Gamma}(s)$ is bounded over the line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = c > 2d_0$, the equality (3.9), and the Phragmén–Lindelöf theorem, for $s = \sigma + it$ with $\sigma \ge d_0 + u$ and u > 0, $|t| \gg 0$,

$$\frac{d}{ds}\log R_{\Gamma}(s) = O\left(\frac{t^{2d_0}}{u}\right).$$
(3.10)

For a fixed $\epsilon > 0$, we decompose $\int_{c+i\widetilde{T}}^{d_0+i\widetilde{T}} \cdot ds$ into $\int_{d_0+\epsilon+i\widetilde{T}}^{d_0+i\widetilde{T}} \cdot ds$ and $\int_{c+i\widetilde{T}}^{d_0+\epsilon+i\widetilde{T}} \cdot ds$. For the first one, using (3.9) (as in Proposition 6.14 of [14]) we have

$$\int_{d_0+\epsilon+i\widetilde{T}}^{d_0+i\widetilde{T}} \frac{x^{s+2d_0}}{s(s+1)(s+2)\cdots(s+2d_0)} \frac{d}{ds} \log R_{\Gamma}(s) \, ds = \mathcal{O}(x^{3d_0+\epsilon}T^{-1}).$$
(3.11)

For the second one, by (3.10) we get

$$\int_{c+i\widetilde{T}}^{d_0+\epsilon+i\widetilde{T}} \frac{x^{s+2d_0}}{s(s+1)(s+2)\cdots(s+2d_0)} \frac{d}{ds} \log R_{\Gamma}(s) \, ds$$

= $O(x^{c+2d_0}T^{-1}(\log x)^{-1}\epsilon^{-1}).$ (3.12)

Combining (3.11) and (3.12), we conclude

$$\int_{c+i\widetilde{T}}^{d_0+i\widetilde{T}} \frac{x^{s+2d_0}}{s(s+1)(s+2)\cdots(s+2d_0)} \frac{d}{ds} \log R_{\Gamma}(s) \, ds$$
$$= O(x^{3d_0+\epsilon}T^{-1}) + O(x^{c+2d_0}T^{-1}(\log x)^{-1}\epsilon^{-1}). \quad (3.13)$$

The other integral $\int_{d_0-i\widetilde{T}}^{c-i\widetilde{T}} \cdot ds$ can be treated in a similar way and gives us the same estimate as (3.13).

We split the remaining terms given by the residues over R(T) in (3.4) into three parts.

• For the poles $s_n(k)$'s of $-\frac{d}{ds} \log R_{\Gamma}(s)$ lying in $(d_0, 2d_0]$, we have

$$\sum_{s_n(k)\in(d_0,2d_0]} \frac{(-1)^k}{s_n(k)(s_n(k)+1)\cdots(s_n(k)+2d_0)} x^{2d_0+s_n(k)}$$
(3.14)

where $s_n(k)$ is related to the small eigenvalue $(s_n(k) - k)(2d_0 - k - s_n(k))$ in $[0, \frac{3}{4}d_0^2]$ of Δ_k on $\pi_{\sigma_k,\lambda_n(k)}$ with $s_n(k) = d_0 + i\lambda_n(k)$ or $s_n(k) = d_0 - i\lambda_n(k)$ in $(d_0, 2d_0]$.

• For the poles $s_n(0)$'s of $-\frac{d}{ds} \log R_{\Gamma}(s)$ along the critical line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = d_0$, we have

$$O\left(x^{3d_0} \int_{-T}^{T} t^{-d} dN(t)\right) = O(x^{3d_0} \log T)$$
(3.15)

where $N(t) (= O(t^d))$ denotes the counting function of $s_n(0) = d_0 + it_n$ over the critical line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = d_0$.

• To deal with the poles of $\frac{1}{s(s+1)(s+2)\cdots(s+2d_0)}\frac{d}{ds}\log R_{\Gamma}(s)$ in $R(T, d_0) = R(T) \cap \{s \mid \operatorname{Re}(s) < d_0\}$, we define R(s) to be a meromorphic function of order d given by removing the zeros and poles of $R_{\Gamma}(s)$ in the half plane $\operatorname{Re}(s) \ge d_0$ using the canonical product. Then we have

$$\sum_{z \in R(T,d_0)} \operatorname{Res}_{s=z} \left(\frac{x^{s+2d_0}}{s(s+1)(s+2)\cdots(s+2d_0)} \frac{d}{ds} \log R_{\Gamma}(s) \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|s|=T} \frac{x^{s+2d_0}}{s(s+1)(s+2)\cdots(s+2d_0)} \frac{d}{ds} \log R(s) \, ds. \quad (3.16)$$

As in the derivation of (3.7), one can show that the right-hand side of (3.16) has the same size as (3.7). Hence we have

$$\sum_{z \in R(T,d_0)} \operatorname{Res}_{s=z} \left(\frac{x^{s+2d_0}}{s(s+1)(s+2)\cdots(s+2d_0)} \frac{d}{ds} \log R_{\Gamma}(s) \right) = \mathcal{O}(x^{3d_0} \log T).$$
(3.17)

For a given $x \gg 0$, we choose T satisfying (*) such that $|x^d - T| \le 1$. Putting c = d and combining (3.4), (3.5), (3.8), (3.13), (3.14), (3.15) and (3.17), we conclude

$$\psi_{2d_0}(x) = \sum_{s_n(k) \in (d_0, 2d_0]} \frac{(-1)^k}{s_n(k)(s_n(k) + 1) \cdots (s_n(k) + 2d_0)} x^{2d_0 + s_n(k)} + O(x^{3d_0} \log x).$$
(3.18)

3.3 Reduction to $\psi_0(x), \pi_{\Gamma}(x)$

To derive the asymptotics of $\psi_0(x)$ from $\psi_{2d_0}(x)$, we use

$$\Delta_n^+ f(x) := \int_x^{x+h} \int_{x_{n-1}}^{x_{n-1}+h} \cdots \int_{x_1}^{x_1+h} f^{(n)}(x_0) \, dx_0 \cdots dx_{n-1}$$

where h is a constant which will be fixed later. By the mean value theorem,

$$\Delta_{2d_0}^+ x^{2d_0 + s_n} = h^{2d_0} \left(s_n + 2d_0 \right) \left(s_n + 2d_0 - 1 \right) \cdots \left(s_n + 1 \right) \widetilde{x}^{s_n}$$
(3.19)

where $\widetilde{x} \in [x, x + 2d_0h]$, hence

$$\psi_0(x) \le h^{-2d_0} \Delta_{2d_0}^+ \psi_{2d_0}(x) \le \psi_0(x + 2d_0h).$$
(3.20)

By (3.18) and (3.19), we have

$$h^{-2d_0} \Delta_{2d_0}^+ \psi_{2d_0}(x) = \sum_{s_n(k) \in (d_0, 2d_0]} \frac{(-1)^k x^{s_n(k)}}{s_n(k)} + \mathcal{O}(h^{-2d_0} x^{3d_0} \log x) + \mathcal{O}(h^{2d_0}).$$
(3.21)

The optimal size of the error term in (3.21) is given when $h = x^{\frac{3}{4}} (\log x)^{\frac{1}{4d_0}}$. By (3.20) and (3.21) with $h = x^{\frac{3}{4}} (\log x)^{\frac{1}{4d_0}}$, we obtain

$$\psi_0(x) \le \sum_{s_n(k) \in (\frac{3}{2}d_0, 2d_0]} \frac{(-1)^k x^{s_n(k)}}{s_n(k)} + \mathcal{O}(x^{\frac{3}{2}d_0}(\log x)^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$
(3.22)

For the lower bound, we use

$$\Delta_n^- f(x) := \int_{x-h}^x \int_{x_{n-1}-h}^{x_{n-1}} \cdots \int_{x_1-h}^{x_1} f^{(n)}(x_0) \, dx_0 \cdots dx_{n-1}$$

to obtain

$$\psi_0(x - 2d_0h) \le h^{-2d_0} \Delta_{2d_0}^- \psi_{2d_0}(x) \le \psi_0(x).$$

In a similar way, one can show

$$\sum_{\substack{s_n(k)\in(\frac{3}{2}d_0,2d_0]}}\frac{(-1)^k x^{s_n(k)}}{s_n(k)} + \mathcal{O}(x^{\frac{3}{2}d_0}(\log x)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \le \psi_0(x).$$
(3.23)

Combining (3.22) with (3.23), we conclude that

$$\psi_0(x) = \sum_{s_n(k) \in (\frac{3}{2}d_0, 2d_0]} \frac{(-1)^k x^{s_n(k)}}{s_n(k)} + \mathcal{O}(x^{\frac{3}{2}d_0}(\log x)^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$
(3.24)

To obtain the formula for $\pi_{\Gamma}(x)$, we recall

$$\pi_{\Gamma}(x) = \int_{2}^{x} \frac{1}{\log t} \, d\theta(t)$$

where

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathrm{P}\Gamma_h, N(\gamma) \le x} \Lambda(\gamma).$$

Combining

$$\psi_0(x) = \theta(x) + \theta(x^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \theta(x^{\frac{1}{3}}) + \cdots$$

with (3.24), we have

$$\pi_{\Gamma}(x) = \sum_{s_n(k) \in (\frac{3}{2}d_0, 2d_0]} (-1)^k \operatorname{li}(x^{s_n(k)}) + O\left(\frac{x^{\frac{3}{2}d_0}}{(\log x)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right).$$
(3.25)

To obtain the error term in (3.25), we used the following asymptotics with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\int_2^x \frac{dt}{(\log t)^{\alpha}} = \frac{x}{(\log x)^{\alpha}} + \alpha \frac{x}{(\log x)^{\alpha+1}} + \alpha(\alpha+1) \frac{x}{(\log x)^{\alpha+2}} + \cdots$$

where $\alpha > 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgments. The author thanks Y. Hashimoto for his comment, which corrects an error in the first draft.

Bibliography

- T. Adachi and T. Sunada, Homology of closed geodesics in a negatively curved manifold. *J. Differential Geom.* 26 (1987), no. 1, 81–99.
- [2] R. P. Boas, Entire functions. Academic Press Inc., New York, 1954.
- [3] J. Cogdell, J. S. Li, I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro and P. Sarnak, Poincaré series for SO(n, 1). Acta Math. 167 (1991), no. 3-4, 229–285.
- [4] Y. Colin de Verdiere, Pseudo-Laplacians. II. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 33 (1983), no. 2, 87–113.
- [5] D. L. DeGeorge, Length spectrum for compact locally symmetric spaces of strictly negative curvature. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 10 (1977), no. 2, 133–152.
- [6] H. Donnelly, On the cuspidal spectrum for finite volume symmetric spaces. J. Differential Geom. 17 (1982), no. 2, 239–253.
- [7] C. L. Epstein, Asymptotics for closed geodesics in a homology class, the finite volume case. *Duke Math. J.* 55 (1987), no. 4, 717–757.
- [8] D. Fried, The zeta functions of Ruelle and Selberg. I. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 19 (1986), no. 4, 491–517.
- [9] R. Gangolli, The length spectra of some compact manifolds of negative curvature. J. Differential Geom. 12 (1977), no. 3, 403–424.
- [10] R. Gangolli and G. Warner, Zeta functions of Selberg's type for some noncompact quotients of symmetric spaces of rank one. *Nagoya Math. J.* 78 (1980), 1–44.
- [11] Y. Gon and J. Park, The zeta functions of Ruelle and Selberg for hyperbolic manifolds with cusps. *Math. Ann.* **346** (2010), no. 3, 719–767.
- [12] W. K. Hayman, Meromorphic functions. Oxford Mathematical Monographs Clarendon Press, Oxford 1964.
- [13] D. A. Hejhal, The Selberg trace formula for PSL(2, *R*). Vol. I. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 548. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.
- [14] D. A. Hejhal, The Selberg trace formula for PSL(2, R). Vol. 2. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1001. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1983.
- [15] H. Huber, Zur analytischen Theorie hyperbolischen Raumformen und Bewegungsgruppen. *Math. Ann.* **138** (1959), 1–26.
- [16] A.E. Ingham, The distribution of prime numbers. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [17] H. Iwaniec, Prime geodesic theorem. J. Reine Angew. Math. 349 (1984), 136–159.
- [18] W.Z. Luo and P. Sarnak, Quantum ergodicity of eigenfunctions on $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathbb{H}^2$. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 81 (1995), 207–237.

- [19] H. P. McKean, Selberg's trace formula as applied to a compact Riemann surface. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 25 (1972), 225–246.
- [20] R. Miatello, The Minakshisundaram–Pleijel coefficients for the vector valued heat kernel on compact locally symmetric spaces of negative curvature. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 260 (1980), no. 1, 1–33.
- [21] M. Nakasuji, Prime geodesic theorem for higher-dimensional hyperbolic manifold. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **358** (2006), no. 8, 3285–3303.
- [22] W. Parry and M. Pollicott, An analogue of the prime number theorem for closed orbits of Axiom A flows. *Ann. of Math.* (2) **118** (1983), no. 3, 573–591.
- [23] R. Phillips and P. Sarnak, Geodesics in homology classes. Duke Math. J. 55 (1987), no. 2, 287–297.
- [24] B. Randol, On the asymptotic distribution of closed geodesics on compact Riemann surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 233 (1977), 241–247.
- [25] A. Selberg, Harmonic analysis. Collected papers. Vol. I. 626–674, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [26] A. B. Venkov, Spectral theory of automorphic functions and its applications. Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), 51. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1990.
- [27] P. G. Zograf, Small eigenvalues of automorphic Laplacians in spaces of cusp forms. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) 134 (1984), 157–168.

Author information

Jinsung Park, School of Mathematics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Hoegiro 87, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 130-722, Korea. E-mail: jinsung@kias.re.kr