

Statistical Signal Processing: Introduction to Classical Estimation Theory & Classical and Quantum Detection Theory

Ranjith Nair

Quantum Measurement Group Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering National University of Singapore, Singapore

July 14, 2018

<ロト <回ト < 三ト < 三ト

- <u>Statistical</u> Signal Processing (SSP) deals with optimal methods for extracting unknown/random signals from noisy observations.
- "statistical" noise is treated statistically.
- "signal" feature(s) of interest.
- "unknown/random" is the signal suitably described by a statistical model or not?
- "optimal" in terms of a performance criterion suitable to the problem.
- Detection theory studies problems in which the set of signals is finite or discrete: $\mathcal{M} = \{1, \dots, M\}$
 - Applications: Digital communication; radar/sonar target detection; medical diagnosis; astronomical observations.
- Estimation theory studies problems in which the set of signals $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^N$.
 - Applications: Analog communication; measurements of physical quantities; radar ranging; siesmology; imaging.
- ▶ Why study classical SSP?
 - In quantum SSP, signals are carried by quantum systems and affected by quantum noise, but problem objectives are similar.
 - Theoretical concepts and techniques are analogous.

Probability Theory Concepts

- Random variables X, Y, Z, R etc. (upper case).
- Instances $x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}$, etc. (lower case).
- Calligraphic case for the ranges $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, etc.
- ▶ Probability distributions P(x), P(x, y), P(x|y), etc. (sometimes $P_X(x)$, $P_{XY}(x, y)$ for clarity).
- When the distribution function P is known, we can also consider P(X) as a random variable.
- Statistical expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(X,Y)\right] := \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathrm{d}y \, P(x,y) \, f(x,y) \tag{1}$$

$$= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x P(x) \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathrm{d}y \, P(y|x) \, f(x,y) \tag{2}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{X} \left[\mathbb{E}_{Y|X=x} \left[f(X, Y) \right] \right]. \text{ (iterated expectation)}$$
(3)

- ▶ Expectation is additive: $\mathbb{E}[f(X,Y) + g(X,Y)] = \mathbb{E}[f(X,Y)] + \mathbb{E}[g(X,Y)].$
- Statistical independence: Random variables X₁,..., X_M are said to be statistically independent if

$$P_{X_1 \cdots X_M}(x_1, \dots, x_M) = P_{X_1}(x_1) P_{X_2}(x_2) \cdots P_{X_M}(x_M) \ \forall \ x_1, \dots, x_M. \tag{4}$$

▶ Classical (traditional) estimation theory vs. Bayesian estimation theory: the parameter $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is regarded as unknown vs. random with a prior probability distribution $P_{\Theta}(\theta)$. We will confine ourselves to classical estimation theory.



- $\theta \in \Theta$, the set of possible parameter values.
- \mathcal{X} , the space of observations.
- The probability distribution P(x|θ) on X induced by each value θ ∈ Θ (likelihood function).
- Estimator θ̂(X) : rule that gives the estimate of θ from the observation (cannot depend on θ!).
- **Note**: $\hat{\theta} \in \Theta$ will refer to both the random variable and its instances.

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Performance criterion is the mean square error (MSE)

$$MSE_{\theta}[\hat{\theta}] := \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\theta} - \theta\right]^{2}$$
(5)

$$= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x P(x|\theta) \left[\hat{\theta}(x) - \theta\right]^2.$$
 (6)

 \blacktriangleright Ideally, we would like to find an estimator $\hat{\theta}^{\rm ideal}$ whose ${\rm MSE}$ satisfies

$$MSE_{\theta}\left[\hat{\theta}^{ideal}\right] \leq MSE_{\theta}\left[\hat{\theta}\right] \text{ for all } \theta \in \Theta.$$
(7)

- Very difficult to solve this problem (how to optimize over all estimators?). We will have to restrict the class of allowed estimators.
- **Bias** of an estimator: $b_{\theta} \left[\hat{\theta} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\hat{\theta} \right] \theta$.

• An estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is **unbiased** if

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta}\left[\hat{\theta}\right] = \theta \text{ for all } \theta \in \Theta.$$
(8)

For unbiased estimators, $MSE_{\theta} \left[\hat{\theta} \right] = Var \left[\hat{\theta} \right]$.

Theorem (Cramér-Rao bound)

Suppose the PDF $P(X|\theta)$ satisfies the "regularity condition"

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\partial \ln P(X|\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x \frac{\partial P(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta} = 0 \ \forall \ \theta \in \Theta.$$
(9)

Then the variance of any <u>unbiased</u> estimator $\hat{\theta}(X)$ of θ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Var}\left[\hat{\theta}\right] \geq \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{\partial \ln P(X|\theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \right] \right)^{-1}$$
(10)
= $- \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln P(X|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \right] \right)^{-1}.$ (11)

イロト イポト イモト イモト 三日

 $\frac{\partial \ln P(X|\theta)}{\partial \theta} \equiv L_{\theta}(X) = \text{logarithmic derivative}$

Cramér-Rao lower bound

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \ln P(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{1}{P(x|\theta)} \frac{\partial P(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta} \\ &- \frac{\partial^2 \ln P(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} = \frac{1}{P^2(x|\theta)} \left[\frac{\partial P(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta} \right]^2 - \frac{1}{P(x|\theta)} \frac{\partial^2 P(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \\ &- \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln P(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[L_{\theta}^2(X) \right] - \int_{\mathcal{X}} dx \, \frac{\partial^2 P(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \\ &- \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln P(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[L_{\theta}^2(X) \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int_{\mathcal{X}} dx \, \frac{\partial P(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta} \\ &- \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \ln P(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[L_{\theta}^2(X) \right] \text{ (regularity)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[L^2_{\theta}(X)\right] = -\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\partial L_{\theta}(X)}{\partial \theta}\right] \equiv \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X] = \text{Fisher information (of } X \text{ on } \theta).$$

Example (DC value in additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN))

Suppose $\theta \in \Theta = \mathbb{R}$; $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N)^{\mathsf{T}} \in \mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $X_n = \theta + W_n, \ 1 \le n \le N,$

where $W_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$, and are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.).

$$P(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{N/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right].$$
 (13)

$$\ln P(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = -\frac{N}{2} \ln (2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}$$
(14)

$$\frac{\partial \ln P(\mathbf{x}|\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n - N\theta}{\sigma^2}$$
(15)

$$-\frac{\partial^2 \ln P(\mathbf{x}|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} = \frac{N}{\sigma^2} = \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[\mathbf{X}].$$
(16)

Sample mean
$$\hat{\theta}[\mathbf{X}] := \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} X_n}{N} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\theta, \sigma^2/N\right).$$
 (17)

<ロ> < 回 > < 回 > < 注 > < 注 > . 注 の の

(12)

Examples

Example (DC value in additive white noise)

Suppose $\theta \in \Theta = \mathbb{R}; \mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N)^\mathsf{T} \in \mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$X_n = \theta + W_n, \quad 1 \le n \le N,\tag{18}$$

with i.i.d. $\{W_n\}$ such that $\mathbb{E}[W_n] = 0$, $\mathbb{E}[W_n^2] = \sigma^2$, but otherwise arbitrarily distributed. $f^2(x)$

$$\mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_n] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x \, \overline{\frac{1}{P(x_n|\theta)} \left[\frac{\partial P(x_n|\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right]^2}$$
$$\geq \frac{\left|\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x f(x) g(x)\right|^2}{\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x g^2(x)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Take} \ g(x) &:= \sqrt{P(x|\theta)}(x-\theta) \implies \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x \, g^2(x) = \mathsf{Var}[W] = \sigma^2. \\ \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x f(x) g(x) &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x \, (x-\theta) \frac{\partial P(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x \, x \, P(x|\theta) = 1. \\ \implies \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[\mathbf{X}] \ge N/\sigma^2. \end{aligned}$$

For additive noise with a given variance, it is "hardest" to estimate a DC value if the noise is Gaussian.

Example (Arbitrarily modulated parameter in AWGN)

Suppose $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$ is modulated onto a given discrete-time waveform $s_{n;\theta}, 1 \leq n \leq N$, which is observed in AWGN:

$$X_n = s_{n;\theta} + W_n, \quad 1 \le n \le N, \tag{19}$$

with $W_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ i.i.d.

$$P(\mathbf{X}|\theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{N/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} (X_n - s_{n;\theta})^2}{2\sigma^2}\right].$$
 (20)

$$\ln P(\mathbf{X}|\theta) = -\frac{N}{2} \ln \left(2\pi\sigma^{2}\right) - \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} (X_{n} - s_{n;\theta})^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}$$
(21)

$$\frac{\partial \ln P(\mathbf{X}|\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\partial s_{n;\theta}}{\partial \theta} (X_n - s_{n;\theta})$$
(22)

$$-\frac{\partial^2 \ln P(\mathbf{X}|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left[\sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial s_{n;\theta}}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 - \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 s_{n;\theta}}{\partial \theta^2} (X_n - s_{n;\theta}) \right]$$
(23)

$$\mathcal{J}_{\theta}[\mathbf{X}] = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\partial s_{n;\theta}}{\partial \theta}\right)^2}{\sigma^2} \equiv \frac{\left\|\dot{\mathbf{s}}\right\|^2}{\sigma^2} \implies \operatorname{Var}\left[\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{X})\right] \ge \left\|\dot{\mathbf{s}}\right\|^2 / \sigma^2.$$
(24)

Example (Estimating the variance of a Gaussian)

Suppose $v \in \mathbb{R}^+$; $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^N$ and $X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, v)$ and i.i.d. for $1 \le n \le N$.

$$\begin{split} P(\mathbf{X}|v) &= (2\pi v)^{-N/2} \exp\left[-\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} X_n^2}{2v}\right].\\ \ln P(\mathbf{X}|v) &= -\frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi v) - \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} X_n^2}{2v}.\\ \frac{\partial \ln P(\mathbf{X}|v)}{\partial v} &= -\frac{N}{2v} + \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} X_n^2}{2v^2}.\\ -\frac{\partial^2 \ln P(\mathbf{X}|v)}{\partial v^2} &= -\frac{N}{2v^2} + \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} X_n^2}{v^3} \implies \mathcal{J}_v[\mathbf{X}] = \frac{N}{2v^2}.\\ \hat{V}_{\text{unbias}} &:= \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} X_n^2\right) \text{ achieves the CRB (Exercise).}\\ \hat{V}_{\text{bias}} &:= \frac{N}{N+2} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} X_n^2\right) \text{ has MSE } \frac{2v^2}{N+2} < \frac{2v^2}{N} \text{ (Exercise^*).} \end{split}$$

* P. Stoica and R. Moses, Signal Processing, vol. 21, pp. 349-350 (1990).

Э

ヘロト ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Theorem (Chain Rule)

Suppose X and Y are two observations with the parameter-dependent joint probability distribution $P(X, Y|\theta)$. Their combined Fisher information on θ equals

$$\mathcal{J}_{\theta}[XY] = \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X] + \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[Y|X]$$
$$\equiv \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{\partial \ln P(X|\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^{2}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{\partial \ln P(Y|X,\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^{2}\right]$$

More generally, for a vector observation $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_M)$,

$$\mathcal{J}_{\theta}\left[X_{1}X_{2}\cdots X_{M}\right] = \mathcal{J}_{\theta}\left[X_{1}\right] + \mathcal{J}_{\theta}\left[X_{2}|X_{1}\right] + \cdots + \mathcal{J}_{\theta}\left[X_{M}|X_{1}\cdots X_{M-1}\right].$$

Э

<ロト <回ト < 注ト < 注ト -

Proof.

We have

$$\begin{split} &\left(\frac{\partial \ln P(X,Y|\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{\partial \ln P(X|\theta)}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \ln P(Y|X,\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial \ln P(X|\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \ln P(Y|X,\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 + 2\left(\frac{\partial \ln P(X|\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right) \left(\frac{\partial \ln P(Y|X,\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right) \end{split}$$

Taking expectations, we get

$$\begin{split} &= \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X] + \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[Y|X] + 2\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathrm{d}y \, P(x|\theta) P(y|x,\theta) \frac{\partial \ln P(X|\theta)}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \ln P(y|x,\theta)}{\partial \theta} \\ &= \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X] + \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[Y|X] + 2\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x P(x|\theta) \frac{\partial \ln P(X|\theta)}{\partial \theta} \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathrm{d}y \frac{\partial P(y|x,\theta)}{\partial \theta} \\ &= \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X] + \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[Y|X]. \end{split}$$

2

ヘロン 人間 とくほとく ほとう

.

Properties of Fisher Information

Corollary (Additivity & Data Processing)

1. (Additivity for independent observations) If X_1, \ldots, X_M are independent observations, we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_1 X_2 \dots X_M] = \sum_{m=1}^M \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_m].$$
(25)

2. (Data Processing inequality) For any function f, we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X] \ge \mathcal{J}_{\theta}\left[f(X)\right]. \tag{26}$$

Proof.

1. We have $\ln P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_M) = \sum_{m=1}^M \ln P(X_m)$.

2. Using the chain rule in two ways, we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\theta} [X f(X)] = \mathcal{J}_{\theta} [f(X)] + \mathcal{J}_{\theta} [X|f(X)]$$

= $\mathcal{J}_{\theta} [X] + \mathcal{J}_{\theta} [f(X)|X] = \mathcal{J}_{\theta} [X]$
 $\implies \mathcal{J}_{\theta} [X] \ge \mathcal{J}_{\theta} [f(X)].$

If X_1 and X_2 are two observations with joint probability distribution $P(X_1,X_2|\theta),$ do we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_1 X_2] \le \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_1] + \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_2];$$

$$\mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_2|X_1] \le \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_2]?$$

臣

<ロト <回ト < 注ト < 注ト

If X_1 and X_2 are two observations with joint probability distribution $P(X_1,X_2|\theta),$ do we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_1 X_2] \le \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_1] + \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_2];$$

$$\mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_2|X_1] \le \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_2]?$$

Example 1: Suppose that, for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have observations:

$$X_1 = \theta + N_1,$$

$$X_2 = \theta + \alpha N_1 + (1 - \alpha)N_2,$$

for some $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ and $N_1, N_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ are independent noises. Given $X_1 = x_1$ and θ ,

$$\begin{split} X_2 &= \alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)\theta + (1 - \alpha)N_2, \text{ so that} \\ X_2 &\sim \mathcal{N} \left(\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)\theta, (1 - \alpha)^2 \sigma^2 \right), \\ \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_2|X_1] &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} & \text{if } \alpha < 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_2] &= \frac{1}{[\alpha^2 + (1 - \alpha)^2] \sigma^2}. \\ \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_2|X_1] &< \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_2]. \end{split}$$

3

If X_1 and X_2 are two observations with joint probability distribution $P(X_1, X_2 | \theta)$, do we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_1 X_2] \le \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_1] + \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_2];$$

$$\mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_2|X_1] \le \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_2]?$$

Example 2: Suppose that, for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have observations:

$$X_1 = \theta + N_1,$$

$$X_2 = \theta - \alpha N_1 + (1 - \alpha)N_2,$$

for some $0\leq\alpha\leq 1$ and $N_1,N_2\sim\mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$ are independent noise variables. Given $X_1=x_1$ and $\theta,$

$$\begin{aligned} X_2 &= -\alpha x_1 + (1+\alpha)\theta + (1-\alpha)N_2, \text{ so that} \\ X_2 &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(-\alpha x_1 + (1+\alpha)\theta, (1-\alpha)^2\sigma^2\right). \\ \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_2|X_1] &= \frac{(1+\alpha)^2}{(1-\alpha)^2\sigma^2} \\ \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_2] &= \frac{1}{[\alpha^2 + (1-\alpha)^2]\sigma^2}. \\ \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_2|X_1] &> \mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Э

Fisher Information & the Bhattacharyya coefficient

Given a family of probability distributions $P(X|\theta)$ on \mathcal{X} , for any $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta$, the **Bhattacharyya coefficient** $B(\theta, \theta')$ is defined as:

$$B(\theta, \theta') = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x \sqrt{P(x|\theta) P(x|\theta')};$$

$$0 \le B(\theta, \theta') \le 1.$$

We have

$$\frac{\partial B(\theta, \theta')}{\partial \theta'} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x \sqrt{\frac{P(x|\theta)}{P(x|\theta')}} \frac{\partial P(x|\theta')}{\partial \theta'},$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial^2 B(\theta, \theta')}{\partial \theta'^2} &= -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x \frac{1}{P(x|\theta')} \sqrt{\frac{P(x|\theta)}{P(x|\theta')}} \left[\frac{\partial P(x|\theta')}{\partial \theta'} \right]^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x \sqrt{\frac{P(x|\theta)}{P(x|\theta')}} \frac{\partial^2 P(x|\theta')}{\partial \theta'^2} \end{split}$$

• Setting $\theta' = \theta$, we get

$$\mathcal{J}_{\theta}[X] = -4 \frac{\partial^2 B(\theta, \theta')}{\partial \theta'^2} \bigg|_{\theta' = \theta} \to \langle \mathbb{O} \rangle \to \langle \mathbb{O} \rangle \to \langle \mathbb{O} \rangle$$

Э

- ▶ Given an observation $x \in \mathcal{X}$ drawn from one of a finite family of probability distributions $\{P(x|i)\}_{i=1}^{M}$ on \mathcal{X} , we wish to determine the index *i*.
- Two paradigms: *i* is a nonrandom parameter (Neyman-Pearson) / *I* is a random variable (Bayesian).
- Applications (Neyman-Pearson): medical diagnosis, target detection, gravitational wave detection.

(Bayesian): digital communication, theoretical bounds on other problems.

イロト イポト イモト イモト 三日

• Two possible hypotheses $i \in \{0, 1\}$:

$$\begin{split} H_0 : & P(x|0); \ x \in \mathcal{X} \text{ (null hypothesis).} \\ H_1 : & P(x|1); \ x \in \mathcal{X} \text{ (alternative hypothesis).} \end{split}$$

- ▶ A decision rule $J(X) = \hat{I}(X)$ representing the "best" decision that can be taken given the observation.
- Equivalent to partitioning the observation space into two regions \mathcal{X}_0 and \mathcal{X}_1 such that $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_0 \cup \mathcal{X}_1; \mathcal{X}_0 \cap \mathcal{X}_1 = \emptyset$.
- Two kinds of errors:

$$\Pr\left[\mathcal{X}_{1}|H_{0}\right] = \int_{\mathcal{X}_{1}} \mathrm{d}x \, P(x|0) \equiv P_{F} \text{ (false-alarm probability)}$$
$$\Pr\left[\mathcal{X}_{0}|H_{1}\right] = \int_{\mathcal{X}_{0}} \mathrm{d}x \, P(x|1) \equiv P_{M} \text{ (miss probability)}.$$

In the Bayesian approach, I is a random variable with its two values having the prior probabilities {\$\pi_0\$, \$\pi_1\$}\$.

イロト イヨト イモト イモト 三日

- Minimizing P_F ("expand X₀") and minimizing P_M ("expand X₁") are conflicting objectives.
- For each value of $P_F \in [0, 1]$, find the minimum achievable P_M (and the corresponding \mathcal{X}_0).
- ▶ The resulting curve of minimum P_M vs. P_F is called the receiver operating characteristic (ROC).

Theorem (Neyman-Pearson lemma)

For a given $P_F = \alpha$, the decision rule that minimizes P_M is to decide H_1 whenever the likelihood ratio

$$L(x) = \frac{P(x|1)}{P(x|0)} > \gamma,$$

where the threshold γ is determined by the condition

$$P_F = \int_{x:L(x) > \gamma} P(x|0) \,\mathrm{d}x = \alpha.$$

<ロト <回ト < 回ト < 回ト -

Random case: Binary hypothesis testing

• When prior probabilities $\{\pi_0, \pi_1\}$ are assigned, we choose to minimize the *average* error probability

$$P_E = \pi_0 P_F + \pi_1 P_M.$$
 (27)

• Using the decision function $I_1(x)$ as before, we can write

$$P_{E} = \int_{\mathcal{X}} dx \left[I_{1}(x) \, \pi_{0} P(x|0) + I_{0}(x) \, \pi_{1} P(x|1) \right],$$

$$\geq \int_{\mathcal{X}} dx \min \left\{ \pi_{0} P(x|0), \pi_{1} P(x|1) \right\},$$

$$a \leq b \implies a(b) = (a+b)/2 \mp |a-b|/2.$$

$$\begin{split} P_E &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x \left[\pi_0 P(x|0) + \pi_1 P(x|1) - \left| \pi_0 P(x|0) - \pi_1 P(x|1) \right| \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x \left| \pi_0 P(x|0) - \pi_1 P(x|1) \right| \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left\| \pi_0 P(X|0) - \pi_1 P(X|1) \right\|_1 \ (l_1 \text{-distance}). \end{split}$$

► Optimum decision rule $J(x) = \arg \max_{j \in \{0,1\}} \{\pi_j P(x|j)\}.$

Random case: M-ary hypothesis testing

M > 2 hypotheses {H_i ≡ P_X(X|i)}^M_{i=1} with prior probabilities {π_i}^M_{i=1}.
 Define the decision functions {M_j(x)}^M_{j=1} such that

$$0 \le M_j(x) \le 1, \ \ orall j = 1, \dots, M_j$$
 $\sum_{j=1}^M M_j(x) = 1, \ \ orall x \in \mathcal{X}.$

The probability of correct decision is:

$$P_C = \sum_{j=1}^M \pi_j \, \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x P_X(x|j) \, M_j(x)$$
$$= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x \, \sum_{j=1}^M M_j(x) \, \pi_j \, P_X(x|j)$$
$$\leq \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x \, \max_j \left\{ \pi_j \, P_X(x|j) \right\}.$$

• Optimum decision rule: When $x \in \mathcal{X}$ is observed, set

ŀ

$$J(x) = \arg\max_{j} \left\{ \pi_{j} P_{X}(x|j) \right\}.$$

- In most cases, the exact error probability cannot be calculated (absolute value/minimum).
- ▶ In many cases, we have N independent copies of the observation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X} &\to \mathcal{X}^N, \\ x &\to \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N), \end{aligned} \\ P_X(x|i) &\to P_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1, \dots, x_N|i) = \prod_{n=1}^N P_X(x_n|i) \equiv P_{\mathbf{X}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

- The asymptotic behavior of $P_E^{(N)}$ as $N \to \infty$ is of interest.
- M-ary error probabilities can be bounded in terms of pairwise binary error probabilities.

Э

< 口 > < //>
</ >

• Consider the case $\pi_0 = \pi_1 = 1/2$ for simplicity.

$$P_E = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathcal{X}} dx \left| P(x|0) - P(x|1) \right|,$$

$$D\left[P(X|0), P(X|1) \right] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{X}} dx \left| P(x|0) - P(x|1) \right|.$$

(Kolmogorov distance)

$$\begin{split} D^2 \left[P(X|0), P(X|1) \right] &= \\ & \frac{1}{4} \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x \left| \sqrt{P(x|0)} + \sqrt{P(x|1)} \right| \left| \sqrt{P(x|0)} - \sqrt{P(x|1)} \right| \right]^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x \left[\sqrt{P(x|0)} + \sqrt{P(x|1)} \right]^2 \\ &\leq 1 - \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x \sqrt{P(x|0) P(x|1)} \right]^2 \\ &= 1 - \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x \sqrt{P(x|0) P(x|1)} \right]^2 \\ &= 1 - B^2 \left[P(X|0), P(X|1) \right]. \end{split}$$

Э

Upper bound on binary error probability (Chernoff bound)

• We can upper-bound P_E as follows:

mi

$$P_E = \int_{\mathcal{X}} dx \min \{\pi_0 P(x|0), \pi_1 P(x|1)\},$$

$$n\{a, b\} \le a^s b^{1-s} \le sa + (1-s)b, \quad \forall s \in [0, 1].$$

$$P_E = \int_{\mathcal{X}} dx \min \{\pi_0 P(x|0), \pi_1 P(x|1)\}$$

$$\le \pi_0^s \pi_1^{1-s} \int_{\mathcal{X}} dx P^s(x|0) P^{1-s}(x|1)$$

$$\equiv \pi_0^s \pi_1^{1-s} C_s;$$

$$C := \min_{s \in [0, 1]} C_s \le 1 \text{ (Chernoff bound).}$$

• The Chernoff bound is multiplicative: $P_{\mathbf{X}}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow C_s^{(N)} = C_s^N$.

It is exponentially tight in the large N-limit:

$$\alpha C^N \leq P_E^{(N)} \leq \beta C^N \text{ for } N \geq N_0.$$

Э

<ロト <回ト < 注ト < 注ト -

| Classical | Quantum |
|--|---|
| Observation space ${\cal X}$ | Hilbert space ${\cal H}$ |
| Probability distribution | Density operator $ ho_i \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ |
| $0 \le P(x i); \ 1 \le i \le M.$ | $0 \le \rho_i; \ 1 \le i \le M.$ |
| $\int_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{d}x P(x i) = 1; \ 1 \le i \le M.$ | Tr $\rho_i = 1; \ 1 \leq i \leq M$ |
| Decision functions | POVM (Positive-operator-valued Measure) |
| $0 \le M_j(x) \le 1; \ 1 \le j \le M$ | $0 \le \hat{M}_j \le \hat{I}; \ 1 \le j \le M.$ |
| $\sum_{j=1}^{M} M_j(x) = 1; \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$ | $\sum_{j=1}^{M} \hat{M}_j = \hat{I}.$ |

Quantum Measurements first described by orthogonal projection operators (von Neumann, Lüders):

$$\hat{\Pi}_{j} = \hat{\Pi}_{j}^{\dagger}; \qquad \qquad \hat{\Pi}_{j} = \hat{\Pi}_{j}^{2},$$
$$\hat{\Pi}_{j} \hat{\Pi}_{k} = \hat{\Pi}_{j} \,\delta_{jk}; \qquad \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{M} \hat{\Pi}_{j} = \hat{I}.$$

- ▶ These Projection-valued measurements (PVMs) insufficient for quantum detection and estimation: Maximum number of outcomes $\leq \dim \mathcal{H}$.
- ► For an arbitrary state ρ , any set of positive operators $\left\{\hat{M}_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{M}$ satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^{M} \hat{M}_{j} = \hat{I}$ generates a probability distribution on $j \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$ via $\Pr[j|\rho] = \operatorname{Tr} \rho \hat{M}_{j}$.
- ▶ By attaching a measuring system (ancilla) to the system of interest, evolving via a joint unitary, and then measuring the ancilla using a PVM, one can realize *any* given POVM $\left\{ \hat{M}_j \right\}_{j=1}^M$ (Naimark's theorem).

▲ロト ▲ □ ト ▲ 三 ト ▲ 三 ト つへで

Binary Quantum Hypothesis Testing

- Bayesian problem: $\rho_0(\pi_0)/\rho_1(\pi_1)$.
- ▶ Quantum decision rule : 2-element POVM $0 \leq \hat{M}_0, \hat{M}_1; \hat{M}_0 + \hat{M}_1 = \hat{I}.$
- Error probability

$$P_E \left[\hat{M}_0, \hat{M}_1 \right] = \pi_0 \operatorname{Tr} \rho_0 \hat{M}_1 + \pi_1 \operatorname{Tr} \rho_1 \hat{M}_0$$

= $\pi_0 + \operatorname{Tr} (\pi_1 \rho_1 - \pi_0 \rho_0) \hat{M}_0.$

Difference operator:

$$\begin{split} \Delta &:= \pi_1 \rho_1 - \pi_0 \rho_0 = \Delta^{\dagger} = \sum_x \lambda_x |x\rangle \langle x|, \\ \Delta_+ &:= \sum_{x:\lambda_x \ge 0} \lambda_x |x\rangle \langle x|, \\ \Delta_- &:= \sum_{x:\lambda_x < 0} |\lambda_x| |x\rangle \langle x|, \\ \Delta &= \Delta_+ - \Delta_- \text{ such that} \\ 0 &\leq \Delta_+, \Delta_-; \ \Delta_+ \Delta_- = 0. \text{ (Jordan decomposition)}. \\ P_\pm &:= \text{ projection operators onto the range of } \Delta_\pm. \\ P_+ P_- &= 0. \end{split}$$

Binary Quantum Hypothesis Testing: Helstrom bound

Minimum error probability

$$\begin{aligned} P_E^{\min} &:= \min_{0 \le \hat{M}_0 \le \hat{I}} P_E \left[\hat{M}_0, \hat{M}_1 \right] \\ &= \pi_0 + \operatorname{Tr} \Delta_+ \hat{M}_0 - \operatorname{Tr} \Delta_- \hat{M}_0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{A}, \hat{B} \geq 0 \implies \mbox{ Tr } \hat{A}\hat{B} \geq 0, \\ \hat{X} \geq \hat{Y}, \hat{A} \geq 0 \implies \mbox{ Tr } \hat{A}\hat{X} \geq \mbox{ Tr } \hat{A}\hat{Y}. \end{split}$$



$$\operatorname{Tr} \Delta_{-} \hat{M}_{0} = \operatorname{Tr} P_{-} \Delta_{-} P_{-} \hat{M}_{0}$$
$$= \operatorname{Tr} \Delta_{-} P_{-} \hat{M}_{0} P_{-} \leq \operatorname{Tr} \Delta_{-} P_{-} \hat{I} P_{-}$$
$$= \operatorname{Tr} \Delta_{-} P_{-}.$$

► Let's take
$$\hat{M}_0 = P_-$$
:
Tr $\Delta_+ \hat{M}_0 = 0$
 $\implies \left\{ \hat{M}_0 = P_-, \hat{M}_1 = P_+ \right\}$ is the optimum POVM.

Minimum Error Probability: Helstrom bound

Minimum error probability

$$\begin{aligned} P_E^{\min} &:= \pi_0 - \operatorname{Tr} \Delta_- \\ \operatorname{Tr} \Delta &= \operatorname{Tr} \Delta_+ - \operatorname{Tr} \Delta_- = \pi_1 - \pi_0 \\ \pi_0 - \operatorname{Tr} \Delta_- &= \pi_1 - \operatorname{Tr} \Delta_+. \end{aligned}$$

$$P_E^{\min} := \frac{\pi_0 - \text{Tr } \Delta_- + \pi_1 - \text{Tr } \Delta_+}{2}$$

= $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr } (\Delta_+ + \Delta_-)$
= $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr } |\Delta|,$

Tr
$$|X| = \text{Tr } \sqrt{X^{\dagger}X} \equiv ||X||_1$$
 (trace norm).
 $P_E^{\min} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} ||\pi_1 \rho_1 - \pi_0 \rho_0||_1$ (Helstrom limit).

E

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Classical Detection & Estimation Theory

- Fundamentals of Statistical Signal Processing: Vol. I Estimation Theory, Steven M. Kay, Prentice-Hall, 1993.
- Fundamentals of Statistical Signal Processing: Vol. II Detection Theory, Steven M. Kay, Prentice-Hall, 1998.
- 3. Detection Estimation and Modulation Theory, Part I: Detection, Estimation, and Filtering Theory, Harry L. Van Trees and Kristine Bell, Wiley, 2013.

Quantum Detection & Estimation Theory

- 1. Quantum Detection and Estimation Theory, Carl W. Helstrom, Academic Press, 2012.
- 2. *Quantum Measurement and Control*, Howard M. Wiseman and Gerard J. Milburn, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2014.

Quantum Theory background

- 1. Quantum Information and Computation, Michael A. Nielsen and Isaac. L. Chuang, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2000.
- Quantum Systems, Channels, Information: A Mathematical Introduction, Alexander S. Holevo, De Gruyter, 2012.

Э

<ロト <回ト < 注ト < 注ト -