# A DISCONTINUOUS CAPACITY

#### KAI ZEHMISCH AND FABIAN ZILTENER

ABSTRACT. We introduce the spherical capacity and show that it is not continuous on a smoothly bounded smooth family of open sets in dimension four.

#### 1. Introduction

In [2] K. Cieliebak, H. Hofer, J. Latschev, and F. Schlenk asked the following question:

Question ([2, Problem 7]). Are capacities continuous on all smooth families of domains bounded by smooth hypersurfaces? Here a family of domains is called **smooth** if their boundaries fit in a smooth isotopy of embeddings.

The answer is *no* in dimension 4. Below we define a capacity, which we call the *spherical capacity* and prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** There is a smooth family  $U_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ , of ellipsoidal shells in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  such that for the spherical capacity s the function

$$\varepsilon \longmapsto s(U_{\varepsilon})$$

is not continuous.

The proof involves a non-embedding result for ellipsoids by F. Schlenk [11] and and the symplectic 4-ball theorem by M. Gromov [6]. We begin with the definition of the spherical capacity:

**Definition 1.2.** For symplectic manifolds  $(V, \omega)$  of dimension  $\geq 4$  we call

$$s(V,\omega) := \sup \{\pi r^2 > 0 \mid \exists \text{ symplectic embedding } S_r^{2n-1} \hookrightarrow (V,\omega) \}$$

the spherical capacity. By a symplectic embedding of the sphere  $S_r^{2n-1}$  we mean a symplectic embedding of a neighbourhood of  $S_r^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

In [6] Gromov proved the non-squeezing theorem which says that the open ball  $B_r = B_r^{2n}$  of radius r > 0 embeds symplectically into the symplectic cylinder  $Z_R = B_R^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$  if and only if  $r \leq R$ . It is natural to ask whether a similar result holds for symplectic embeddings of the sphere  $S_r^{2n-1} = \partial B_r$  into  $Z_R$ , i.e. embeddings of neighbourhoods of  $S_r^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . If the dimension  $2n \geq 4$  a positive answer was given in [12, 5], where it was shown, that such an embedding exists precisely if r < R. As Gromov's non-squeezing leads to a symplectic capacity

$$w(V,\omega) = \sup \{\pi r^2 > 0 \mid \exists \text{ symplectic embedding } B_r \hookrightarrow (V,\omega) \}$$

the Gromov width, the spherical non-squeezing theorem from [12, 5] is related to the spherical capacity. That this is a normalized symplectic capacity in dimension  $\geq 4$  follows from [12, 5]. Recall that this means the following.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53D35.

**Definition 1.3.** A normalized symplectic capacity is an assignment of a real number  $c(V, \omega) \in [0, \infty]$  to a symplectic manifold  $(V, \omega)$  of fixed dimension satisfying the following conditions:

**Monotonicity:** If there exists a symplectic embedding  $(V, \omega) \hookrightarrow (V', \omega')$ , then  $c(V, \omega) < c(V', \omega')$ .

**Conformality:** For any a > 0 we have  $c(V, a\omega) = a c(V, \omega)$ .

Normalization:  $c(B_1) = \pi = c(Z_1)$ .

Given a symplectic manifold  $(V, \omega)$  an **extrinsic capacity** on subsets  $U \subset V$  is a real number  $c(U, \omega) \in [0, \infty]$  satisfying the above conditions with monotonicity replaced by:

**Relative monotonicity:** If there exists a symplectomorphism of  $(V, \omega)$  which maps  $U_1$  into  $U_2$ , then  $c(U_1, \omega) \leq c(U_2, \omega)$ .

We suppress the standard symplectic structure  $d\mathbf{x} \wedge d\mathbf{y}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  in the notation.

### 2. MOTIVATION

The spherical capacity is a variant of the regular coisotropic capacity of hypersurfaces introduced in [12]. Consider a hypersurfaces M in a symplectic manifold  $(V,\omega)$  such that the characteristics are all closed, form a smooth fibration over the leaf space with fibre  $S^1$ , and are contractible in V. Let  $\inf(M)$  denote the least positive symplectic area a smooth disc in V with boundary on a closed characteristic of M can have and set

$$a(V, \omega) := \sup\{\inf(M) \mid M \subset (V, \omega)\},\$$

where the supremum runs over all hypersurfaces as described. This defines a normalized capacity on all symplectically aspherical symplectic manifolds, see [12], the **regular coisotropic hypersurface capacity**. The restriction to spheres is denoted by  $a_S$  and we get

$$w \le s \le a_S \le a$$
.

The contact type embedding capacity

$$c(V,\omega) := \sup\{\inf(\alpha) \mid \exists \text{ contact type embedding } (M,\alpha) \hookrightarrow (V,\omega)\},\$$

see [4, 5], yields a second approach to the spherical capacity. Here the supremum is taken over all closed contact manifolds  $(M, \alpha)$  of dimension (2n-1), where  $\inf(\alpha)$  is the infimum of all positive periods of closed Reeb orbits w.r.t. the contact from  $\alpha$ . By a contact type embedding  $j \colon (M, \alpha) \hookrightarrow (V, \omega)$  we mean that there is a Liouville vector field Y for  $\omega$  defined near j(M) such that  $j^*(i_Y\omega) = \alpha$ . If one restricts in the definition of c to contact manifolds diffeomorphic to the (2n-1)-sphere, one obtains a normalized capacity  $c_S$  as well. These capacities yield a second proof of the spherical non-squeezing theorem and we have

$$w \le s \le c_S \le c$$
.

**Definition 2.1.** For symplectic manifolds  $(V, \omega)$  of dimension  $\geq 4$  we call

$$e(V,\omega) := \sup \{\pi r_1^2 > 0 \mid \exists \text{ symplectic embedding } \partial E \hookrightarrow (V,\omega) \}.$$

the **ellipsoidal capacity**. By a symplectic embedding of the boundary of E we mean a symplectic embedding of a neighbourhood of

$$\partial E := \left\{ \frac{x_1^2 + y_1^2}{r_1^2} + \ldots + \frac{x_n^2 + y_n^2}{r_n^2} = 1 \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$$

with positive symplectic half axes  $r_1 \leq \ldots \leq r_n$ .

Notice that

$$s < e < c_S$$
.

The question which now appears is whether the two capacities s and e coincide.

**Theorem 2.2.** The boundary of each 4-dimensional ellipsoid with different symplectic main axes has a tubular neighbourhood U, such that s(U) < e(U).

Remark 2.3. Both quantities s and  $c_S$  do not define capacities in dimension 2. Because they satisfy the monotonicity axiom, they would otherwise measure the area of the annuli  $B_{1+\varepsilon} \setminus \overline{B}_{1-\varepsilon}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , see [7]. Alternatively, for the first observe that  $(r,\theta) \mapsto (\sqrt{r^2 + a}, \theta)$  maps  $S^1 = \partial B$  symplectically to the circle of radius  $\sqrt{1+a}$  for all  $a \in (-1,\infty)$ . For the second, consider the contact form  $\frac{1}{2}(r^2 + a)d\theta$  on  $S^1$ . Its smallest action equals  $(1+a)\pi$ .

In contrary, if one measures the largest minimal action an embedding of restricted contact type (with image in a certain open subset) has, this results in a extrinsic normalized capacities also in dimension 2, cf. [4]. In this case the monotonicity axiom is only valid in the weaker sense requiring all symplectomorphisms defined on the ambient space, cf. [9, p. 375].

## 3. The Boundary Gromov width

For open subsets U of a symplectic manifold  $(V, \omega)$  there is version of the Gromov width which interpolates between w and s. Consider symplectic embeddings of the closed ball  $\overline{B}_r$  into  $(V, \omega)$  which map the boundary sphere  $\partial B_r$  into U. The **boundary Gromov width**  $w_{\partial}(U)$  is then defined to be the supremum of  $\pi r^2 > 0$  taken over all such embeddings and is a normalized extrinsic capacity.

As a first step in the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 2.2 we estimate  $w_{\partial}(U_{\varepsilon})$  for open subsets  $U_{\varepsilon}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . For that we consider an ellipsoid

$$E := E(r_1, \dots, r_n) = \left\{ \frac{x_1^2 + y_1^2}{r_1^2} + \dots + \frac{x_n^2 + y_n^2}{r_n^2} < 1 \right\}$$

with positive symplectic half axes  $r_1 \leq \ldots \leq r_n$ . We define an ellipsoidal shell via

$$U_{\varepsilon} := (1 + \varepsilon)E \setminus \overline{(1 - \varepsilon)E}$$

provided  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small.

**Lemma 3.1.** If two of the symplectic radii of E are different we have

$$w_{\partial}(U_{\varepsilon}) \longrightarrow 0$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to 0.

Proof. We consider a symplectic embedding  $\varphi$  of  $\overline{B}_r$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  such that  $\varphi(S_r^{2n-1})$  is contained in  $U_{\varepsilon}$ . Then there are two cases which we need to consider: either  $\varphi(B_r)$  is contained in  $U_{\varepsilon}$  or not. The latter implies that the bounded component of the complement of  $\varphi(S_r^{2n-1})$  contains  $(1-\varepsilon)\partial E$  and, hence,  $(1-\varepsilon)E\subset \varphi(B_r)$ . We claim that for  $\varepsilon>0$  small enough the second case can not appear, so that necessarily  $\varphi(B_r)\subset U_{\varepsilon}$ . The lemma follows then by comparing the volume.

Assume now that  $\varphi(B_r) \not\subset U_{\varepsilon}$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then  $(1 - \varepsilon)E \subset \varphi(B_r)$ , as we remarked above. Again comparing the volume we get a lower bound

$$(1-\varepsilon)^n r_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot r_n < r^n$$
.

For an upper bound observe that  $\varphi(\overline{B}_r) \subset (1+\varepsilon)Z_{r_1}$ . Invoking Gromov's non-squeezing theorem we get

$$r < (1+\varepsilon)r_1$$
.

Combining both inequalities yields

$$\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\right)^n < \frac{r_1^n}{r_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot r_n}.$$

Because the  $r_j$  are not all the same the right hand side is < 1. Therefore, there exists a positive number  $\varepsilon_0$ , which only depends on the  $r_j$ , such that  $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_0$ . The lemma follows now by taking  $\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$  which excludes the second case.

On the other hand:

**Lemma 3.2.** We have  $e(U_{\varepsilon}) \longrightarrow \pi r_1^2$  and  $c(U_{\varepsilon}) \longrightarrow \pi r_1^2$  as  $\varepsilon$  tends to 0.

Proof. Indeed,

$$\pi r_1^2 = \inf(\lambda_{\rm st}|_{T\partial E}) \le e(U_{\varepsilon}) \le e((1+\varepsilon)E) = (1+\varepsilon)^2 \pi r_1^2,$$

where  $\lambda_{\rm st}$  denotes the radial Liouville form  $\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}d\mathbf{x})$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . For c the argument is the same.

### 4. Discontinuity

A refinement of the proof of Lemma 3.1 shows that the boundary Gromov width  $w_{\partial}$  is discontinuous. We consider the ellipsoid E with radii  $r_1 = \ldots = r_{n-1} = 1$  and  $r_n = R$  for a real number  $R \in (1, \sqrt[2n]{2})$ . The corresponding ellipsoidal shell is again denoted by  $U_{\varepsilon}$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** For  $U_{\varepsilon} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , as described above the function

$$\varepsilon \longmapsto w_{\partial}(U_{\varepsilon})$$

is not continuous.

*Proof.* We will show that the function jumps at

$$\varepsilon_0 := \frac{R-1}{R+1}.$$

For this we consider two cases.

We claim that for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$  we have  $w_{\partial}(U_{\varepsilon}) = w(U_{\varepsilon})$ . For this we need to exclude symplectic embeddings  $\varphi$  of  $B_r$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , such that  $(1 - \varepsilon)E \subset \varphi(B_r)$ , similarly to Lemma 3.1. We use a result of F. Schlenk [11, Theorem 1], which is based on the Ekeland-Hofer capacities [3]. By this result, since  $R \in (1, \sqrt[2n]{2})$ , there exists a symplectic embedding of  $(1 - \varepsilon)E$  into  $B_r$  only if  $(1 - \varepsilon)R \leq r$ . Moreover, Gromov's non-squeezing yields the inequality  $r < (1 + \varepsilon)$ . Combining both we get

$$\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} < \frac{1}{R},$$

so that the symplectic embeddings under considerations can be excluded by our choice of  $\varepsilon_0$ . Hence  $w_{\partial}(U_{\varepsilon}) = w(U_{\varepsilon})$ . Because the Gromov width of  $U_{\varepsilon}$  is bounded in terms of its volume from above, we obtain

$$w_{\partial}(U_{\varepsilon}) < \sqrt[n]{(1+\varepsilon)^{2n} - (1-\varepsilon)^{2n}} \pi R^2.$$

On the other hand, for  $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_0$ , where the spheres

$$S^{2n-1}_{\frac{2R}{R+1}} \subset \overline{U}_{\varepsilon_0}$$

start to appear, we have the lower bound

$$\frac{4\pi R^2}{(R+1)^2} \le w_{\partial}(U_{\varepsilon}).$$

Consequently, we get for all  $R \in (1, \sqrt[2n]{2})$  and for all  $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_0$  the following estimate:

$$w_{\partial}(U_{\varepsilon_0}) < \sqrt[n]{R^{2n} - 1} \frac{4\pi R^2}{(R+1)^2} < w_{\partial}(U_{\varepsilon}).$$

In other words the function  $\varepsilon \mapsto w_{\partial}(U_{\varepsilon})$  is not continuous at  $\varepsilon_0$ .

### 5. Proof of the Theorems

The second ingredient of the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 2.2 are the following considerations:

**Proposition 5.1.** The capacities w and s coincide on closed minimal symplectic 4-manifolds.

*Proof.* As the Gromov width is the smallest capacity we get  $w \leq s$  on all symplectic manifolds. For the converse consider a symplectic embedding of  $S_r^3$  into a closed minimal symplectic 4-manifold  $(V, \omega)$ . By [1] or [5, Proposition 4.10] its image  $S_r$  separates, so that  $S_r$  cuts out a strong symplectic filling  $(W, \omega)$ . Since  $(W, \omega)$  is minimal it is symplectomorphic to the standard 4-ball  $\overline{B}_r$  by a theorem of Gromov [6, p. 311], c.f. [4, Remark 2.3] or [10, Theorem 9.4.2]. Hence,  $s \leq w$ .

Notice that the minimality assumption is essential. The volume (and hence the Gromov width) of the symplectic blow up of  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  obtained by cutting out a ball of radius  $1 - \varepsilon$  in  $B_1 \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$  can be made arbitrary small. In contrast, the spherical capacity stays  $\geq \pi$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** For all open subsets U in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  we have  $s(U) = w_{\partial}(U)$ .

*Proof.* The argument is almost the one from Proposition 5.1. Just observe that any Liouville vector field defined near and determined by the symplectic embedding  $S_r$  of  $S_r^3$  points out of the interior of  $S_r$ . Otherwise, we could use a sufficiently large ball to cut out a connected symplectic manifold with convex boundary consisting of two standard spheres. This would violate [8, Theorem 1.2] or [5, Theorem 3.4].  $\square$ 

**Question 5.3.** The proceeding proposition is valid in greater generality, e.g. for all subcritical Stein surfaces, see [5, Theorem 3.4]. The critical case seems to be not known. Therefore, we ask:

Does the Liouville vector field defined by a closed hypersurface of contact type  $(M, \alpha)$  in a critical Stein manifold of dimension  $\geq 4$  point out of the interior of M, if  $(M, \alpha)$  is not of restricted contact type?

**Proof of Theorem 2.2.** By choosing  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough we can make  $e(U_{\varepsilon})$  as close to  $\pi r_1^2$  and  $w_{\partial}(U_{\varepsilon})$  as small as we wish, see Lemmata 3.1 and 3.2. Moreover, by the proceeding proposition  $s(U_{\varepsilon})$  and  $w_{\partial}(U_{\varepsilon})$  are equal.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** By Proposition 5.2  $w_{\partial}$  equals the spherical capacity on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  - defines there an intrinsic capacity. The claim follows from Proposition 4.1.  $\square$ 

Acknowledgement. We thank Janko Latschev, Leonid Polterovich, and Jan Swoboda for showing their interest in this work. The research in this article was carried out during the conference *From conservative dynamics to symplectic and contact topology* from 30 Jul. 2012 through 3 Aug. 2012 at the Lorentz Center in Leiden. We would like to thank the organizers Hansjörg Geiges, Viktor Ginzburg, Federica Pasquotto, Bob Rink, and Robert Vandervorst for many stimulating discussions.

## References

- [1] P. Albers, B. Bramham, C. Wendl, On nonseparating contact hypersurfaces in symplectic 4-manifolds, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, **10** (2010), 697–737.
- [2] K. CIELIEBAK, H. HOFER, J. LATSCHEV, F. SCHLENK, Quantitative symplectic geometry, In: Dynamics, ergodic theory, and geometry, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 54, 1–44, Cambridge Univ. Press (2007).
- [3] I. EKELAND, H. HOFER, Symplectic topology and Hamiltonian dynamics. II, Math. Z., 203 (1990), 553-567.
- [4] H. GEIGES, K. ZEHMISCH, How to recognise a 4-ball when you see one, (2011), preprint, arXiv:1104.1543
- [5] H. GEIGES, K. ZEHMISCH, Symplectic cobordisms and the strong Weinstein conjecture, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. (2012), to appear.
- [6] M. Gromov, Pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds, *Invent. Math.* 82 (1985), 307–347.
- [7] H. HOFER, E. ZEHNDER, Symplectic invariants and Hamiltonian dynamics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, (1994).
- [8] D. McDuff, Symplectic manifolds with contact type boundaries, *Invent. Math.* 103 (1991), 651–671.
- [9] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, *Introduction to symplectic topology*, 2nd edition, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, (1998).
- [10] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, J-holomorphic Curves and Symplectic Topology, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 52, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2004).
- [11] F. SCHLENK, Symplectic embeddings of ellipsoids, Israel J. Math., 138 (2003), 215–252.
- [12] J. SWOBODA, F. ZILTENER, Coisotropic displacement and small subsets of a symplectic manifold, Math. Z. 271 (2012), 415–445.

Mathematisches Institut, Universität zu Köln, Weyertal 86–90, 50931 Köln, Germany

E-mail address: kai.zehmisch@math.uni-koeln.de

KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, HOEGIRO 87 (207-43 CHEONGNYANGNI-DONG),

Dongdaemungu, Seoul 130-722, Republic of Korea

E-mail address: fabian@kias.re.kr