

# Density of a minimal submanifold and total curvature of its boundary

JAIGYOUNG CHOE AND ROBERT GULLIVER

Given a piecewise smooth submanifold  $\Gamma^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $p \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , we define the *vision angle*  $\Pi_p(\Gamma)$  to be the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional volume of the radial projection of  $\Gamma$  to the unit sphere centered at  $p$ . If  $p$  is a point on a stationary  $n$ -rectifiable set  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  with boundary  $\Gamma$ , then we show the density of  $\Sigma$  at  $p$  is  $\leq$  the density at its vertex  $p$  of the cone over  $\Gamma$ . It follows that if  $\Pi_p(\Gamma)$  is less than twice the volume of  $S^{n-1}$ , for all  $p \in \Gamma$ , then  $\Sigma$  is an embedded submanifold. As a consequence, we prove that given two  $n$ -planes  $R_1^n, R_2^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and two compact convex hypersurfaces  $\Gamma_i$  of  $R_i^n, i = 1, 2$ , a nonflat minimal submanifold spanned by  $\Gamma := \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$  is embedded.

## 1. Introduction

Fenchel [F1] showed that the total curvature of a closed space curve  $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is at least  $2\pi$ , and it equals  $2\pi$  if and only if  $\gamma$  is a plane convex curve. Fáry [Fa] and Milnor [M] independently proved that a simple knotted regular curve has total curvature larger than  $4\pi$ . These two results indicate that a Jordan curve which is curved at most *double* the minimum is isotopically simple. But in fact minimal surfaces spanning such Jordan curves must be simple as well. Indeed, Nitsche [N] showed that an analytic Jordan curve in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with total curvature at most  $4\pi$  bounds exactly one minimal disk. Moreover, Ekholm, White and Wienholtz [EWW] proved that a minimal surface spanning such a Jordan curve in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is embedded.

Given an  $n$ -dimensional submanifold  $M$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , there are two well-studied ways of defining the total curvature of  $M$ : the higher-dimensional Gauss-Bonnet integral  $\int_M \Omega$  as defined in [AW] and [C1]; and the total absolute curvature of  $M$ ,  $\int_M K^* dV_M$  as defined by Chern and Lashof in [CL] (see section 2 below). Chern and Lashof proved that  $\int_M K^* dV_M \geq 2$ , with equality if and only if  $M$  is a convex hypersurface in an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional plane.

---

The first-named author was supported in part by KRF-2007-313-C00057.

Eells and Kuiper have shown that if  $\int_M K^* dV_M < 3$  then  $M$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{S}^n$  and that if  $\int_M K^* dV_M < 4$  then  $M$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{S}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{R}P^n$ ,  $\mathbb{C}P^{n/2}$ ,  $\mathbb{H}P^{n/4}$  or to  $CayP^2$  (for  $n = 16$ ). [EK].

In the light of Ekhholm-White-Wienholtz's theorem, it is quite natural to conjecture that *an  $n$ -dimensional minimal submanifold  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  spanning a compact connected submanifold  $\Gamma^{n-1}$  with total absolute curvature  $< 4$  is embedded*. In this paper we prove a theorem in the spirit of this conjecture: given two  $n$ -planes  $R_1^n, R_2^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and two compact convex hypersurfaces  $\Gamma_i^{n-1}$  of  $R_i^n$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , a nonflat minimal submanifold spanned by  $\Gamma := \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$  is embedded.

In [Fa] Fáry showed that the total curvature of a space curve  $\gamma$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is equal to the average over all 2-planes  $R^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  of the total curvature of the orthogonal projection of  $\gamma$  onto the  $R^2$ . We shall use an extension of Fáry's theorem, due to Langevin and Shifrin [LS], which shows that given an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional submanifold  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , the total absolute curvature of  $\Gamma$  equals the average over all  $n$ -planes  $R^n \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  of the total absolute curvature of the orthogonal projection of  $\Gamma$  into the  $n$ -plane  $R^n$ .

## 2. Total absolute curvature

Consider a submanifold  $M^n$  of Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . As discussed above, in high dimension and codimension we discuss two types of total curvature: one intrinsic (Allendörfer-Weil-Chern-Gauss-Bonnet), and one extrinsic (Chern-Lashof). In this section we shall review Chern-Lashof's total absolute curvature. This total curvature may be understood in terms of Gauss-Kronecker curvature of hypersurfaces.

Let  $M^n$  be an oriented hypersurface immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . A unit normal vector  $\nu$  to  $M$  at  $p \in M$  defines the Gauss map  $G_1 : M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ . The determinant of the differential  $G_1*$ , or of the second fundamental form of  $M$ , is called the *Gauss-Kronecker curvature* of  $M$ , which we shall denote  $GK_M$ . It follows that for  $M$  compact,

$$\int_M GK_M dV_M = c_n \deg(G_1), \quad c_n := \text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^n).$$

Furthermore, if  $n$  is even, H. Hopf [H] showed

$$(1) \quad \int_M GK_M dV_M = \frac{1}{2} c_n \chi(M).$$

Now let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . The volume form of the unit normal bundle  $N_1 M$  of  $M$  is  $dV_M \wedge d\sigma_{m-n-1}$  where the restriction of  $d\sigma_{m-n-1}$  to a fiber of  $N_1 M$  at  $p$  is the volume form of the sphere of unit normal vectors at  $p \in M$ . Define the Gauss map  $G_1 : N_1 M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$  by  $G_1(p, \nu) = \nu$  and let  $d\sigma_{m-1}$  be the volume form of  $\mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ . Then the *Lipschitz-Killing curvature*  $G(p, \nu)$  of  $M$  at  $(p, \nu)$  is defined to be the scalar  $G(p, \nu)$  such that

$$G_1^*(d\sigma_{m-1}) = G(p, \nu) dV_M \wedge d\sigma_{m-n-1}.$$

Then  $G(p, \nu)$  is exactly the volume expansion ratio of  $G_1$ , that is,

$$G(p, \nu) = \lim_{D \rightarrow \{p\}} \frac{\text{Vol}(G_1(D))}{\text{Vol}(D)},$$

where  $\text{Vol}(G_1(D))$  denotes the signed volume of  $G_1(D)$ . In fact,  $G(p, \nu)$  has the following geometric interpretation [CL]:  $G(p, \nu)$  is equal to the Gauss-Kronecker curvature at  $p$  of the orthogonal projection of  $M$  onto the  $(n+1)$ -dimensional plane  $L(\nu)$  spanned by  $T_p M$  and  $\nu$ .

Let  $\pi$  be the canonical projection of  $N_1 M$  into  $M$ . The integrals

$$\begin{aligned} K(p) &:= \frac{1}{c_{m-1}} \int_{\pi^{-1}(p)} G(p, \nu) d\sigma_{m-n-1} \quad \text{and} \\ K^*(p) &:= \frac{1}{c_{m-1}} \int_{\pi^{-1}(p)} |G(p, \nu)| d\sigma_{m-n-1} \end{aligned}$$

are called the *total curvature* and the *total absolute curvature* of  $M$  at  $p$ , respectively. The integrals

$$\tau(M) := \int_M K dV_M, \quad \text{and} \quad \tau^*(M) := \int_M K^* dV_M$$

are called the *total curvature* and the *total absolute curvature* of  $M$ , respectively. Lipschitz and Killing have shown that  $K(p)$  is an intrinsic quantity of  $M$  at  $p$  for  $n$  even (see [SS] for a more general result). However,  $K(p) = 0$  for  $n$  odd. Both  $\tau(M)$  and  $\tau^*(M)$  remain unchanged even if the ambient space  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is embedded into  $\mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $k > m$ .

For  $M^n \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ , Fenchel [F2] generalized Hopf's theorem (1):

$$(2) \quad \int_M K dV_M = \chi(M).$$

In contrast, Chern and Lashof [CL] proved that

$$(3) \quad \int_M K^* dV_M \geq 2,$$

with equality if and only if  $M$  is a convex hypersurface in an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional plane, and that if  $\int_M K^* dV_M < 3$  then  $M$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{S}^n$ . Moreover, Morse theory tells us that

$$\int_M K^* dV_M \geq \sum_i \beta_i,$$

where  $\beta_i$  is the  $i$ -th Betti number of  $M$  ([W], Theorem 28).

### 3. Vision angle versus average density

A minimal submanifold  $\Sigma^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  has the remarkable property that the density of  $\Sigma$  at  $p \in \Sigma$  is bounded above by that of the cone  $C = p \times \partial\Sigma$  at its vertex  $p$ . (We assume that  $\Sigma$  with its boundary is compact.) Recall that the *density* of  $\Sigma$  is defined as

$$\Theta_\Sigma(p) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Vol}(\Sigma \cap B_r^m(p))}{\text{Vol}(B_r^n(p))}.$$

Further, the density of a cone  $C$  has the interesting property that it equals the average of the densities of the orthogonal projections of  $C$  onto  $n$ -planes in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . These properties will be verified in this section.

In what follows, we shall write  $\bar{\nabla}$  for the Euclidean connection on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $\nabla = \nabla_M$  for the induced connection on a submanifold  $M$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be an  $n$ -dimensional minimal submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $p$  a point of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $C$  an  $n$ -dimensional piecewise smooth cone with vertex  $p$ . Define the Euclidean distance function  $r(x) = \text{dist}(p, x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Let  $Y_1 = r\bar{\nabla}r$  and  $Y_2 = r^{1-n}\bar{\nabla}r$ , and define  $\text{div}_\Sigma Y_i = \text{tr}_\Sigma \bar{\nabla} Y_i = \sum_j \langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_j} Y_i, e_j \rangle$ ,  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  being an orthonormal frame of  $\Sigma$ . Then*

- (a) *On  $\Sigma$ ,  $\text{div}_\Sigma Y_1 = n$  and  $\text{div}_\Sigma Y_2 \geq 0$ ;*
- (b) *On  $C$ ,  $\text{div}_C Y_1 = n$  and  $\text{div}_C Y_2 = 0$ .*

We require that  $C$  be piecewise smooth, that is, a topological manifold which has a triangulation into simplices that are  $C^2$  up to their boundaries.

*Proof.* Given an  $n$ -dimensional submanifold  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ , it is well known that

$$\Delta_M x := (\Delta_M x_1, \dots, \Delta_M x_m) = \vec{H},$$

where  $\vec{H}$  is the mean curvature vector of  $M$ , the trace of its second fundamental form. Hence the orthogonal coordinate functions  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  are harmonic on a minimal submanifold  $\Sigma^n$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . If we take  $p$  as the origin, then since  $\vec{H} = 0$  on  $\Sigma$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_\Sigma(Y_1) &= \operatorname{div}_\Sigma(r\bar{\nabla}r) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_\Sigma r^2 + \langle r\bar{\nabla}r, \vec{H} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum \Delta_\Sigma x_i^2 = \sum x_i \Delta_\Sigma x_i + \sum |\nabla x_i|^2 = n. \end{aligned}$$

On the cone  $C$ , since  $\vec{H}$  is perpendicular to  $r\bar{\nabla}r = x \in C$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_C(Y_1) &= \operatorname{div}_C(r\bar{\nabla}r) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_C r^2 + \langle r\bar{\nabla}r, \vec{H} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum \Delta_C x_i^2 = \langle x, \vec{H} \rangle + \sum |\nabla x_i|^2 = n. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for  $M = \Sigma$  or  $C$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_M Y_2 &= \operatorname{div}_M(r^{-n}Y_1) = -nr^{-n-1}\langle \nabla r, Y_1 \rangle + r^{-n}\operatorname{div}_M(Y_1) \\ &= nr^{-n}(-|\nabla r|^2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $|\nabla r| \leq 1$  on  $M = \Sigma$  and  $|\nabla r| \equiv 1$  on  $M = C$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a stationary  $n$ -rectifiable set with boundary  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , an open dense subset of  $\Sigma$  being a smooth minimal submanifold. Let  $C$  be the cone  $p \bowtie \Gamma$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then*

$$\Theta_\Sigma(p) \leq \Theta_C(p),$$

with equality if and only if  $\Sigma = C$  and  $C$  is star-shaped with respect to  $p$ .

*Proof.* Compute the first variation of volume with respect to the (Lipschitz continuous) variation vector field

$$Y := r^{1-n} \bar{\nabla} r \quad \text{for } r \geq \varepsilon$$

and

$$Y := \varepsilon^{-n} r \bar{\nabla} r \quad \text{for } r \leq \varepsilon.$$

Then the first variation of  $\Sigma$  with respect to the flow with velocity field  $Y$  [Si, p. 80] is

$$\int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{div}_{\Sigma} Y \, dV_{\Sigma},$$

which must equal

$$\int_{\Gamma} \langle Y, \nu_{\Sigma} \rangle \, dV_{\Gamma},$$

where  $\nu_{\Sigma}$  is the outward unit normal vector to  $\Gamma$  tangent to  $\Sigma$ .

Computing the divergence on smooth subsets of the stationary set  $\Sigma$ , we find by Lemma 1 (a)

$$(4) \quad \operatorname{div}_{\Sigma} Y \geq 0 \quad \text{for } r \geq \varepsilon,$$

with equality at points where  $\bar{\nabla} r$  lies in the tangent space, and

$$\operatorname{div}_{\Sigma} Y = n\varepsilon^{-n} \quad \text{for } r \leq \varepsilon.$$

It follows that for each small  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$(5) \quad \frac{\operatorname{Vol}(\Sigma \cap B_{\varepsilon}(p))}{|B_1^n|\varepsilon^n} \leq \frac{1}{n|B_1^n|} \int_{\Gamma} r^{1-n} \langle \bar{\nabla} r, \nu_{\Sigma} \rangle \, dV_{\Gamma}, \quad |B_1^n| := \operatorname{Vol}(B_1^n(0)).$$

Now apply Stokes' theorem to the integral of  $\operatorname{div}_C Y$  on  $C$ :

$$\int_C \operatorname{div}_C Y \, dV_C = \int_{\partial C} \langle Y, \nu_C \rangle = \int_{\Gamma} \langle Y, \nu_C \rangle,$$

where  $\nu_C$  is the outward unit conormal to  $\Gamma$  on  $C$ . Therefore, by Lemma 1(b)

$$(6) \quad \frac{\operatorname{Vol}(C \cap B_{\varepsilon}(p))}{|B_1^n|\varepsilon^n} = \frac{1}{n|B_1^n|} \int_{\Gamma} r^{1-n} \langle \bar{\nabla} r, \nu_C \rangle \, dV_{\Gamma}.$$

Note here that

$$0 \leq \langle \bar{\nabla} r, \nu_C \rangle$$

and

$$(7) \quad \langle \bar{\nabla} r, \nu_\Sigma \rangle \leq \langle \bar{\nabla} r, \nu_C \rangle.$$

Thus, letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in inequality (5) and equation (6), we get the desired density estimate. If equality holds, then we must have equality in inequalities (4) and (7), which implies  $\Sigma = C$  and  $\partial r / \partial \nu \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.** Let  $\pi_p$  be the radial projection of  $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{p\}$  onto  $\partial B_1(p)$ , the unit sphere centered at  $p \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Define the *vision angle* at  $p$  of an  $(n-1)$ -rectifiable set  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  by

$$\Pi_p(\Gamma) = \text{Vol}(\pi_p(\Gamma)),$$

and the *vision angle* of  $\Gamma$  by

$$\Pi(\Gamma) = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{R}^m} \Pi_p(\Gamma).$$

Here the volume  $\text{Vol}(\pi_p(\Gamma))$  counts multiplicity.

Clearly we have for any  $p \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $C := p \times \Gamma$

$$c_{n-1} \Theta_C(p) = \Pi_p(\Gamma^{n-1}) \leq \Pi(\Gamma), \quad c_{n-1} := \text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}),$$

and hence we get the following corollaries to Theorem 1.

**Corollary 1.** *If  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional compact manifold, then any stationary rectifiable set  $\Sigma$  spanning  $\Gamma$  satisfies*

$$c_{n-1} \Theta_\Sigma(p) \leq \Pi_p(\Gamma)$$

for all  $p \in \Sigma$ .

**Corollary 2.** *If  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional compact manifold with  $\Pi(\Gamma) < 2c_{n-1}$ , then any immersed minimal submanifold  $\Sigma$  spanning  $\Gamma$  is embedded.*

*Proof.* An immersed submanifold  $\Sigma$  with density  $\Theta_\Sigma(q) < 2$  at each point  $q \in \mathbb{R}^m$  has no self-intersection.  $\square$

**Remark.** It may appear inappropriate to view  $\Pi(\Gamma)$  as a total curvature. But it has its own merit, as the following example demonstrates. Define an

immersed closed  $C^1$  curve  $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  (the unit square plus four small loops at the corners) by

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma &= \partial([-1, 1]^2) \cup \{(x, y) : |x| > 1, |y| > 1, \\ &\quad [(|x| - 1)^2 + (|y| - 1)^2]^{3/2} = \varepsilon(|x| - 1)(|y| - 1)\}\end{aligned}$$

and define a Jordan curve  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  to be an embedded  $C^2$  curve  $C^1$ -close to  $\gamma$ . Then for small  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$\int_{\Gamma} |\vec{k}| ds > 6\pi, \quad \text{however,} \quad \Pi(\Gamma) \approx 3\pi.$$

Hence by Corollary 2 any immersed minimal surface  $\Sigma$  spanning  $\Gamma$  is embedded since  $2c_1 = 4\pi$ , although the Ekholm-White-Wienholtz theorem [EWW] cannot give the same conclusion.

Let  $G_n(\mathbb{R}^m)$  denote the *Grassmann manifold* of  $n$ -planes through the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , equipped with the unique  $\mathbb{O}(m)$ -invariant probability measure, and let  $\text{Ave}_{P \in G_n(\mathbb{R}^m)}$  be the average over all  $P \in G_n(\mathbb{R}^m)$ . Denote by  $\psi_P$  the orthogonal projection of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  onto  $P \in G_n(\mathbb{R}^m)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  be the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  centered at the origin  $O$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and let  $D$  be a domain in  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Then*

$$\text{Ave}_{P \in G_n(\mathbb{R}^m)} \{\Theta_{\psi_P(O \times D)}(O)\} = \Theta_{O \times D}(O).$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $a(D) > 0$  is a positive real number such that

$$(8) \quad \text{Ave}_{P \in G_n(\mathbb{R}^m)} \{\Theta_{\psi_P(O \times D)}(O)\} = a(D) \cdot \Theta_{O \times D}(O).$$

Letting  $D$  shrink to a point  $x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , one can define a function  $a : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$a(x) := \lim_{D \rightarrow \{x\}} \frac{\text{Ave}_{P \in G_n(\mathbb{R}^m)} \{\Theta_{\psi_P(O \times D)}(O)\}}{\Theta_{O \times D}(O)}.$$

Then, by means of a partition of unity by functions of small support, one can see that

$$a(D) = \frac{\int_D a(x) dV_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}}{\text{Vol}(D)}.$$

Note here that  $\mathbb{O}(n)$  is transitive on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and that the elements of  $\mathbb{O}(n)$  preserve the volume form  $dV_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Therefore one concludes that for

all  $x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ ,

$$a(x) \equiv c \quad \text{for a positive constant } c$$

and hence for any domain  $D \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ ,

$$a(D) \equiv c.$$

Therefore it follows from equation (8) that

$$(9) \quad \text{Ave}_{P \in G_n(\mathbb{R}^m)} \{ \Theta_{\psi_P(O \times D)}(O) \} = c \cdot \Theta_{O \times D}(O)$$

for any domain  $D \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . However, for almost all  $P \in G_n(\mathbb{R}^m)$ ,

$$\Theta_{\psi_P(O \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})}(O) = \Theta_{O \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(O) = 1.$$

Thus  $c = 1$  in equation (9), which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\Gamma^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  be a compact submanifold. Then*

$$\Pi_q(\Gamma^{n-1}) = \text{Ave}_{P \in G_n(\mathbb{R}^m)} \{ \Pi_{\psi_P(q)}(\psi_P(\Gamma)) \}.$$

*Proof.* The cone  $q \times \Gamma$  can be thought of as a union of infinitesimal cones  $q \times \Delta\Gamma_i$  and then one can apply Lemma 2 to each  $q \times \Delta\Gamma_i$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_q(\Gamma) &= c_{n-1} \Theta_{q \times \Gamma}(q) \\ &= c_{n-1} \text{Ave}_{P \in G_n(\mathbb{R}^m)} \{ \Theta_{\psi_P(q \times \Gamma)}(\psi_P(q)) \} \\ &= \text{Ave}_{P \in G_n(\mathbb{R}^m)} \{ \Pi_{\psi_P(q)}(\psi_P(\Gamma)) \}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

We shall also require the following generalization of Fáry's theorem to any dimension  $n$  and to any codimension  $m - n$ , which was proved by Langevin and Shifrin ([LS], Proposition 2.15):

**Theorem LS.** *Let  $\Gamma^{n-1}$  be a smooth submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $m \geq n$ . Then*

$$\frac{c_{n-1}}{2} \int_{\Gamma} K^* dV_{\Gamma} = \text{Ave}_{P \in G_n(\mathbb{R}^m)} \int_{\psi_P(\Gamma)} |GK_{\psi_P(\Gamma)}| dV_{\psi_P(\Gamma)}.$$

#### 4. Embeddedness of minimal submanifolds

It is tempting to propose a higher-dimensional extension of Ekholm-White-Wienholtz's theorem as follows:

**Conjecture.** If  $q \in \Sigma$ , a minimal submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  spanning an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional compact manifold  $\Gamma$ , then

$$\Theta_{\Sigma}(q) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} K^* dV_{\Gamma}.$$

If this were known, one could prove the following as well:

*If an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional compact connected manifold  $\Gamma$  satisfies  $\int_{\Gamma} K^* dV_{\Gamma} < 4$ , then any immersed minimal submanifold  $\Sigma$  spanning  $\Gamma$  is embedded.*

Conjecture seems to be hard to prove as yet.

However, if we let  $\Gamma_i$  be a compact convex hypersurface of an affine  $n$ -plane  $R_i^n \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and define  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ , then we may prove Conjecture for this case. Our proof uses the vision angle of  $\Gamma$  from a point of  $\Sigma$ , and averages over projections onto all  $n$ -dimensional subspaces  $P$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Namely, for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$(10) \quad \Pi_{\psi_P(q)}(\psi_P(\Gamma_i)) \leq c_{n-1} = \int_{\psi_P(\Gamma_i)} |GK_{\psi_P(\Gamma_i)}| dV_{\psi_P(\Gamma_i)},$$

since  $\psi_P(\Gamma_i)$  is a convex hypersurface in  $\psi_P(R_i^n)$ . Here equality holds for all  $P$  if and only if  $q$  is in  $R_i^n$  and inside  $\Gamma_i$ . Thus we have the following:

**Theorem 3.** *Given two  $n$ -planes  $R_1^n, R_2^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , let  $\Gamma_i$  be a compact convex hypersurface in  $R_i^n$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . If  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ , then any  $n$ -dimensional minimal submanifold  $\Sigma$  spanning  $\Gamma$  is either a union of two flat domains of  $R_i^n$  or is nonflat and has no self intersection.*

*Proof.* We may compute that

$$\int_{\Gamma} K^* dV_{\Gamma} = \sum_{i=1,2} \int_{\Gamma_i} K^* dV_{\Gamma_i} = 4.$$

Thus by inequality (10) and Corollary 1 we have  $\Theta_\Sigma \leq 2$ . If  $\Theta_\Sigma = 2$ , inequality (10) and Corollary 1 imply  $\Sigma$  is flat. If  $\Theta_\Sigma < 2$ ,  $\Sigma$  is nonflat and has no self intersection.  $\square$

**Remark.** It should be mentioned that R. Schoen [Sc] proved a theorem which implies a special case of Theorem 3:

*If  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$  where  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  are  $(n - 1)$ -spheres in parallel  $n$ -planes with the line  $\ell$  joining their centers being orthogonal to these hyperplanes, then any immersed minimal submanifold  $\Sigma^n$  spanning  $\Gamma$  is a hypersurface of revolution with axis  $\ell$ . In particular,  $\Sigma$  is a catenoid or a pair of plane disks.*

## References

- [AW] C. B. Allendörfer and A. Weil, *The Gauss-Bonnet theorem for Riemannian polyhedra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **53** (1943), 101–129.
- [C1] S.-S. Chern, *A simple intrinsic proof of the Gauss-Bonnet formula for closed Riemannian manifolds*, Annals of Math. **45** (1944), 747–752.
- [C2] S.-S. Chern, *On the curvatura integra in a Riemannian manifold*, Annals of Math. **46** (1945), 674–684.
- [CL] S.-S. Chern and R. K. Lashof, *On the total curvature of immersed manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **79** (1957), 306–318.
- [EK] J. Eells and N. Kuiper, *Manifolds which are like projective planes*, Institut des Hautes Etudes Sci. Pub. Maths. **14** (1962), 5–46.
- [EWW] T. Ekholm, B. White, and D. Wienholtz, *Embeddedness of minimal surfaces with total boundary curvature at most  $4\pi$* , Ann. Math. **155** (2002), 109–234.
- [Fa] I. Fáry, *Sur la courbure totale d'une courbe gauche faisant un noeud*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **77** (1949), 128–138.
- [F1] W. Fenchel, *Über Krümmung und Windung geschlossener Raumkurven*, Math. Ann. **101** (1929), 238–252.
- [F2] W. Fenchel, *On total curvature of Riemannian manifolds: I*, J. London Math. Soc. **15** (1940), 15–22.
- [H] H. Hopf, *Über die Curvatura integra geschlossener Hyperflächen*, Math. Ann. **95** (1925), 340–367.

- [LS] R. Langevin and T. Shifrin, *Polar varieties and integral geometry*, Amer. J. Math. **104** (1982), 553–605.
- [M] J. Milnor, *On the total curvature of knots*, Annals of Math. **52** (1950), 248–257.
- [N] J. C. C. Nitsche, *A new uniqueness theorem for minimal surfaces*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **52** (1973), 319–329.
- [Sc] Richard M. Schoen, *Uniqueness, symmetry, and embeddedness of minimal surfaces*, J. Differential Geom. **18** (1983), 791–809.
- [SS] J. A. Schouten and D. J. Struik, *On curvature and invariants of deformation of a  $V_m$  in  $V_n$* , Proc. Kon. Akad. v. Wetensch. Amsterdam **24** (1922), 146–161.
- [Si] L. Simon, *Lectures on geometric measure theory*, Proc. Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Australian National University, Vol. 3, 1983.
- [W] T. J. Willmore, *Total curvature in Riemannian geometry*, Ellis Horwood Series: Mathematics and its Applications, Ellis Horwood Ltd., Chichester; Halsted Press [John Wiley & Sons, Inc.], New York, 1982.

KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY  
 HOEGIRO 85, DONGDAEMUN-GU  
 SEOUL 02455, SOUTH KOREA  
*E-mail address:* choe@kias.re.kr

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA  
 127 VINCENT HALL, 206 CHURCH ST. SE  
 MINNEAPOLIS MN 55455, USA  
*E-mail address:* gulliver@math.umn.edu

RECEIVED JANUARY 12, 2012