# Constructing Pairing-Friendly Elliptic Curves for Cryptography

David Freeman

University of California, Berkeley, USA

2nd KIAS-KMS Summer Workshop on Cryptography

Seoul, Korea 30 June 2007



#### Outline

- Recent Developments
  - Varying the CM Discriminant
  - Curves of Composite Order
  - Hyperelliptic Curves

#### Outline

- Recent Developments
  - Varying the CM Discriminant
  - Curves of Composite Order
  - Hyperelliptic Curves

- Constructing pairing-friendly curves requires solving an equation of the form  $Dy^2 = 4p t^2$ .
- D is the CM discriminant, if D < 10<sup>10</sup>, then we can construct a curve with the desired properties.
- Most constructions of families of pairing-friendly curves fix D = 1, 2, or 3.
- Curves with small CM discriminant often have extra structure (e.g., extra automorphisms) that might be used to aid a future attack on the discrete log problem.
  - No such attack currently known, but we want to think ahead
- For maximum security, want to construct families with variable CM discriminant D.
  - No international standard, but German Information Security Agency requires that class number of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$  be > 200.

- Constructing pairing-friendly curves requires solving an equation of the form  $Dy^2 = 4p t^2$ .
- D is the CM discriminant; if D < 10<sup>10</sup>, then we can construct a curve with the desired properties.
- Most constructions of families of pairing-friendly curves fix D = 1, 2, or 3.
- Curves with small CM discriminant often have extra structure (e.g., extra automorphisms) that might be used to aid a future attack on the discrete log problem.
  - No such attack currently known, but we want to think ahead
- For maximum security, want to construct families with variable CM discriminant D.
  - No international standard, but German Information Security Agency requires that class number of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$  be > 200.

- Constructing pairing-friendly curves requires solving an equation of the form  $Dy^2 = 4p - t^2$ .
- D is the CM discriminant; if  $D < 10^{10}$ , then we can construct a curve with the desired properties.
- Most constructions of families of pairing-friendly curves fix D = 1.2. or 3.
- Curves with small CM discriminant often have extra
- For maximum security, want to construct families with

- Constructing pairing-friendly curves requires solving an equation of the form  $Dy^2 = 4p t^2$ .
- D is the CM discriminant; if D < 10<sup>10</sup>, then we can construct a curve with the desired properties.
- Most constructions of families of pairing-friendly curves fix D = 1, 2, or 3.
- Curves with small CM discriminant often have extra structure (e.g., extra automorphisms) that might be used to aid a future attack on the discrete log problem.
  - No such attack currently known, but we want to think ahead!
- For maximum security, want to construct families with variable CM discriminant D.
  - No international standard, but German Information Security Agency requires that class number of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$  be > 200.

- Constructing pairing-friendly curves requires solving an equation of the form  $Dy^2 = 4p t^2$ .
- D is the CM discriminant; if D < 10<sup>10</sup>, then we can construct a curve with the desired properties.
- Most constructions of families of pairing-friendly curves fix D = 1, 2, or 3.
- Curves with small CM discriminant often have extra structure (e.g., extra automorphisms) that might be used to aid a future attack on the discrete log problem.
  - No such attack currently known, but we want to think ahead!
- For maximum security, want to construct families with variable CM discriminant D.
  - No international standard, but German Information Security Agency requires that class number of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$  be > 200.

- Constructing pairing-friendly curves requires solving an equation of the form  $Dy^2 = 4p t^2$ .
- D is the CM discriminant; if D < 10<sup>10</sup>, then we can construct a curve with the desired properties.
- Most constructions of families of pairing-friendly curves fix D = 1, 2, or 3.
- Curves with small CM discriminant often have extra structure (e.g., extra automorphisms) that might be used to aid a future attack on the discrete log problem.
  - No such attack currently known, but we want to think ahead!
- For maximum security, want to construct families with variable CM discriminant D.
  - No international standard, but German Information Security Agency requires that class number of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$  be > 200.

- Constructing pairing-friendly curves requires solving an equation of the form  $Dy^2 = 4p t^2$ .
- D is the CM discriminant; if D < 10<sup>10</sup>, then we can construct a curve with the desired properties.
- Most constructions of families of pairing-friendly curves fix D = 1, 2, or 3.
- Curves with small CM discriminant often have extra structure (e.g., extra automorphisms) that might be used to aid a future attack on the discrete log problem.
  - No such attack currently known, but we want to think ahead!
- For maximum security, want to construct families with variable CM discriminant D.
  - No international standard, but German Information Security Agency requires that class number of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$  be > 200.

- Recall: complete families of curves constructed by finding t(x), r(x), p(x) satisfying certain conditions.
  - Also y(x) in CM equation  $Dy^2 = 4p t^2$ .
- Theorem (F.-Scott-Teske):
  - Suppose t(x), r(x), p(x) give a family of pairing-friendly elliptic curves with embedding degree k and CM discriminant D.
  - Suppose t(x), r(x), p(x) are even polynomials and the corresponding y(x) is an odd polynomial.
  - Substituting x<sup>2</sup> → ax<sup>2</sup> for any a gives a family with embedding degree k, CM discriminant aD, and the same ρ-value.
- Given a family that satisfies the conditions of the theorem, we can construct curves with nearly arbitrary square-free CM discriminant.

- Recall: complete families of curves constructed by finding t(x), r(x), p(x) satisfying certain conditions.
  - Also y(x) in CM equation  $Dy^2 = 4p t^2$ .
- Theorem (F.-Scott-Teske):
  - Suppose t(x), r(x), p(x) give a family of pairing-friendly elliptic curves with embedding degree k and CM discriminant D.
  - Suppose t(x), r(x), p(x) are even polynomials and the corresponding y(x) is an odd polynomial.
  - Substituting x<sup>2</sup> → ax<sup>2</sup> for any a gives a family with embedding degree k, CM discriminant aD, and the same ρ-value.
- Given a family that satisfies the conditions of the theorem, we can construct curves with nearly arbitrary square-free CM discriminant.



- Recall: complete families of curves constructed by finding t(x), r(x), p(x) satisfying certain conditions.
  - Also y(x) in CM equation  $Dy^2 = 4p t^2$ .
- Theorem (F.-Scott-Teske):
  - Suppose t(x), r(x), p(x) give a family of pairing-friendly elliptic curves with embedding degree k and CM discriminant D.
  - Suppose t(x), r(x), p(x) are even polynomials and the corresponding y(x) is an odd polynomial.
  - Substituting  $x^2 \mapsto ax^2$  for any a gives a family with embedding degree k, CM discriminant aD, and the same  $\rho$ -value.
- Given a family that satisfies the conditions of the theorem, we can construct curves with nearly arbitrary square-free CM discriminant.

- Recall: complete families of curves constructed by finding t(x), r(x), p(x) satisfying certain conditions.
  - Also y(x) in CM equation  $Dy^2 = 4p t^2$ .
- Theorem (F.-Scott-Teske):
  - Suppose t(x), r(x), p(x) give a family of pairing-friendly elliptic curves with embedding degree k and CM discriminant D.
  - Suppose t(x), r(x), p(x) are even polynomials and the corresponding y(x) is an odd polynomial.
  - Substituting  $x^2 \mapsto ax^2$  for any a gives a family with embedding degree k, CM discriminant aD, and the same  $\rho$ -value.
- Given a family that satisfies the conditions of the theorem, we can construct curves with nearly arbitrary square-free CM discriminant.

- Recall: complete families of curves constructed by finding t(x), r(x), p(x) satisfying certain conditions.
  - Also y(x) in CM equation  $Dy^2 = 4p t^2$ .
- Theorem (F.-Scott-Teske):
  - Suppose t(x), r(x), p(x) give a family of pairing-friendly elliptic curves with embedding degree k and CM discriminant D.
  - Suppose t(x), r(x), p(x) are even polynomials and the corresponding y(x) is an odd polynomial.
  - Substituting x<sup>2</sup> → ax<sup>2</sup> for any a gives a family with embedding degree k, CM discriminant aD, and the same ρ-value.
- Given a family that satisfies the conditions of the theorem, we can construct curves with nearly arbitrary square-free CM discriminant.

- Recall: complete families of curves constructed by finding t(x), r(x), p(x) satisfying certain conditions.
  - Also y(x) in CM equation  $Dy^2 = 4p t^2$ .
- Theorem (F.-Scott-Teske):
  - Suppose t(x), r(x), p(x) give a family of pairing-friendly elliptic curves with embedding degree k and CM discriminant D.
  - Suppose t(x), r(x), p(x) are even polynomials and the corresponding y(x) is an odd polynomial.
  - Substituting  $x^2 \mapsto ax^2$  for any a gives a family with embedding degree k, CM discriminant aD, and the same  $\rho$ -value.
- Given a family that satisfies the conditions of the theorem, we can construct curves with nearly arbitrary square-free CM discriminant.

- Recall: complete families of curves constructed by finding t(x), r(x), p(x) satisfying certain conditions.
  - Also y(x) in CM equation  $Dy^2 = 4p t^2$ .
- Theorem (F.-Scott-Teske):
  - Suppose t(x), r(x), p(x) give a family of pairing-friendly elliptic curves with embedding degree k and CM discriminant D.
  - Suppose t(x), r(x), p(x) are even polynomials and the corresponding y(x) is an odd polynomial.
  - Substituting  $x^2 \mapsto ax^2$  for any a gives a family with embedding degree k, CM discriminant aD, and the same  $\rho$ -value.
- Given a family that satisfies the conditions of the theorem, we can construct curves with nearly arbitrary square-free CM discriminant.

- Brezing-Weng families with embedding degree k and 2k, k odd.
  - $\rho = (k+2)/\varphi(k)$ , or (k+2)/(k-1) for prime k.
- F.-Scott-Teske families with embedding degree k ( $k \equiv 3 \mod 4$ ) or 2k ( $k \equiv 1 \mod 4$ ).
  - $\rho = (k+1)/\varphi(k)$ , or (k+1)/(k-1) for prime k.
- F.-Scott-Teske families with 3 | k, 8 ∤ k, k ≥ 18.
  p often close to 2: only even CM discriminants.
- Scott-Barreto families.
  - Doesn't make use of Theorem; D a parameter in the construction.
- Conclusion: variable discriminant families exist for every k with  $gcd(k, 24) \in \{1, 2, 3, 6, 12\}$ .



- Brezing-Weng families with embedding degree k and 2k, k odd.
  - $\rho = (k+2)/\varphi(k)$ , or (k+2)/(k-1) for prime k.
- F.-Scott-Teske families with embedding degree k ( $k \equiv 3 \mod 4$ ) or 2k ( $k \equiv 1 \mod 4$ ).
  - $\rho = (k+1)/\varphi(k)$ , or (k+1)/(k-1) for prime k.
- F.-Scott-Teske families with 3 | k, 8 ∤ k, k ≥ 18.
  p often close to 2; only even CM discriminants.
- Scott-Barreto families.
  - Doesn't make use of Theorem; D a parameter in the construction.
- Conclusion: variable discriminant families exist for every k with  $gcd(k, 24) \in \{1, 2, 3, 6, 12\}$ .



- Brezing-Weng families with embedding degree k and 2k, k odd.
  - $\rho = (k+2)/\varphi(k)$ , or (k+2)/(k-1) for prime k.
- F.-Scott-Teske families with embedding degree k ( $k \equiv 3 \mod 4$ ) or 2k ( $k \equiv 1 \mod 4$ ).
  - $\rho = (k+1)/\varphi(k)$ , or (k+1)/(k-1) for prime k.
- F.-Scott-Teske families with 3 | k, 8 ∤ k, k ≥ 18.
  ρ often close to 2; only even CM discriminants.
- Scott-Barreto families.
  - Doesn't make use of Theorem; D a parameter in the construction.
- Conclusion: variable discriminant families exist for every k with  $gcd(k, 24) \in \{1, 2, 3, 6, 12\}$ .



- Brezing-Weng families with embedding degree k and 2k, k odd.
  - $\rho = (k+2)/\varphi(k)$ , or (k+2)/(k-1) for prime k.
- F.-Scott-Teske families with embedding degree k ( $k \equiv 3 \mod 4$ ) or 2k ( $k \equiv 1 \mod 4$ ).
  - $\rho = (k+1)/\varphi(k)$ , or (k+1)/(k-1) for prime k.
- F.-Scott-Teske families with 3 | k, 8 ∤ k, k ≥ 18.
  ρ often close to 2; only even CM discriminants.
- Scott-Barreto families.
  - Doesn't make use of Theorem; D a parameter in the construction.
- Conclusion: variable discriminant families exist for every k with  $gcd(k, 24) \in \{1, 2, 3, 6, 12\}$ .



- Brezing-Weng families with embedding degree k and 2k, k odd.
  - $\rho = (k+2)/\varphi(k)$ , or (k+2)/(k-1) for prime k.
- F.-Scott-Teske families with embedding degree k ( $k \equiv 3 \mod 4$ ) or 2k ( $k \equiv 1 \mod 4$ ).
  - $\rho = (k+1)/\varphi(k)$ , or (k+1)/(k-1) for prime k.
- F.-Scott-Teske families with  $3 \mid k, 8 \nmid k, k \geq 18$ .
  - $\rho$  often close to 2; only even CM discriminants.
- Scott-Barreto families.
  - Doesn't make use of Theorem; D a parameter in the construction.
- Conclusion: variable discriminant families exist for every k with  $gcd(k, 24) \in \{1, 2, 3, 6, 12\}$ .



- Brezing-Weng families with embedding degree k and 2k, k odd.
  - $\rho = (k+2)/\varphi(k)$ , or (k+2)/(k-1) for prime k.
- F.-Scott-Teske families with embedding degree k ( $k \equiv 3 \mod 4$ ) or 2k ( $k \equiv 1 \mod 4$ ).
  - $\rho = (k+1)/\varphi(k)$ , or (k+1)/(k-1) for prime k.
- F.-Scott-Teske families with  $3 \mid k, 8 \nmid k, k \geq 18$ .
  - $\bullet$   $\rho$  often close to 2; only even CM discriminants.
- Scott-Barreto families.
  - Doesn't make use of Theorem; D a parameter in the construction.
- Conclusion: variable discriminant families exist for every k with  $gcd(k, 24) \in \{1, 2, 3, 6, 12\}$ .



- Brezing-Weng families with embedding degree k and 2k, k odd.
  - $\rho = (k+2)/\varphi(k)$ , or (k+2)/(k-1) for prime k.
- F.-Scott-Teske families with embedding degree k ( $k \equiv 3 \mod 4$ ) or 2k ( $k \equiv 1 \mod 4$ ).
  - $\rho = (k+1)/\varphi(k)$ , or (k+1)/(k-1) for prime k.
- F.-Scott-Teske families with  $3 \mid k, 8 \nmid k, k \geq 18$ .
  - $\rho$  often close to 2; only even CM discriminants.
- Scott-Barreto families.
  - Doesn't make use of Theorem; D a parameter in the construction.
- Conclusion: variable discriminant families exist for every k with  $gcd(k, 24) \in \{1, 2, 3, 6, 12\}$ .



- Brezing-Weng families with embedding degree k and 2k, k odd.
  - $\rho = (k+2)/\varphi(k)$ , or (k+2)/(k-1) for prime k.
- F.-Scott-Teske families with embedding degree k ( $k \equiv 3 \mod 4$ ) or 2k ( $k \equiv 1 \mod 4$ ).
  - $\rho = (k+1)/\varphi(k)$ , or (k+1)/(k-1) for prime k.
- F.-Scott-Teske families with  $3 \mid k, 8 \nmid k, k \geq 18$ .
  - $\rho$  often close to 2; only even CM discriminants.
- Scott-Barreto families.
  - Doesn't make use of Theorem; D a parameter in the construction.
- Conclusion: variable discriminant families exist for every k with  $gcd(k, 24) \in \{1, 2, 3, 6, 12\}$ .



- Brezing-Weng families with embedding degree k and 2k, k odd.
  - $\rho = (k+2)/\varphi(k)$ , or (k+2)/(k-1) for prime k.
- F.-Scott-Teske families with embedding degree k ( $k \equiv 3 \mod 4$ ) or 2k ( $k \equiv 1 \mod 4$ ).
  - $\rho = (k+1)/\varphi(k)$ , or (k+1)/(k-1) for prime k.
- F.-Scott-Teske families with  $3 \mid k, 8 \nmid k, k \geq 18$ .
  - $\rho$  often close to 2; only even CM discriminants.
- Scott-Barreto families.
  - Doesn't make use of Theorem; D a parameter in the construction.
- Conclusion: variable discriminant families exist for every k with  $gcd(k, 24) \in \{1, 2, 3, 6, 12\}$ .



#### Outline

- Recent Developments
  - Varying the CM Discriminant
  - Curves of Composite Order
  - Hyperelliptic Curves

- Many recent protocols require curves to be pairing-friendly with respect to a subgroup of composite order  $r = r_1 r_2$  that is infeasible to factor (e.g., r is an RSA modulus).
- Security of protocols relies on factoring, not discrete log problem.
- Factoring an integer of size r takes roughly the same amount of time as discrete log in a finite field of size r.
- Conclude: for maximum efficiency, want to minimize  $\rho \cdot k =$  ratio of field size to subgroup size.

- Many recent protocols require curves to be pairing-friendly with respect to a subgroup of composite order  $r = r_1 r_2$  that is infeasible to factor (e.g., r is an RSA modulus).
- Security of protocols relies on factoring, not discrete log problem.
- Factoring an integer of size r takes roughly the same amount of time as discrete log in a finite field of size r.
- Conclude: for maximum efficiency, want to minimize  $\rho \cdot k =$  ratio of field size to subgroup size.

- Many recent protocols require curves to be pairing-friendly with respect to a subgroup of composite order  $r = r_1 r_2$  that is infeasible to factor (e.g., r is an RSA modulus).
- Security of protocols relies on factoring, not discrete log problem.
- Factoring an integer of size r takes roughly the same amount of time as discrete log in a finite field of size r.
- Conclude: for maximum efficiency, want to minimize  $\rho \cdot k =$  ratio of field size to subgroup size.

- Many recent protocols require curves to be pairing-friendly with respect to a subgroup of composite order  $r = r_1 r_2$  that is infeasible to factor (e.g., r is an RSA modulus).
- Security of protocols relies on factoring, not discrete log problem.
- Factoring an integer of size r takes roughly the same amount of time as discrete log in a finite field of size r.
- Conclude: for maximum efficiency, want to minimize  $\rho \cdot k =$  ratio of field size to subgroup size.

- Want to minimize  $\rho \cdot k$ ; theoretical minimum is 2.
- Two options with  $\rho \cdot k = 2$ :
  - Supersingular curves over prime fields (Boneh-Goh-Nissim): k = 2,  $\rho = 1$ .
  - Cocks-Pinch method with Chinese Remainder Theorem (Rubin-Silverberg): k = 1,  $\rho = 2$ .
- Supersingular curves have slight advantage due to implementation improvements for even k.

- Want to minimize  $\rho \cdot k$ ; theoretical minimum is 2.
- Two options with  $\rho \cdot k = 2$ :
  - Supersingular curves over prime fields (Boneh-Goh-Nissim): k = 2,  $\rho = 1$ .
  - Cocks-Pinch method with Chinese Remainder Theorem (Rubin-Silverberg): k = 1,  $\rho = 2$ .
- Supersingular curves have slight advantage due to implementation improvements for even k.

- Want to minimize  $\rho \cdot k$ ; theoretical minimum is 2.
- Two options with  $\rho \cdot k = 2$ :
  - Supersingular curves over prime fields (Boneh-Goh-Nissim): k = 2,  $\rho = 1$ .
  - Cocks-Pinch method with Chinese Remainder Theorem (Rubin-Silverberg): k = 1,  $\rho = 2$ .
- Supersingular curves have slight advantage due to implementation improvements for even k.

- Want to minimize  $\rho \cdot k$ ; theoretical minimum is 2.
- Two options with  $\rho \cdot k = 2$ :
  - Supersingular curves over prime fields (Boneh-Goh-Nissim): k = 2,  $\rho = 1$ .
  - Cocks-Pinch method with Chinese Remainder Theorem (Rubin-Silverberg): k = 1,  $\rho = 2$ .
- Supersingular curves have slight advantage due to implementation improvements for even k.

- Want to minimize  $\rho \cdot k$ ; theoretical minimum is 2.
- Two options with  $\rho \cdot k = 2$ :
  - Supersingular curves over prime fields (Boneh-Goh-Nissim): k = 2,  $\rho = 1$ .
  - Cocks-Pinch method with Chinese Remainder Theorem (Rubin-Silverberg): k = 1,  $\rho = 2$ .
- Supersingular curves have slight advantage due to implementation improvements for even k.

#### Outline

- Recent Developments
  - Varying the CM Discriminant
  - Curves of Composite Order
  - Hyperelliptic Curves

- A hyperelliptic curve C of genus g is given by  $y^2 = f(x)$ , where deg f = 2g + 1.
  - Elliptic curves have genus 1.
- There is no group law on C, but there is a group law on the Jacobian of C, Jac(C).
  - Jac(C) is a g-dimensional abelian variety.
  - Can think of Jac(C) as g-tuples of points on C
  - Efficient group law algorithm given by Cantor.
- The Weil and Tate pairings exist on Jac(C) and have the same properties as on elliptic curves.
- Thus we can search for pairing-friendly hyperelliptic curves, whose Jacobians have large prime-order subgroup and small embedding degree.

- A hyperelliptic curve C of genus g is given by  $y^2 = f(x)$ , where deg f = 2g + 1.
  - Elliptic curves have genus 1.
- There is no group law on C, but there is a group law on the Jacobian of C, Jac(C).
  - Jac(C) is a g-dimensional abelian variety.
  - Can think of Jac(C) as g-tuples of points on C
  - Efficient group law algorithm given by Cantor.
- The Weil and Tate pairings exist on Jac(C) and have the same properties as on elliptic curves.
- Thus we can search for pairing-friendly hyperelliptic curves, whose Jacobians have large prime-order subgroup and small embedding degree.

- A hyperelliptic curve C of genus g is given by  $y^2 = f(x)$ , where deg f = 2g + 1.
  - Elliptic curves have genus 1.
- There is no group law on C, but there is a group law on the Jacobian of C, Jac(C).
  - Jac(C) is a g-dimensional abelian variety.
  - Can think of Jac(C) as g-tuples of points on C.
  - Efficient group law algorithm given by Cantor.
- The Weil and Tate pairings exist on Jac(C) and have the same properties as on elliptic curves.
- Thus we can search for pairing-friendly hyperelliptic curves, whose Jacobians have large prime-order subgroup and small embedding degree.



- A hyperelliptic curve C of genus g is given by  $y^2 = f(x)$ , where deg f = 2g + 1.
  - Elliptic curves have genus 1.
- There is no group law on C, but there is a group law on the Jacobian of C, Jac(C).
  - Jac(C) is a g-dimensional abelian variety.
  - Can think of Jac(C) as g-tuples of points on C.
  - Efficient group law algorithm given by Cantor.
- The Weil and Tate pairings exist on Jac(C) and have the same properties as on elliptic curves.
- Thus we can search for pairing-friendly hyperelliptic curves, whose Jacobians have large prime-order subgroup and small embedding degree.

- A hyperelliptic curve C of genus g is given by  $y^2 = f(x)$ , where deg f = 2g + 1.
  - Elliptic curves have genus 1.
- There is no group law on C, but there is a group law on the Jacobian of C, Jac(C).
  - Jac(C) is a g-dimensional abelian variety.
  - Can think of Jac(C) as g-tuples of points on C.
  - Efficient group law algorithm given by Cantor.
- The Weil and Tate pairings exist on Jac(C) and have the same properties as on elliptic curves.
- Thus we can search for pairing-friendly hyperelliptic curves, whose Jacobians have large prime-order subgroup and small embedding degree.

- A hyperelliptic curve C of genus g is given by  $y^2 = f(x)$ , where deg f = 2g + 1.
  - Elliptic curves have genus 1.
- There is no group law on C, but there is a group law on the Jacobian of C, Jac(C).
  - Jac(C) is a g-dimensional abelian variety.
  - Can think of Jac(C) as g-tuples of points on C.
  - Efficient group law algorithm given by Cantor.
- The Weil and Tate pairings exist on Jac(C) and have the same properties as on elliptic curves.
- Thus we can search for pairing-friendly hyperelliptic curves, whose Jacobians have large prime-order subgroup and small embedding degree.

- A hyperelliptic curve C of genus g is given by  $y^2 = f(x)$ , where deg f = 2g + 1.
  - Elliptic curves have genus 1.
- There is no group law on C, but there is a group law on the Jacobian of C, Jac(C).
  - Jac(C) is a g-dimensional abelian variety.
  - Can think of Jac(C) as g-tuples of points on C.
  - Efficient group law algorithm given by Cantor.
- The Weil and Tate pairings exist on Jac(C) and have the same properties as on elliptic curves.
- Thus we can search for pairing-friendly hyperelliptic curves, whose Jacobians have large prime-order subgroup and small embedding degree.



- A hyperelliptic curve C of genus g is given by  $y^2 = f(x)$ , where deg f = 2g + 1.
  - Elliptic curves have genus 1.
- There is no group law on C, but there is a group law on the Jacobian of C, Jac(C).
  - Jac(C) is a g-dimensional abelian variety.
  - Can think of Jac(C) as g-tuples of points on C.
  - Efficient group law algorithm given by Cantor.
- The Weil and Tate pairings exist on Jac(C) and have the same properties as on elliptic curves.
- Thus we can search for pairing-friendly hyperelliptic curves, whose Jacobians have large prime-order subgroup and small embedding degree.

- Jac(C) is supersingular if there is a map from Jac(C) to a product of supersingular elliptic curves.
- Rubin-Silverberg: showed all curves *C* with supersingular Jacobians are pairing-friendly.
  - Gave upper bound on k for all a
  - Gave sharp bound on k for  $g \leq 6$ .
- Cardona-Nart: gave explicit formulas for embedding degree when C has genus 2.
- Possible embedding degrees (and thus security levels) always limited.
  - For more flexibility, must use non-supersingular varieties.

- Jac(C) is supersingular if there is a map from Jac(C) to a product of supersingular elliptic curves.
- Rubin-Silverberg: showed all curves C with supersingular Jacobians are pairing-friendly.
  - Gave upper bound on k for all g.
  - Gave sharp bound on k for  $g \le 6$ .
- Cardona-Nart: gave explicit formulas for embedding degree when C has genus 2.
- Possible embedding degrees (and thus security levels) always limited.
  - For more flexibility, must use non-supersingular varieties



- Jac(C) is supersingular if there is a map from Jac(C) to a product of supersingular elliptic curves.
- Rubin-Silverberg: showed all curves C with supersingular Jacobians are pairing-friendly.
  - Gave upper bound on k for all g.
  - Gave sharp bound on k for  $g \le 6$ .
- Cardona-Nart: gave explicit formulas for embedding degree when C has genus 2.
- Possible embedding degrees (and thus security levels) always limited.
  - For more flexibility, must use non-supersingular varieties.



- Jac(C) is supersingular if there is a map from Jac(C) to a product of supersingular elliptic curves.
- Rubin-Silverberg: showed all curves C with supersingular Jacobians are pairing-friendly.
  - Gave upper bound on k for all g.
  - Gave sharp bound on k for  $g \le 6$ .
- Cardona-Nart: gave explicit formulas for embedding degree when C has genus 2.
- Possible embedding degrees (and thus security levels) always limited.
  - For more flexibility, must use non-supersingular varieties.

- Jac(C) is supersingular if there is a map from Jac(C) to a product of supersingular elliptic curves.
- Rubin-Silverberg: showed all curves C with supersingular Jacobians are pairing-friendly.
  - Gave upper bound on k for all g.
  - Gave sharp bound on k for  $g \le 6$ .
- Cardona-Nart: gave explicit formulas for embedding degree when C has genus 2.
- Possible embedding degrees (and thus security levels) always limited.
  - For more flexibility, must use non-supersingular varieties.

- Jac(C) is supersingular if there is a map from Jac(C) to a product of supersingular elliptic curves.
- Rubin-Silverberg: showed all curves C with supersingular Jacobians are pairing-friendly.
  - Gave upper bound on k for all g.
  - Gave sharp bound on k for  $g \le 6$ .
- Cardona-Nart: gave explicit formulas for embedding degree when C has genus 2.
- Possible embedding degrees (and thus security levels) always limited.
  - For more flexibility, must use non-supersingular varieties.

- Jac(C) is supersingular if there is a map from Jac(C) to a product of supersingular elliptic curves.
- Rubin-Silverberg: showed all curves C with supersingular Jacobians are pairing-friendly.
  - Gave upper bound on k for all g.
  - Gave sharp bound on k for  $g \le 6$ .
- Cardona-Nart: gave explicit formulas for embedding degree when C has genus 2.
- Possible embedding degrees (and thus security levels) always limited.
  - For more flexibility, must use non-supersingular varieties.

- Results only exist for g = 2 (abelian surfaces).
- Galbraith-McKee-Valença: Showed existence of abelian surfaces A over prime fields with k = 5, 10.
- Hitt: Showed existence of abelian surfaces A in characteristic 2 with various k < 50.</li>
- Neither technique gives explicit construction of a pairing-friendly curve C.
- F.: Constructed pairing-friendly curves *C* over prime fields whose Jacobians have arbitrary *k* and subgroup size *r*.
  - Adapts Cocks-Pinch method for elliptic curves.
  - Jac( C) has  $\rho \approx$  8 (quite poor!)
- Open problem: construct non-supersingular pairing-friendly abelian surfaces with  $\rho \leq 2$ .



- Results only exist for g = 2 (abelian surfaces).
- Galbraith-McKee-Valença: Showed existence of abelian surfaces A over prime fields with k = 5, 10.
- Hitt: Showed existence of abelian surfaces A in characteristic 2 with various k < 50.</li>
- Neither technique gives explicit construction of a pairing-friendly curve C.
- F.: Constructed pairing-friendly curves *C* over prime fields whose Jacobians have arbitrary *k* and subgroup size *r*.
  - Adapts Cocks-Pinch method for elliptic curves.
  - Jac(C) has  $\rho \approx 8$  (quite poor!)
- Open problem: construct non-supersingular pairing-friendly abelian surfaces with  $\rho \leq 2$ .



- Results only exist for g = 2 (abelian surfaces).
- Galbraith-McKee-Valença: Showed existence of abelian surfaces A over prime fields with k = 5, 10.
- Hitt: Showed existence of abelian surfaces A in characteristic 2 with various k < 50.</li>
- Neither technique gives explicit construction of a pairing-friendly curve C.
- F.: Constructed pairing-friendly curves *C* over prime fields whose Jacobians have arbitrary *k* and subgroup size *r*.
  - Adapts Cocks-Pinch method for elliptic curves.
    Jac(C) has ρ ≈ 8 (quite poor!)
- Open problem: construct non-supersingular pairing-friendly abelian surfaces with  $\rho \leq 2$ .



- Results only exist for g = 2 (abelian surfaces).
- Galbraith-McKee-Valença: Showed existence of abelian surfaces A over prime fields with k = 5, 10.
- Hitt: Showed existence of abelian surfaces A in characteristic 2 with various k < 50.</li>
- Neither technique gives explicit construction of a pairing-friendly curve C.
- F.: Constructed pairing-friendly curves C over prime fields whose Jacobians have arbitrary k and subgroup size r.
  - Adapts Cocks-Pinch method for elliptic curves.
    Jac(C) has ρ ≈ 8 (quite poor!)
- Open problem: construct non-supersingular pairing-friendly abelian surfaces with  $\rho \leq 2$ .



- Results only exist for g = 2 (abelian surfaces).
- Galbraith-McKee-Valença: Showed existence of abelian surfaces A over prime fields with k = 5, 10.
- Hitt: Showed existence of abelian surfaces A in characteristic 2 with various k < 50.</li>
- Neither technique gives explicit construction of a pairing-friendly curve C.
- F.: Constructed pairing-friendly curves *C* over prime fields whose Jacobians have arbitrary *k* and subgroup size *r*.
  - Adapts Cocks-Pinch method for elliptic curves.
  - Jac(C) has  $\rho \approx 8$  (quite poor!)
- Open problem: construct non-supersingular pairing-friendly abelian surfaces with  $\rho \leq 2$ .



- Results only exist for g = 2 (abelian surfaces).
- Galbraith-McKee-Valença: Showed existence of abelian surfaces A over prime fields with k = 5, 10.
- Hitt: Showed existence of abelian surfaces A in characteristic 2 with various k < 50.</li>
- Neither technique gives explicit construction of a pairing-friendly curve C.
- F.: Constructed pairing-friendly curves *C* over prime fields whose Jacobians have arbitrary *k* and subgroup size *r*.
  - Adapts Cocks-Pinch method for elliptic curves.
  - Jac(C) has  $\rho \approx 8$  (quite poor!)
- Open problem: construct non-supersingular pairing-friendly abelian surfaces with  $\rho \leq 2$ .



- Results only exist for g = 2 (abelian surfaces).
- Galbraith-McKee-Valença: Showed existence of abelian surfaces A over prime fields with k = 5, 10.
- Hitt: Showed existence of abelian surfaces A in characteristic 2 with various k < 50.</li>
- Neither technique gives explicit construction of a pairing-friendly curve C.
- F.: Constructed pairing-friendly curves *C* over prime fields whose Jacobians have arbitrary *k* and subgroup size *r*.
  - Adapts Cocks-Pinch method for elliptic curves.
  - Jac(C) has  $\rho \approx$  8 (quite poor!)
- Open problem: construct non-supersingular pairing-friendly abelian surfaces with  $\rho \leq 2$ .



- Results only exist for g = 2 (abelian surfaces).
- Galbraith-McKee-Valença: Showed existence of abelian surfaces A over prime fields with k = 5, 10.
- Hitt: Showed existence of abelian surfaces A in characteristic 2 with various k < 50.</li>
- Neither technique gives explicit construction of a pairing-friendly curve C.
- F.: Constructed pairing-friendly curves *C* over prime fields whose Jacobians have arbitrary *k* and subgroup size *r*.
  - Adapts Cocks-Pinch method for elliptic curves.
  - Jac(C) has  $\rho \approx$  8 (quite poor!)
- Open problem: construct non-supersingular pairing-friendly abelian surfaces with  $\rho \le 2$ .



#### For Further Information

- See survey article by F.-Scott-Teske, "A Taxonomy of Pairing-Friendly Elliptic Curves"
- Available at http://eprint.iacr.org/2006/372.

#### For Further Information

- See survey article by F.-Scott-Teske, "A Taxonomy of Pairing-Friendly Elliptic Curves"
- Available at http://eprint.iacr.org/2006/372.