Quantum Computation and Simulation with Trapped lons Part

2014, Jul. 7, **OPEN KIAS SCHOOL ON QUANTUM INFORMATION SCIENCE** Kihwan Kim





前着大学交叉信息研究院 Tsinghua University Institute for Interdisciplinary Information Sciences





「 「 「 新 新 ま 大 拿 量 子 信 息 中 心 Tsinghua University Center for Quantum Information

Outline

Introduction

- Why Quantum Computation?
- Current Status of Quantum Computation

Basic Requirements for the Quantum Computation

• Qubit, Initialization, Operation, Detection

Qubit, Initialization, Detection in Ion Trap System

Operation in Trapped Ion System Part I

- Ion Laser Interaction
- Carrier, Red Sideband, Blue Sideband Transition





Number crunche turns 5 Ist electronic computer fet

By Michael Raphael The Associated Press

PHILADELPHIA — It had no could remember only 20 numb time, and filled a room with 50 electricity-sucking gear.

But it could crunch numbers w seemed like blinding speed.

Fifty years ago this week, the E ic Numerical Integrator and C was demonstrated for the first tin University of Pennsylvania.

ENIAC counted to 5,000 in onesecond, shocking the world out of chanical age and into the world ning-quick digital processing.

ENIAC's collection of 8-foot-high gray, cabinets made up the first general-purpose, large-scale, electronic computer.

Until then, "computers" were people using mechanical calculators who needed 12 hours to do what ENIAC did in half a minute. Other electronic machines had been narrower in purpose.

"The electronics people said there were too many vacuum tubes and it would never run. The mathematics people said there were no problems complex enough that computers were needed."

ENIAC will count from 46 to 96. The Postal Service will unveil a stamp commemorating "The Birth of Computing." And Garry Kasparov, the World Chess Federation champion, this week is playing against IBM's "Deep Blue" Computer.

The original assemblage of wires, vacuum tubes, resistor and switches was constructed in about a year and a half at the university's Moore School of Electrical Engineering.

When fully operational, ENIAC filled up a 30-by-50-foot room. Every second it was on, it used enough electricity — 174 kilowatts — to power a typical Philadelphia home for 1¹/₄ weeks.

Costing more than \$486,000, EN-. IAC might never been attempted **CALCULATING MINDS:** J. Presper Eckert, left foreground, and John Mauchly, near pole,

were it not for World War II.

"A lot of people said we were dreaming," said Herman Goldstine, who served as liaison between the Army and ENIAC team.

"The electronics people said there were too many vacuum tubes and it would never run. The mathematics people said there were no problems complex enough that computers were needed."

The Army provided both the complex problems and the money. John Mauchly me of two masterminds behind ENIAC, knew the Army was having a terrible time working out the complicated firing tables to help gun crews aim new artillery being used against German forces.

Each firing table had to list numbers for hundreds of potential trajectories. Calculating a single trajectory could take 40 hours using a mechanical desktop calculator, and 30 minutes using a sophisticated machine called a differential analyzer.

mastermined ENIAC, pictured in undated photo from the University of Pennsylvania Archives.

> Mauchly, then 32, bravely told A1 my officials his machine could do thjob in a matter of minutes.

ENIAC was completed just as the war was ending, too late for those an tillery tables.

However, it fulfilled another military purpose. During test runs in 194 it did millions of calculations on ther monuclear chain reactions, predict ing the destruction that could b caused by the hydrogen bomb.

Development of Computation – Moore's Law







Development of Computation – Moore's Law

We Expect Technology Innovation to Continue







Why Quantum Computer?



The Amount of Information in Quantum System

$$\begin{split} |\Psi\rangle_{\mathsf{reg}} &= \alpha_0 |000\rangle + \alpha_1 |001\rangle + \alpha_2 |010\rangle + \alpha_3 |011\rangle + \\ \alpha_4 |100\rangle + \alpha_5 |101\rangle + \alpha_6 |110\rangle + \alpha_7 |111\rangle \end{split}$$

# bits	classical	quantum mechanical
1	1	0.5208 + 0.7059i, 0.3014 + 0.3736i
2	01	0.2044 + 0.4911i , 0.1732 + 0.3855i, 0.2040 + 0.4890i, 0.3193 + 0.3947i
3	001	0.2583 + 0.2704i, 0.2310 + 0.1150i, 0.2956 + 0.3118i, 0.3558 + 0.2113i, 0.1943 + 0.1377i 0.3273 + 0.2613i, 0.0643 + 0.2033i, 0.3643 + 0.1654i
4	1010	0.1691 + 0.0891i 0.1096 + 0.0828i 0.1420 + 0.2873i 0.0741 + 0.2419i 0.1902 + 0.0448i 0.2495 + 0.0039i 0.1738 + 0.2933i 0.2102 + 0.0653i 0.0686 + 0.0980i 0.1246 + 0.2170i 0.2570 + 0.0933i 0.2234 + 0.1540i 0.1513 + 0.0213i 0.1863 + 0.3243i 0.2606 + 0.1912i 0.0194 + 0.1390i
5	10001	01660+0.14161 0.0103+0.01181 0.0064+0.09761 0.0734+0.07161 0.0030+0.20541 0.0902+0.00351 0.1605+0.18041 0.0218+0.22801 0.0083+0.23281 0.1438+0.18531 0.1429+0.10961 0.0097+0.11711 0.0038+ 0.00391 0.0446+0.15121 0.1379+0.07331 0.0133+0.22531 0.0863+0.17071 0.1483+0.09881 0.1686+0.17461 0.1627+0.06281 0.0197+0.10331 0.1067+0.21831 0.1038+0.16051 0.0830+0.04891 0.0361+0.19711 0.1587+0.14771 0.1642+0.03141 0.1709+0.04871 0.1124+0.14261 0.1303+0.14801 0.0284+0.08701 0.1059+0.13511
6	110101	20583 - 01064 02283 - 01327 0.0829 + 0.04061 0.1080 + 0.0378 0.0593 - 0.1256 0.0015 + 0.0445 0.0524 - 0.1850 0.120 + 0.0345 0.125 - 0.0346 0.185 + 0.0541 0.0847 + 0.0116 0.0823 - 0.05621 0.097 - 0.0284 0.0284 - 0.0541 0.0847 + 0.0116 0.0823 - 0.05621 0.097 - 0.0284 0.0284 - 0.0541 0.0847 + 0.0116 0.0823 - 0.05621 0.097 - 0.0284 0.0284 - 0.0541 0.0847 + 0.0116 0.0823 - 0.0562 0.075 - 0.0284 0.0845 - 0.0541 0.0847 + 0.0116 0.0823 - 0.0756 0.0073 + 0.0284 0.0844 - 0.0541 0.0894 - 0.0541 0.0894 - 0.0541 0.0847 + 0.0116 0.0823 - 0.0756 0.0757 - 0.0056 0.0152 - 0.0284 0.0844 - 0.0514 0.0847 - 0.0284 0.0844 - 0.0541 0.0894 - 0.0541 0.0847 + 0.0116 0.0823 - 0.0756 0.0157 - 0.0284 0.0844 - 0.0541 0.084
7	1001010	00680 - 0.04661 0.1054 - 0.06841 0.0259 - 0.00561 0.0759 + 0.00501 0.0553 - 0.10201 0.1005 - 0.05481 0.0754 + 0.06441 0.02731 0.0455 + 0.04641 0.0274 - 0.0571 0.0255 - 0.04641 0.0274 - 0.0571 0.0255 - 0.04641 0.0274 - 0.0571 0.0255 - 0.04641 0.0774 - 0.0571 0.0255 - 0.04641 0.0774 - 0.0571 0.0255 - 0.04641 0.0774 - 0.0571 0.0255 - 0.04641 0.0774 - 0.0571 0.0255 - 0.04641 0.0774 - 0.0571 0.0255 - 0.04641 0.0774 - 0.0571 0.0255 - 0.04641 0.0774 - 0.0571 0.0255 - 0.04641 0.0774 - 0.0571 0.0255 - 0.04641 0.0774 - 0.0571 0.0255 - 0.04641 0.0774 - 0.0571 0.0255 - 0.04641 0.0774 - 0.0571 0.0255 - 0.04641 0.0774 - 0.0571 0.0255 - 0.04641 0.0774 - 0.0571 0.0255 - 0.0464 0.0714 - 0.0671 0.0255 - 0.04641 0.0774 - 0.0761 0.025 - 0.04641 0.0774 - 0.0761 0.025 - 0.04641 0.0774 - 0.0761 0.025 - 0.04641 0.0774 - 0.0761 0.025 - 0.04641 0.0774 - 0.0761 0.025 - 0.04641 0.0774 - 0.0761 0.025 - 0.0464 0.0714 - 0.07671 0.025 - 0.0464 0.0714 - 0.0761 0.025 - 0.0464 0.0714 - 0.0761 0.025 - 0.0464 0.0714 - 0.0761 0.025 - 0.0464 0.0714 - 0.0761 0.025 - 0.0464 0.0714 - 0.0761 0.025 - 0.0464 0.0714 - 0.0761 0.025 - 0.0464 0.0714 - 0.0761 0.005 - 0.0761 0.076 - 0.0776 0.0776 - 0.0776 0.0777 - 0.0771 0.0776 0.0776 - 0.0776 0.0777 - 0.0776 0.0777 - 0.0776 0.0777
8	10101011	0199 - 0.0027 0.0033 - 0.0068 0.0005 + 0.0556 0.0443 + 0.0222 0.0573 + 0.0596 0.0622 + 0.0704 0.0491 + 0.0176 0.0014 + 0.0664 0.0111 + 0.0506 0.0022 + 0.0526 0.0524 + 0.0726 0.0629 + 0.0751 0.0727 + 0.0506 0.0524 + 0.0751 0.0727 + 0.0566 0.0524 + 0.0751 0.0727 + 0.0566 0.0524 + 0.0751 0.0727 + 0.0566 0.0514 + 0.0766 0.0514 + 0.0766 0.0514 + 0.0766 0.0514 + 0.0756 + 0.0571 0.0725 + 0.0571 0.0725 + 0.0571 0.0725 + 0.0571 0.0725 + 0.0571 0.0725 + 0.0751 0.0727 + 0.0566 0.0514 + 0.0766 0.0514 + 0.0766 0.0514 + 0.0766 0.0514 + 0.0766 0.0514 + 0.0776 0.0667 + 0.0516 0.0727 + 0.0166 0.0727 + 0.0166 0.0777 + 0.0166 0.0177 + 0.0010 0.0000 +

- by H. Häffner, UC Berkeley
- 40 spins ~ 10 Tera Bytes
- 300 spins ~ Total # of atoms in the Universe

Status for the Realization of a Quantum Computer

Moore's Law in Quantum Computation?



Introduction of Kihwan Kim



The First Practical Quantum Computer?

A quantum computer/simulator up to ~ a few tens of qubits









Scalable Structure of Trapped Ion Quantum Computer



Scaling the Ion Trap Quantum Processor, Science 339, 1164 (2013)





2012 Noble Prize: Dr. David Wineland



<u>http://www.nist.gov/pml/div688/grp10/index.cfm</u> 물리학과 첨단기술 2012년 12월호

Outline

Introduction

- Why Quantum Computation?
- Current Status of Quantum Computation

Basic Requirements for the Quantum Computation

• Qubit, Initialization, Operation, Detection

Oubit, Initialization, Detection in Ion Trap System

Operation in Trapped Ion System Part I

- Ion Laser Interaction
- Carrier, Red Sideband, Blue Sideband Transition





Classical and Quantum Computation

Classical Computation

- Bits
 - 0 or 1
- Initialization
- Operations
 - 1-bit operations
 - (NOT)
 - 2-bit operations
 - (NAND)
- Detections





Classical and Quantum Computation

Classical Computation

• Bits

0 or 1

- Initialization
- Operations
 - 1-bit operations
 - (NOT)
 - •2-bit operations (NAND)

Detections



Results

Quantum Computation

Qubits

 $|\psi\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$, 2^N Hilbert Space

- Initialization
- Operations
 - 1-qubit operations (rotations)
 - Superposition
 - 2-qubit operations (CNOT gates)
 - Entanglements
- Detections of Qubits
 - Gain of Classical Information



Quantum Computations

Circuit Quantum Computations







Circuit Quantum Operations



1-qubit operation

ex) R($\pi/2,0$)



Circuit Quantum Operations





 $\begin{array}{c} C \ T \\ |\downarrow\rangle |\downarrow\rangle \rightarrow |\downarrow\rangle |\downarrow\rangle \\ |\downarrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle \rightarrow |\downarrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle \\ |\uparrow\rangle |\downarrow\rangle \rightarrow |\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle \\ |\uparrow\rangle |\downarrow\rangle \rightarrow |\uparrow\rangle |\downarrow\rangle \end{array}$

superposition \rightarrow entanglement $[|\downarrow\rangle + |\uparrow\rangle]|\downarrow\rangle \rightarrow |\downarrow\rangle |\downarrow\rangle + |\uparrow\rangle |\uparrow\rangle$



Outline

Introduction

- Why Quantum Computation?
- Current Status of Quantum Computation

Basic Requirements for the Quantum Computation

• Qubit, Initialization, Operation, Detection

Qubit, Initialization, Detection in Ion Trap System

Operation in Trapped Ion System Part I

- Ion Laser Interaction
- Carrier, Red Sideband, Blue Sideband Transition







Electric Field Vectors NO! $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0$



H.G. Dehmelt, Adv. At. Mol. Phys. 3, 5 (1967). W. Paul, Rev. Mod. Phys. 62, 531 (1990).





Wolfang Paul vs. Hans Dehmelt





University of Bonn, Germany Nobel Prize in physics (1989) University of Washington, USA Nobel Prize in physics (1989)





Paul Trap vs. Penning Trap





Paul Trap – rotating electric field

+ Laser **Penning Trap** magnetic confinement

Magnetic Field

Linear Ion Trap



axial confinement - static! $\Phi(z) = (m\omega_z^2/2q) (z^2/2)$ $\omega_z^2 = 2\alpha q U_0/m, \ \alpha \sim 1 (geom.)$

radial confinement -dynamic!

$$\begin{split} \varPhi(r) &= (m/2q) \left(\omega_r^2 - \omega_z^2/2 \right) (r^2) \\ \omega_r^2 &= q^2 V_0^2 / (2m \Omega_{RF} \beta^4 r^4) \\ \omega_r &< \Omega_{RF} , \quad \beta \sim 1 \, (geom.) \end{split}$$

D. J. Wineland, et al., J. Res. Nat. Inst. Stand. Tech. 103, 259 (1998).



Linear Ion Trap @ Tsinghua University

¹⁷¹Yb⁺







²S_{1/2}



 ω_{HF} =12,643 GHz ω_{Z} =1.4 MHz x B

Collective Normal Modes

Center of mass mode



Breathing mode





(Univ. Innsbruck)



D. F. V. James, Appl. Phys. B 66, 181 (1998).



Qubits – long coherence time



(1)

G

Initialization of Qubits



Detection of Qubits





Detection of Qubits



Outline

Introduction

- Why Quantum Computation?
- Current Status of Quantum Computation

Basic Requirements for the Quantum Computation

• Qubit, Initialization, Operation, Detection

Oubit, Initialization, Detection in Ion Trap System

Operation in Trapped Ion System Part I

- Ion Laser Interaction
- Carrier, Red Sideband, Blue Sideband Transition





Single Qubit Operations



A single ion system







A single ion system







Interaction between Laser and an Ion

$$E(\hat{x}) = E_0 \cos(k\hat{x} - \omega_L t + \varphi)$$

$$\begin{split} H^{(i)} &= -\hat{\mu} \cdot E(\hat{x}) \\ &= \mu_0 \big(\hat{\sigma}_+ + \hat{\sigma}_- \big) \frac{E_0}{2} \Big(e^{i(k\hat{x} - \omega_L t + \varphi)} + e^{-i(k\hat{x} - \omega_L t + \varphi)} \Big) \\ &= \frac{\Omega}{2} \big(\hat{\sigma}_+ + \hat{\sigma}_- \big) \Big(e^{i(k\hat{x} - \omega_L t + \varphi)} + e^{-i(k\hat{x} - \omega_L t + \varphi)} \Big) \end{split}$$

where, $\Omega = \mu_0 E_0 / 2$





Interaction between Laser and an ion

Transformation to the interaction picture with

$$H_{0} = H^{(e)} + H^{(m)} = \frac{\hbar\omega_{HF}}{2}\sigma_{z} + \hbar\omega_{m}\left(a^{+}a + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

$$\begin{split} H_{\rm int} &= \hat{U}_0^+ H^{(i)} \hat{U}_0 = e^{(i/\hbar)H_0 t} H^{(i)} e^{-(i/\hbar)H_0 t} \\ &= (\hbar/2) \Omega e^{(i/\hbar)H^{(e)} t} (\hat{\sigma}_+ + \hat{\sigma}_-) e^{-(i/\hbar)H^{(e)} t} e^{(i/\hbar)H^{(m)} t} \Big[e^{i(k\hat{x} - \omega t + \varphi)} + e^{-i(k\hat{x} - \omega t + \varphi)} \Big] e^{-(i/\hbar)H^{(m)} t} \\ &= (\hbar/2) \Omega \Bigg\{ e^{i\frac{\omega_{HF} t}{2} \hat{\sigma}_z} (\hat{\sigma}_+ + \hat{\sigma}_-) e^{-i\frac{\omega_{HF} t}{2} \hat{\sigma}_z} \Bigg\} \Big\{ e^{i\omega_m a^+ at} \Big[e^{i(k\hat{x} - \omega t + \varphi)} + e^{-i(k\hat{x} - \omega t + \varphi)} \Big] e^{-i\omega_m a^+ at} \Big\} \end{split}$$

$$e^{\alpha \hat{A}} \hat{B} e^{-\alpha \hat{A}} = \hat{B} + \alpha \left[\hat{A}, \hat{B}\right] + \frac{\alpha^2}{2!} \left[\hat{A}, \left[\hat{A}, \hat{B}\right]\right] + \frac{\alpha^3}{3!} \left[\hat{A}, \left[\hat{A}, \left[\hat{A}, \left[\hat{A}, \hat{B}\right]\right]\right] \dots$$





Interaction between Laser and an ion

$$\left\{ e^{i\frac{\omega_{HF}t}{2}\hat{\sigma}_{z}} (\hat{\sigma}_{+} + \hat{\sigma}_{-}) e^{-i\frac{\omega_{HF}t}{2}\hat{\sigma}_{z}} \right\} \qquad [\hat{\sigma}_{z}, \hat{\sigma}_{+}] = 2\hat{\sigma}_{+} \quad [\hat{\sigma}_{z}, \hat{\sigma}_{-}] = 2\hat{\sigma}_{-}$$

$$e^{i\alpha\hat{\sigma_z}}\hat{\sigma}_+e^{-i\alpha\hat{\sigma_z}} = \hat{\sigma}_+ + 2\alpha\hat{\sigma}_+ + \frac{(2\alpha)^2}{2!}\hat{\sigma}_+ + \frac{(2\alpha)^3}{3!}\hat{\sigma}_+ + \dots$$
$$= e^{i2\alpha}\hat{\sigma}_+$$

$$e^{i\alpha\hat{\sigma_{z}}}\hat{\sigma}_{-}e^{-i\alpha\hat{\sigma_{z}}} = \hat{\sigma_{-}} - 2\alpha\hat{\sigma}_{-} + \frac{(-2\alpha)^{2}}{2!}\hat{\sigma}_{-} + \frac{(-2\alpha)^{3}}{3!}\hat{\sigma}_{-} + \dots$$
$$= e^{-i2\alpha}\hat{\sigma}_{-}.$$

$$\therefore \left\{ e^{i\frac{\omega_{HF}t}{2}\hat{\sigma}_{z}} (\hat{\sigma}_{+} + \hat{\sigma}_{-}) e^{-i\frac{\omega_{HF}t}{2}\hat{\sigma}_{z}} \right\} = e^{i\omega_{HF}t} \hat{\sigma}_{+} + e^{-i\omega_{HF}t} \hat{\sigma}_{-}$$





Interaction between Laser and an ion

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{int}} &= \widehat{U}_{0}^{+} H^{(i)} \widehat{U}_{0} = e^{(i/\hbar)H_{0}t} H^{(i)} e^{-(i/\hbar)H_{0}t} \\ &= (\hbar/2) \Omega e^{(i/\hbar)H^{(e)}t} (\widehat{\sigma}_{+} + \widehat{\sigma}_{-}) e^{-(i/\hbar)H^{(e)}t} e^{(i/\hbar)H^{(m)}t} \Big[e^{i(k\hat{x} - \omega t + \varphi)} + e^{-i(k\hat{x} - \omega t + \varphi)} \Big] e^{-(i/\hbar)H^{(m)}t} \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

$$= (\hbar/2)\Omega\widehat{\sigma}_{+}e^{i\eta\left(ae^{-i\omega_{m}t}+a^{+}e^{i\omega_{m}t}\right)}e^{-i(\delta t+\varphi)} + h.c.$$

$$\delta = \omega_L - \omega_{HF}$$

$$\hat{x} = x_0 \left(a e^{-i\omega_m t} + a^+ e^{i\omega_m t} \right)$$

$$x_0 = \sqrt{\hbar / 2m\omega_m}$$

$$\eta = kx_0 : \text{Lamb-Dicke parameter}$$





Carrier, Red sideband, Blue sideband

$$H_{\text{int}} = (\hbar/2)\Omega \left[\hat{\sigma}_{+} e^{i\eta \left(ae^{-i\omega_{m}t} + a^{+}e^{i\omega_{m}t} \right)} e^{-i\delta \phi} + \hat{\sigma}_{-} e^{-i\eta \left(ae^{-i\omega_{m}t} + a^{+}e^{i\omega_{m}t} \right)} e^{-\delta \phi} \right]$$
$$\eta \sqrt{n+1} = kx_{0}\sqrt{n+1} <<1: \text{Lamb - Dicke limit}$$

Stationary terms of ${\it H}_{\rm int}$ at particular values of δ

"CARRIER"
$$\delta = 0$$
 $H_{carr} = (\hbar/2)\Omega[\hat{\sigma}_{+}e^{i\varphi} + \hat{\sigma}_{-}e^{-i\varphi}]$
"Red Sideband" $\delta = -\omega_{m}$ $H_{rsb} = (\hbar/2)\eta\Omega[\hat{\sigma}_{+}ae^{i\varphi} + \hat{\sigma}_{-}a^{+}e^{-i\varphi}]$
"Blue Sideband" $\delta = +\omega_{m}$ $H_{rsb} = (\hbar/2)\eta\Omega[\hat{\sigma}_{+}a^{+}e^{i\varphi} + \hat{\sigma}_{-}ae^{-i\varphi}]$



Coupling Between Internal State and Motional Mode



 $H_{bsb} = -i\hbar \eta \Omega \sigma^{+} a^{\dagger} + h.c.$ $H_{rsb} = -i\hbar \eta \Omega \sigma^{-} a^{\dagger} + h.c.$





Conclusion

Quantum Computation

Qubits

 $|\psi\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle$, 2^N Hilbert Space

- Initialization
- Operations

1-qubit operations (rotations)

----> Superposition

•2-qubit operations (CNOT gates)

Entanglements

Detections of Qubits

Gain of Classical Information



Internal levels of ions

Optical Pumping



Fluorescent Discrimination



