

Topological aspects of dual superconductors

Soon-Tae Hong
Ewha Womans University

October 27, 2004

2004 KIAS-Hanyang Joint Workshop

- I. Introduction
- II. Model for two-gap superconductors
- III. Meissner effects
- IV. Flux quantization
- V. Knotted string geometry
- VI. Conclusions

in collaboration with Prof. Antti J. Niemi (Uppsala University)

I. Introduction

- **topological soliton and knot structure**

L.D. Faddeev and A.J. Niemi, *Knots and particles*, Nature **387**, 58 (1997)

E. Babaev, L.D. Faddeev and A.J. Niemi, *Hidden symmetry and knot solitons in a charged two-condensate Bose system*, Phys. Rev. **B65**, 100512 (2002)

E. Babaev, *Dual neutral variables and knot solitons in triplet superconductors*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **B88**, 177002 (2002)

L. Freyhult and A.J. Niemi, *Chirality and fermion number in a knotted soliton background*, Phys. Lett. **B557**, 121 (2002)

E. Babaev, *Andreev-Bashkin effect and knot solitons in interacting mixture of a charged and a neutral superfluids with possible relevance for neutron stars*, Phys. Rev. **D70**, 043001 (2004)

- **Ginzburg-Landau theory and string knot structure**

V.L. Ginzburg and L.D. Landau, *About the theory of superconductivity*, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **20**, 1064 (1950)

A.A. Abrikosov, *On the magnetic properties of superconductors of the second group*, Sov. Phys. JETP **5**, 1174 (1957)

E. Babaev, *Characteristic length scales and formation of vortices in the Abelian Higgs model in the presence of a uniform background charge*, Phys. Rev. **B63**, 172502 (2001)

E. Babaev, *Phase diagram of planar $U(1)\times U(1)$ superconductors: condensation of vortices with fractional flux and a superfluid state*, Nucl. Phys. **B686**, 397 (2004)

E. Babaev and H. Kleinert, *Nonperturbative XY-model approach to strong coupling superconductivity in two and three dimensions*, Phys. Rev. **B59**, 12083 (1999)

- E. Babaev, *Thermodynamics of crossover from weak- to strong-coupling superconductivity*, Phys. Rev. **B63**, 184514 (2001)
- J. Smiseth, E. Smorgrav, A. Sudbo, *Critical properties of the N-color London model*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** 077002 (2004)
- L. Faddeev and A.J. Niemi, *Aspects of electric and magnetic variables in $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills theory*, Phys. Lett. **B525**, 195 (2002)
- A.J. Niemi, *Phases of bosonic strings and two dimensional gauge theories*, Phys. Rev. **D67**, 106004 (2003)
- E. Babaev, *Vortices with fractional flux in two-gap superconductors and in extended Faddeev model*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 067001 (2002)
- E. Babaev, *Phase diagram of planar $U(1)\times U(1)$ superconductors: condensation of vortices with fractional flux and a superfluid state*, Nucl. Phys. **B686**, 397 (2004)
- A. Niemi, *Dual Superconductors and $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills*, JHEP **0408**, 035 (2004)
- *S.T. Hong and A.J. Niemi, *Topological aspects of dual superconductors*, to appear in Phys. Rev. B70 (2004)

• experiment on two-band superconductivity

- J. Nagamatsu, N. Nakagawa, T. Muranaka, Y. Zenitani and J. Akimitsu, *Superconductivity at 39 K in magnesium diboride*, Nature **410**, 63 (2001)
- W.N. Kang, H.J. Kim, E.M. Choi, C.U. Jung and S.I. Lee, *MgB_2 superconducting thin films with a transition temperature of 39 Kelvin*, Science **292**, 1521 (2001)
- F. Bouquet, R.A. Fisher, N.E. Phillips, D.G. Hinks and J.D. Jorgensen, *Specific heat of $Mg^{11}B_2$* , Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 047001 (2001)
- T. Yokoya, T. Kiss, A. Chainani, S. Shin, M. Nohara and H. Takagi, *Fermi surface sheet-dependent superconductivity in $2H-NbSe_2$* , Science **294**, 2518 (2001)

- **liquid metallic hydrogen**

N.W. Ashcroft, *The hydrogen liquids*, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **12**, A129 (2000)

N.W. Ashcroft, *Hydrogen Dominant Metallic Alloys: High Temperature Superconductors?*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 187002 (2004)

S.A. Bonev, E. Schwegler, T. Ogitsu and G. Galli, *A quantum fluid of metallic hydrogen*, (submitted to Nature)

K. Mouloupoulos and N.W. Ashcroft, *Generalized Coulomb pairing in the condensed state*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **66**, 2915 (1991)

E. Babaev, A. Sudbo and N.W. Ashcroft, *A superconductor to superfluid phase transition in liquid metallic hydrogen*, Nature **431**, 666 (2004)

II. Model for two-gap superconductors

- **free energy of two-flavor Ginzburg-Landau theory**

$$F = \frac{1}{2m_1} \left| \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla + \frac{2e}{c} \vec{A} \right) \Psi_1 \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2m_2} \left| \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla - \frac{2e}{c} \vec{A} \right) \Psi_2 \right|^2 + \frac{1}{8\pi} \vec{B}^2 + V + \eta(\Psi_1^* \Psi_2 + \Psi_2^* \Psi_1)$$

Ψ_α : order parameters for Cooper pairs of two different flavors ($\alpha = 1, 2$)
 V : potential of the form $V(|\Psi_{1,2}|^2) = -b_\alpha |\Psi_\alpha|^2 + \frac{1}{2} c_\alpha |\Psi_\alpha|^4$
 η : characteristic of interband Josephson coupling strength

$\eta = 0$ Josephson coupling describes liquid metallic hydrogen which should allow coexistent superconductivity of protonic and electronic Cooper pairs

interband Josephson coupling merely changes energy of knot associated with two-band superconductors

two condensates are characterized by different effective masses m_α , coherence lengths $\xi_\alpha = \hbar/(2m_\alpha b_\alpha)^{1/2}$ and densities $\langle |\Psi_\alpha|^2 \rangle = b_\alpha/c_\alpha$

- **modulus field and CP^1 complex fields**

introduce fields ρ and z_α defined as

$$\Psi_\alpha = (2m_\alpha)^{1/2} \rho z_\alpha$$

ρ : modulus field given by condensate densities and masses

$$\rho^2 = \frac{1}{2m_1} |\Psi_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2m_2} |\Psi_2|^2$$

z_α : CP^1 complex fields chosen to satisfy geometrical constraint

$$z_\alpha^* z_\alpha = |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1$$

- **gauge invariant supercurrent**

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{J} = & -\frac{e}{2m_1} \left[\Psi_1^* \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla + \frac{2e}{c} \vec{A} \right) \Psi_1 - \Psi_1 \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla - \frac{2e}{c} \vec{A} \right) \Psi_1^* \right] \\ & + \frac{e}{2m_2} \left[\Psi_2^* \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla - \frac{2e}{c} \vec{A} \right) \Psi_2 - \Psi_2 \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla + \frac{2e}{c} \vec{A} \right) \Psi_2^* \right] \end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten in terms of fields ρ and z_α

$$\vec{J} = -\hbar e \rho^2 \left(\vec{C} + \frac{4e}{\hbar c} \vec{A} \right)$$

where

$$\vec{C} = i(\nabla z^\dagger z - z^\dagger \nabla z) = i(z_1 \nabla z_1^* - z_1^* \nabla z_1 - z_2 \nabla z_2^* + z_2^* \nabla z_2)$$

with $z = (z_1, z_2^*)$

- **dynamical physical fields**

CP^1 model is equivalent to the $O(3)$ NLSM at canonical level

introduce dynamical physical fields n_a ($a = 1, 2, 3$) which are mappings from space-time manifold (or direct product of compact two-dimensional Riemann surface M^2 and time dimension R^1) to two-sphere S^2

$$n_a : M^2 \otimes R^1 \rightarrow S^2$$

dynamical physical fields of CP^1 model are z_α ($\alpha = 1, 2$) which map spacetime manifold $M^2 \otimes R^1$ into S^3

$$z_\alpha : M^2 \otimes R^1 \rightarrow S^3$$

- **Hopf bundle**

S^3 is homeomorphic to $SU(2)$ group manifold and CP^1 model is invariant under local $U(1)$ gauge symmetry for arbitrary spacetime dependent ξ

$$z \rightarrow e^{i\xi/2} z$$

physical configuration space of CP^1 model is that of gauge orbits which form the coset $S^3/S^1 = S^2 = CP^1$

in order to associate physical fields of CP^1 model with those of $O(3)$ NLSM, exploit projection from S^3 to S^2 , namely Hopf bundle

$$n_a = z^\dagger \sigma_a z$$

with Pauli matrices σ_a and n_a fields satisfying constraint $n_a n_a = 1$

- **free energy in terms of ρ and n_a**

$$F = \hbar^2 (\nabla \rho)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \hbar^2 \rho^2 (\nabla n_a)^2 + \frac{1}{4e^2 \rho^2} \vec{J}^2 + \frac{1}{8\pi} \vec{B}^2 + V + K \rho^2 n_1,$$

where $K = 2\eta(m_1 m_2)^{1/2}$

introduce gauge invariant vector fields

$$\vec{S} = \frac{1}{\hbar e \rho^2} \vec{J}$$

to yield

$$F = \hbar^2 (\nabla \rho)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \hbar^2 \rho^2 \left[(\nabla n_a)^2 + \vec{S}^2 \right] + \frac{\hbar^2 c^2}{128\pi e^2} \left(\nabla \times \vec{S} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abc} n_a \nabla n_b \times \nabla n_c \right)^2 + V + K \rho^2 n_1$$

III. Meissner effects

- **magnetic field in terms of ρ , n_a and \vec{S}**

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{B} &= \nabla \times \vec{A} = -\frac{\hbar c}{4e} \left(\nabla \times \vec{S} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abc} n_a \nabla n_b \times \nabla n_c \right) \\ \nabla \times \vec{C} &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abc} n_a \nabla n_b \times \nabla n_c \\ \nabla \times \vec{J} &= -\frac{4e^2}{c} \rho^2 \vec{B} + \frac{2}{\rho} \nabla \rho \times \vec{J} - \frac{\hbar e}{2} \rho^2 \epsilon_{abc} n_a \nabla n_b \times \nabla n_c \\ \nabla \times \vec{S} &= -\frac{4e}{\hbar c} \vec{B} - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abc} n_a \nabla n_b \times \nabla n_c\end{aligned}$$

note topological contribution proportional to $\epsilon_{abc} n_a \nabla n_b \times \nabla n_c$ which originates from interactions of Cooper pairs of two different flavors

- **two-gap equations for \vec{J} and \vec{B}**

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \times \vec{B} &= \frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{J} \\ \nabla^2 \vec{J} &= \left(\frac{16\pi e^2}{c^2} \rho^2 + \frac{2}{\rho} \nabla^2 \rho - \frac{2}{\rho^2} (\nabla \rho)^2 \right) \vec{J} + \frac{8e^2}{c} \rho \nabla \rho \times \vec{B} \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\rho^2} (\nabla \rho \cdot \vec{J}) \nabla \rho + \frac{2}{\rho} \left((\nabla \rho \cdot \nabla) \vec{J} - (\vec{J} \cdot \nabla) \nabla \rho \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\hbar e}{2} \rho^2 \nabla \times (\epsilon_{abc} n_a \nabla n_b \times \nabla n_c) + \hbar e \rho \nabla \rho \times (\epsilon_{abc} n_a \nabla n_b \times \nabla n_c) \\ \nabla^2 \vec{B} &= \frac{16\pi e^2}{c^2} \rho^2 \vec{B} - \frac{8\pi}{c\rho} \nabla \rho \times \vec{J} + \frac{2\pi \hbar e}{c} \rho^2 \epsilon_{abc} n_a \nabla n_b \times \nabla n_c\end{aligned}$$

note that spatial variation of modulus field $\nabla \rho$ couples \vec{J} and \vec{B} field equations

- **two-gap Meissner effect at low temperature $T < T_c$**

at low temperature $T < T_c$, modulus field ρ varies only very slightly over superconductor to yield

$$\nabla \times \vec{J} = -\frac{4e^2}{c}\rho^2\vec{B} - \frac{\hbar e}{2}\rho^2\epsilon_{abc}n_a\nabla n_b \times \nabla n_c$$

so that we can arrive at decoupled equations for \vec{J} and \vec{B}

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2 \vec{J} &= \frac{16\pi e^2}{c^2}\rho^2\vec{J} + \frac{\hbar e}{2}\rho^2\nabla \times (\epsilon_{abc}n_a\nabla n_b \times \nabla n_c), \\ \nabla^2 \vec{B} &= \frac{16\pi e^2}{c^2}\rho^2\vec{B} + \frac{2\pi\hbar e}{c}\rho^2\epsilon_{abc}n_a\nabla n_b \times \nabla n_c\end{aligned}$$

note that we have topological contribution with $\epsilon_{abc}n_a\nabla n_b \times \nabla n_c$

- **two-gap London penetration depth**

in London limit when $|\Psi_\alpha| = \text{constant}$ and thus $\epsilon_{abc}n_a\nabla n_b \times \nabla n_c = 0$

$$\Lambda = \left(\frac{m_1c^2}{4\pi e^2n_{1s}}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{m_1n_{2s}}{m_2n_{1s}}\right)^{-1/2}$$

where superfluid densities $n_{\alpha s}$ are given by $n_{\alpha s} = 2|\Psi_\alpha|^2$

note that two-gap surface supercurrents screen out applied field to yield two-gap Meissner effect

- in one-flavor limit with $n_{2s} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \times \vec{J} &= -\frac{e^2 n_{1s}}{m_1 c} \vec{B} + \frac{1}{n_{1s}} \nabla n_{1s} \times \vec{J}, \\
\nabla^2 \vec{J} &= \left(\frac{4\pi e^2}{m_1 c^2} n_{1s} + \frac{1}{n_{1s}} \nabla^2 n_{1s} - \frac{1}{n_{1s}^2} (\nabla n_{1s})^2 \right) \vec{J} + \frac{e^2}{m_1 c} \nabla n_{1s} \times \vec{B} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2n_{1s}^2} \left((\nabla n_{1s} \cdot \vec{J}) \nabla n_{1s} + \nabla n_{1s} (\vec{J} \cdot \nabla) n_{1s} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n_{1s}} \left((\nabla n_{1s} \cdot \nabla) \vec{J} - (\vec{J} \cdot \nabla) \nabla n_{1s} \right) \\
\nabla^2 \vec{B} &= \frac{4\pi e^2}{m_1 c^2} n_{1s} \vec{B} - \frac{4\pi}{c n_{1s}} \nabla n_{1s} \times \vec{J}
\end{aligned}$$

in more restricted low temperature limit $T < T_c$

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \times \vec{J} &= -\frac{e^2 n_{1s}}{m_1 c} \vec{B} \\
\nabla^2 \vec{J} &= \frac{4\pi e^2}{m_1 c^2} n_{1s} \vec{J} \\
\nabla^2 \vec{B} &= \frac{4\pi e^2}{m_1 c^2} n_{1s} \vec{B}
\end{aligned}$$

which yield single-gap London penetration depth

$$\Lambda = \left(\frac{m_1 c^2}{4\pi e^2 n_{1s}} \right)^{1/2} = 41.9 \left(\frac{r_s}{a_0} \right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{n_e}{n_{1s}} \right)^{1/2} \text{ \AA}$$

where $r_s = \left(\frac{3}{4\pi n_e} \right)^{1/3}$, a_0 is Bohr radius and n_e is total electron density given by $n_e = n_{1n} + n_{1s}$ with normal (superfluid) electron density n_{1n} (n_{1s})

- **phenomenological two-gap London penetration depth**

$$\Lambda = 41.9 \left(\frac{r_s}{a_0} \right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{n_e}{n_{1s}} \right)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{m_1 n_{2s}}{m_2 n_{1s}} \right)^{-1/2} \text{ \AA}$$

in two-gap London penetration depth, with respect to single-gap case we have more degrees of freedom associated with physical parameters m_2 and n_{2s} to adjust theoretical predictions to experimental data for London penetration depth

IV. Flux quantization

- **magnetic flux carried by vortex of superconductor**

consider two-gap superconductor in shape of cylinder-like ring where there exists cavity inside inner radius

in order to evaluate magnetic flux inside two-gap superconductor, we embed within interior of superconducting material contour encircling cavity

at low temperature $T < T_c$, appreciable supercurrents can flow only near surface of superconductor, and modulus field ρ varies only very slightly over two-gap superconductor

$$0 = \oint J = \oint A + \frac{\hbar c}{4e} \oint C = \Phi + \frac{\hbar c}{4e} \oint C$$

Φ : magnetic flux carried by vortex of superconductor

to explicitly evaluate phase effects of two-gap superconductor, we parameterize z_α fields:

$$z_1 = |z_1|e^{i\phi_1} = e^{i\phi_1} \cos \frac{\theta}{2}, \quad z_2 = |z_2|e^{i\phi_2} = e^{i\phi_2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$$

to satisfy constraint $z_\alpha^* z_\alpha = |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1$

$$\vec{C} = 2(|z_1|^2 \nabla \phi_1 - |z_2|^2 \nabla \phi_2)$$

- **fractional magnetic flux quantization**

order parameters Ψ_α are single-valued in each flavor channels so that their corresponding phases should vary 2π times integers p_α when ring is encircled

$$\oint \nabla \phi_\alpha \cdot d\vec{l} = 2\pi p_\alpha$$

$$\oint C = 4\pi(|z_1|^2 p_1 - |z_2|^2 p_2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi| &= \frac{\hbar c}{4e} \oint C = (|z_1|^2 p_1 - |z_2|^2 p_2) \Phi_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (p_1 - p_2 + (p_1 + p_2) n_3) \Phi_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Phi_0 = \frac{\hbar c}{2e} = 2.0679 \times 10^{-7} \text{ gauss-cm}^2: \text{ fluxoid}$$

- **two cases of magnetic flux quantization**

in case of $p_1 = p_2 = 1$

$$|\Phi| = n_3 \Phi_0 = \Phi_0 \cos \theta$$

such a vortex can possess arbitrary fraction of magnetic flux quantum since $|\Phi|$ depends on parameter $\cos \theta$ measuring relative densities of two condensates in superconductor

in case of $p_1 = -p_2$, magnetic flux is reduced to single-gap magnetic flux quantization

$$|\Phi| = p_1 \Phi_0$$

V. Knotted string geometry

- **bundle of two strings**

in Hopf bundle $n_a = z^\dagger \sigma_a z$, n_a remains invariant under U(1) gauge transformation $z \rightarrow e^{i\xi/2} z$

$$z_1 = |z_1| e^{i\phi_1} = e^{i\phi_1} \cos \frac{\theta}{2}, \quad z_2 = |z_2| e^{i\phi_2} = e^{i\phi_2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$$

n_a can be rewritten in terms of angles θ and $\beta = \phi_1 + \phi_2$

$$\vec{n} = (\cos \beta \sin \theta, -\sin \beta \sin \theta, \cos \theta)$$

n_a is independent of angle $\alpha = \phi_1 - \phi_2$ so that α can be considered as coordinate generalization of parameter s of string coordinates $\vec{x}(s) \in R^3$, which describe knot structure involved in two-gap superconductor

knot theory in the two-gap superconductor can be constructed in terms of bundle of two strings

U(1) gauge transformation $z \rightarrow e^{i\xi/2} z$ is related with angle α in such a way that

$$\alpha \rightarrow \alpha + \xi$$

to yield reparameterization invariance $s \rightarrow \tilde{s}(s)$

- **Hopf invariant associated with knot structure**

evaluate Hopf invariant associated with knot structure of two-gap superconductor

$$C = \cos \theta d\beta + d\alpha$$

under U(1) gauge transformation $z \rightarrow e^{i\xi/2}z$

$$C \rightarrow \cos \theta d\beta + d(\alpha + \xi)$$

so that C can be identified as U(1) gauge field

exterior derivative of C produces pull-back of area two-form H and dual one-form $G_i = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}H_{jk}$ on two-sphere S^2

$$H = dC = \frac{1}{2}\vec{n} \cdot d\vec{n} \wedge d\vec{n} = \sin \theta d\beta \wedge d\theta$$

$$G = \frac{1}{2}\sin \theta d\beta \wedge d\theta$$

Hopf invariant Q_H is given by

$$Q_H = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int H \wedge C = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int \sin \theta d\alpha \wedge d\beta \wedge d\theta$$

if there exists nonvanishing Hopf invariant, bundle of two strings forms a knot

• curvature and torsion

to figure out knot structure geometrically, employ right-handed orthonormal basis defined by $(\vec{n}, \vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{n} &= (\cos \beta \sin \theta, -\sin \beta \sin \theta, \cos \theta) \\ \vec{e}_1 &= (\cos \beta \cos \theta, -\sin \beta \cos \theta, -\sin \theta) \\ \vec{e}_2 &= (\sin \beta, \cos \beta, 0)\end{aligned}$$

define with $\vec{e}_\pm = \vec{e}_2 \pm i\vec{e}_1$ curvature and torsion:

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_i^\pm &= \frac{1}{2}e^{\pm\alpha}\vec{e}_\pm \cdot \partial_i\vec{n} = \frac{1}{2}e^{\pm\alpha}(-\sin \theta \partial_i\beta \pm i\partial_i\theta), \\ \tau_i &= \frac{i}{2}\vec{e}_- \cdot (\partial_i + i\partial_i\alpha)\vec{e}_+ = \cos \theta \partial_i\beta - \partial_i\alpha\end{aligned}$$

curvature κ_i^\pm and torsion τ_i are invariant under $U(1) \times U(1)$ gauge transformations: $z \rightarrow e^{i\xi/2}z$ and $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha + \xi$

curvature κ_i^\pm and torsion τ_i are not independent to yield flatness relations between them

$$d\tau + 2i\kappa^+ \wedge \kappa^- = 0, \quad d\kappa^\pm \pm i\tau \wedge \kappa^\pm = 0$$

knotted stringy structures of two-gap superconductors are constructed only in terms of CP^1 complex fields z_α in order parameters Ψ_α , since modulus field ρ associated with condensate densities does not play a central role in geometrical arguments involved in topological knots of the system

VI. Conclusions

- Ginzburg-Landau theory for two-gap superconductors
- Meissner effects
- two-gap London penetration depth
- fractional flux quantization
- knotted string geometry